

MAHATMA GANDHI'S IDEAS ✓

By C F Andrews

THE CHALLENGE OF THE NORTH-WEST FRONTIER
INDIA AND THE SIMON REPORT
THE INDIAN EARTHQUAKE
INDIA AND THE PACIFIC
TRUE INDIA A PLEA FOR UNDERSTANDING

Edited by C F Andrews

MAHATMA GANDHI HIS OWN STORY
MAHATMA GANDHI AT WORK HIS OWN STORY
CONTINUED

LETTERS TO A FRIEND
By Rabindranath Tagore

CHARLES FREER ANDREWS A Biography
by Marjorie Sykes



MAHATMA GANDHI
(As a Law-Student in London 60 Years Ago)

MAHATMA GANDHI'S
IDEAS
INCLUDING SELECTIONS
FROM HIS WRITINGS

By
C. F. Andrews

With an Introduction by
HORACE G ALEXANDER

LONDON
GEORGE ALLEN & UNWIN LTD
MUSEUM STREET

FIRST PUBLISHED IN 1929
SECOND IMPRESSION 1930
THIRD IMPRESSION 1949

TO
PRINCIPAL
ROBERT RUSSA MOTON
AND HIS STAFF AT
TUSKEGEE INSTITUTION
ALABAMA

All rights reserved

PRINTED IN GREAT BRITAIN
BY HENDERSON & SPAULDING
LONDON, W 1

INTRODUCTION

MOHANDAS KARAMCHAND GANDHI was born at a little seaport town on the coast of Kathiawar, called Porbunder, in the year 1869 His father had been Prime Minister of the State, and this office had been made hereditary for some generations past The family was, therefore, one of the most important in that part of the country, and its members were noted for their firmness and integrity of character A story has become famous in India about the father of Mohandas Gandhi, that when he had been for a time dismissed from his own State and had taken service in another, he refused to salute the second Rajah with his right hand, saying that in spite of his dismissal his right hand was reserved for his former chief and could not be used in saluting any other

The young boy's mother was a devout Hindu, who had a deep religious faith penetrating and inspiring her whole personal life and character From his mother more than anyone else the child gained his own religious nature It becomes clear from his autobiography that no one so profoundly affected him in childhood as she did

The Indian States in Kathiawar more than fifty years ago were almost entirely unchanged by their contact with the West The people have a rugged, independent nature, and though the West had fascinated them by its novelty, they did not think fit to change their own manners and customs The young boy, therefore, was brought up in a Hindu environment which had little connection with the outside world

More than once I have visited this corner of India in

order, if possible, to find out the secret that lies behind such outstanding characters as those of Mahatma Gandhi and Swami Dayananda Saraswati, the founder of the Arya Samaj, for it is a truly remarkable thing that two of the greatest social and religious reformers of Modern India, Dayananda and Gandhi, were both born in the comparatively small peninsula of Kathiawar

Porbunder differs from Morvi, where Swami Dayananda was born. It is on the seacoast, jutting out into the sea, and has all the infinite variety and charm of the expanse of ocean around it. Mists of extraordinary beauty constantly rise from the sea and encompass the land. The sea itself is usually a brilliant ultramarine, with liquid green where the shoals lie. I have sat above it and watched its changing hues for hours as the sunlight plays upon it. The little town where Mohandas Gandhi was born rises almost out of the sea and becomes a vision of glory at sunrise and sunset, when the slanting rays beat upon it, turning its turrets and pinnacles into gold.

Morvi, on the other hand, lies inland, not far away from the desolate waste of the Rajputana Desert, which stretches unbroken to the north for hundreds of miles. The land at Morvi is rocky and the country is rugged. It is not perhaps a mere fancy to credit the childhood of each of these two great reformers with having been affected by his surroundings.

Gandhi, with the sea for ever sounding with its waves upon the coast near his home, has a mystical side to his nature which is not so clear in Dayananda. Both are puritan in character and have little regard for the luxuriant symbolism of Hindu India to the south, but, while

Dayananda in his fervour of reform rejected idolatry altogether, Mohandas Gandhi has left a place for it in his own religious scheme of things He is more conservative than Dayananda

One further thought has come to me while staying in Kathiawar. The desert is always very near We have come, in this western corner of India, very close to Arabia The most thoughtful and sensitive natures among the Hindus who live thus on the edge of the great deserts of Asia have no difficulty in appreciating the mystical side of Islam and its absorbing central truth of the unity and majesty of God The saints of Hinduism in Sind, which is still farther to the west and also on the border of the desert, sing songs that are difficult to distinguish from those of the Sufi mystics of Islam

In this Introduction I do not wish to enter into details with regard to Mahatma Gandhi's life and personality, but only to sketch the barest outline of his career as an introduction to the chapters that follow In a subsequent book it is my great hope to be able to comment on and edit the account which he himself has written with regard to his own *Experiments with Truth* In that autobiography he has revealed with marvellous candour and humility his own personal character There is no authentic account of him so singularly revealing as this, and it greatly needs editing for the West

The turning-point in Mohandas Gandhi's early days came when he was able at last to go to England to finish his education and obtain a full training as a barrister-at-law Those years in England were among the most eventful in his whole life The change from Kathiawar to London was extreme, he suffered terribly from solitude

and nearly lost his bearings time after time. But his mother's influence and her last injunctions to him when he left for England kept him straight in the midst of temptations. His life was lived with an asceticism and simplicity that made his character stronger morally as the years went by.

Mohandas Gandhi's father had died during his boyhood, when he was living with him in Kathiawar. The young boy had been married without any choice in the matter at an early age. He was already a father himself when he left home for England. Thus he reached manhood prematurely and had to battle with the world almost alone. His mother had died just before his return to India, it was the greatest shock of all in his young life.

On his arrival once more in his own country from England he struggled to make an income at the Bar, both in Bombay and in Kathiawar. His temperamental shyness was against him, and his rugged honesty prevented his adopting the various devices whereby young barristers obtained their clients. After some years of comparative failure in India, as far as worldly affairs were concerned, he was invited to go to South Africa in order to undertake a lawsuit on behalf of a Muhammadan client, a resident of Kathiawar who had made a fortune as a merchant in South Africa. When he reached South Africa on that occasion he had no intention whatever of staying there beyond the time necessary to complete the lawsuit, but the hard conditions under which his own countrymen were suffering induced him to remain with them and share their hardships. He was admitted to the Bar in South Africa, and became one of the

best-known practitioners for conducting Indian cases At one time his income rose to over £3,000 a year It was in the very midst of this worldly prosperity and outward success that the moral ideal put forward by Tolstoy, which had been exercising his mind for a long time, came home with such inner strength of conviction that he determined at last to abandon everything and give himself up to a life of poverty wherein he could practise ascetic self-control and entire non-violence in all his actions

Tolstoy's teaching had drawn him on to read the Sermon on the Mount, and this made his own inner ideal still clearer and brighter He linked this Sermon with the precepts which he had received as a Vaishnava from his mother in his childhood The way in which this happened will be evident from his own words, quoted in various parts of the present volume

With regard to the direct teaching of Tolstoy and of the Sermon on the Mount, Mahatma Gandhi has always declared that, while he found in these nothing new or strange, yet at the same time Christ's words came to him with a living inspiration and a spiritual power that had meant much to his personal life at the most critical period of all, when he was almost giving way to atheism in London He had been saved from that abyss

During the years while he remained in South Africa, from this time forward, he was entirely occupied in putting these new principles into practice—on the one hand through inner self-discipline and purification, and on the other hand through taking up the cause of his own countrymen and fighting a moral warfare of passive resistance

My own first meeting with Mahatma Gandhi was towards the end of the passive-resistance struggle in Natal. It was then that I came to know him intimately and lived side by side with him, sharing his ideals and also inquiring from him as an earnest seeker after truth concerning his own thoughts and aspirations. This friendship has been one of the greatest blessings I have had in a singularly happy life. It has enabled me to study his principles and methods so closely that it has become in some measure possible for me to judge which of his writings reveal most clearly his thoughts.

After he went back to India, at the beginning of the year 1915, it was again my privilege to live with him for considerable periods on different occasions. This was especially the case when he had any dangerous illness. On two such occasions—in the year 1918 and in 1924—I was with him night and day while he was very near to death. On both these occasions, during his convalescence, the pressure of ordinary daily routine was diminished and talks of a personal character were more frequent than could be expected at other times, when he was in the midst of his public engagements. The great-dividing-line in Mahatma Gandhi's political life came in the year 1920, when, after repeated failure to obtain any clear sign of repentance for the wrongs that had been done to his country at Amritsar, and for the broken promises made to the Indian Mussalmans during the War, he declared that he could no longer co-operate with the British Government. Therefore he started what was called the non-co-operation movement. The partial failure of that movement, owing to an outbreak of violence at Bombay and Chauri Chaura, brought with it a reaction, and he

was himself prepared to retire from the struggle. But he was arrested and imprisoned almost immediately after this outbreak of violence, and was released in 1924 owing to the fact that his health had become very seriously impaired. He had been obliged to undergo, whilst still in prison, a dangerous operation for appendicitis, from which he very slowly recovered.

The outstanding event after this was the fast of twenty-one days which he undertook at Delhi towards the end of the year 1924, in order to stop the rioting between Hindus and Mussalmans. Blood had been shed in these riots and Mahatma Gandhi took upon himself the sins of his own people. No more impressive event has happened in recent Indian history than this fast. It was a solemn occasion, not only for the little band of his faithful followers, who gathered round him during the fast, but for the whole of India. One of the results which followed was the deep and sustained effort on the part of the leaders of both religions in India to find a common solution for their difficulties on a spiritual basis.

More recent events need hardly be told in this preface. The weakness of health which has pursued Mahatma Gandhi in recent years has often become extreme, and he is now in his sixtieth year, an age which, for climatic reasons, is comparatively older in the East than in the West. Yet he has determined at the call of duty to take up work once more in the strenuous political field.

One thing needs to be said to correct a wrong impression which I have found prevalent in the West. It is not at all true to say that Mahatma Gandhi's influence has waned in recent years. His retirements from active

M A H A T M A G A N D H I ' S I D E A S

participation in current politics have only made his spiritual hold on the masses of the Indian people still firmer than ever I have been with him on recent tours in different parts of India and can vouch for this fact from my own observation

A SHORT LIST OF COMMON INDIAN WORDS

TWO TITLES OF REVERENCE

Word	Meaning
Mahatma	A title of Gandhi meaning Great Soul
Gurudeva	A title of Tagore meaning Revered Teacher

TWO RELIGIOUS INSTITUTIONS

Sabarmati Ashram	The religious institution of Mahatma Gandhi near Ahmedabad
Santiniketan Ashram	The religious institution of Rabindranath Tagore near Calcutta

TERMS USED IN PASSIVE RESISTANCE

Ahimsa	Non-violence
Satya	Truth
Satyagraha	Truth-force or Soul-force
Satyagrahi	One who practises Soul-force

MAHATMA GANDHI'S HAND-SPINNING MOVEMENT

Charka	The spinning-wheel
Khaddar	Home-spun cloth

MUHAMMADAN RELIGIOUS TERMS

Islam	The religion of the Prophet Muhammad
Muslim	Belonging to Islam
Musalman	Follower of Islam
Khilafat	The office of Caliph
Caliph or Khalifa	The religious Head of Islam

SACRED SANSKRIT BOOKS

Vedas	The earliest religious hymns
Upanishad	The earliest religious philosophy
Puranas	The sacred Hindu legends

HINDU RELIGION

<i>Word</i>	<i>Meaning</i>
Dharma	Religion or religious duty
Varnashrama Dharma	Religion of Caste
Sanatana Dharma	Orthodox Hindu religion
Sanatani	An orthodox Hindu

THE FOUR CASTES

Brahman	The first Caste (knowledge)
Kshattriya	The second Caste (rule)
Vaishya	The third Caste (trade, agriculture)
Shudra	The fourth Caste (labour)

THE FOUR RELIGIOUS STAGES

Brahmacharya	The first stage of the religious life (chastity)
Grihastha	The second stage of the religious life (householder)
Vanaprastha	The third stage of the religious life (gradual retirement)
Sanyas	The fourth stage of the religious life (complete retirement)

THE TWO GREAT EPICS

Mahabharata	The National Epic wherein Krishna is the Divine Hero The Bhagavad Gita is part of this Epic
Ramayana	The Sacred Epic of North India wherein Rama is the Divine Hero

POLITICAL TERMS

Swadeshi	Belonging to, or made in, one's own country
Swaraj	Self-government

INDIAN COINAGE

<i>Word</i>	<i>Meaning</i>
Anna	Very slightly more than one penny
Rupee	About one shilling and six- pence
Lakh	About seven thousand five hundred pounds sterling
Crore	About seven hundred and fifty thousand pounds sterling

16 annas =
1 rupee

CONTENTS

	PAGE
INTRODUCTION	V
INTRODUCTION BY H G ALEXANDER	3
PREFACE	22

PART I

THE RELIGIOUS ENVIRONMENT

CHAPTER		
I	THE BACKGROUND OF HINDUISM	27
II	THE HINDU-MUSLIM PROBLEM	50
III	THE CHRISTIAN CONTACT	65
IV	"THE PLACE OF JESUS"	85
V	THE ASHRAM OF SOUL-FORCE	100
VI	THE RELIGIOUS MEANING OF SWADESHI	118
VII	THE TEACHING OF AHIMSA	131
VIII	THE ETHICS OF KHADDAR	147
IX	"OUR SHAME AND THEIRS"	163

PART II

THE HISTORICAL SETTING

X	A CONFESSION OF FAITH, 1909	183
VI	PASSIVE RESISTANCE IN SOUTH AFRICA	190
VII	TOLSTOY FARM	202
VIII	SATYAGRAHA IN INDIA	218

MAHATMA GANDHI'S IDEAS

CHAPTER	PAGE
XIV "TO EVERY ENGLISHMAN"	230
XV "THE GREAT SENTINEL"	251
XVI THE BOMBAY RIOTS	276
XVII TRIAL AND IMPRISONMENT	290
XVIII THE FAST AT DELHI	303
XIX THE WOMEN'S MOVEMENT IN INDIA	320
XX A MORNING WITH GANDHI	331
XXI CONCLUSION	347
APPENDICES	351
BIBLIOGRAPHY	377
INDEX	379

INTRODUCTION

C F ANDREWS was the best possible man to interpret Mahatma Gandhi to the West, for he knew him more intimately than any other Westerner. As far as I know, he was the only Englishman, perhaps the only man, who in recent years called Mr Gandhi by his first name, "Mohan". To nearly all others Mr Gandhi was "Gandhiji" (which practically means "Mr Gandhi") or "Bapu" ("Father" or "Daddy") or "Bapuji". He had become the senior, the one for whom love was mingled with respect or even reverence (For many he was the oracle. None were left to whom he was an equal.)

So, now that Mr Gandhi's life and work on earth are ended, it is fitting to be able to turn back again to see how his English friend presented him to the West. If C F Andrews himself were alive he might have wished to bring the book, first published in 1929, up to date by including further quotations from Mr Gandhi's own writings, or by other changes. But apart from this brief introduction, such a revision has not been attempted. The planning of the original book has not been seriously modified, though a few changes have seemed advisable.

It will be seen that C F Andrews, in 1930, was concerned above all to present his Indian friend to the Christians of the West, among whom many were perplexed chiefly by Mr Gandhi's refusal to call himself a Christian, and by his insistence on the value of some aspects of Hinduism which are obnoxious to many Christians. To-day it may be hoped that many will want to read this book who are not primarily concerned with the issue of Christianity and Hinduism. But it is right to begin

INTRODUCTION

with Mr. Gandhi's religious ideas, for to him religion was fundamental, and all the rest of his thought and activity grew out of his belief in a God whom he identified with Truth. That was to him the central fact of the universe. C F Andrews puts this in the clearest language, and at the same time shows his own supreme qualification as the interpreter of Gandhi when he writes "Mr Gandhi is a theist and so am I, to both of us this belief in God is as certain and immediate as our own personal existence."

The present writer was first introduced to Mr Gandhi by Mr Andrews in 1928. Since that time he has been in frequent touch with Mr. Gandhi by correspondence, and personally during his visit to England for the Round Table Conference in 1931, again in India in 1942, and most intimately during the last years of his life, 1946 and 1947.

As I read the chapters of this book I am chiefly impressed by the appropriateness of the quotations chosen by C F Andrews to illustrate Mahatma Gandhi's ideas, and by their continued validity up to the end.

It may nevertheless be well at this point to emphasize some things which have seemed to me through regular reading of his weekly paper *Harijan* in recent years, and by close intercourse with him in the last months of his life, to be central to his thought and life during his last years.

Thus, again and again, he came back to Truth and Non-violence as forming together the essence of his faith — twin suns round which all the lesser planets of his life and thought revolved. In Chapter V we see that the first principles on which his own ashram was founded were "the vow of Truth" and "the doctrine of Ahimsa." Truth "not simply as we ordinarily understand it, not truth

which merely answers the saying, 'Honesty is the best policy,' implying that if it is not the best policy we may depart from it Here Truth as it is conceived means that we may have to rule our life by this law of Truth at any cost" In amplification of this insistence on the law of Truth, we may note what he says on "The Vow of Fearlessness" "I found, through my wanderings in India, that my country is seized with a paralysing fear We may not open our lips in public we may only talk about our opinions secretly I suggest to you that there is only one whom we have to fear, that is God When we fear God, then we shall fear no man, however high-placed he may be, and if you want to follow the vow of Truth, then fearlessness is absolutely necessary "

Then Ahimsa "Literally speaking, Ahimsa means 'non-killing' But to me it has a world of meaning, and takes me into realms much higher, infinitely higher It really means that you may not offend anybody, you may not harbour an uncharitable thought, even in connection with one who may consider himself to be your enemy" Later he wrote "Ahimsa is not merely a negative state of harmlessness but it is a positive state of love, of doing good even to the evil-doer But it does not mean helping the evil-doer to continue the wrong or tolerating it by passive acquiescence On the contrary, love, the active state of Ahimsa, requires you to resist the wrong-doer by dissociating yourself from him even though it may offend him or injure him physically" The reader may notice that in one of these quotations Mr Gandhi has said "You may not offend anybody" In the other he says. "You may need to dissociate yourself from a man's evil actions even though it may offend him" Although the

two statements appear to be contradictory, it is not certain that they are so It is more likely, perhaps, that the first statement is a rather faulty translation from the original Hindi For what Mr Gandhi was constantly saying amounted to this Never act out of ill-will Do not cause hurt to any man But if, by resisting, in pure 'love, what you hold to be evil in another, you thereby cause him (as you surely will if he is in fact doing evil) to be angry or offended, that you must be prepared for It is your own inner attitude that matters not the reaction of the "enemy" And note how careful he is to say "one who may consider himself to be your enemy" The true votary of Ahimsa regards no man as his enemy But, if he is loyal to God and to Truth, he may make enemies "Non-violence is therefore in its active form goodwill towards all life"

Then, if we want to see the political and social consequences that he drew from these first principles, again, we may find their essence in a few sentences "Indian nationalism," he insists, "is not exclusive, nor aggressive, nor destructive." "An India awakened and free has a message of peace and goodwill to give to a groaning world." Of his reasons for fighting against the British Government in India C F Andrews writes "The perpetual misery of a starving peasantry forms the gravest part of his indictment of the Administration" This is underlined in his own words "When all about me are dying for want of food, the only occupation permissible for me is to feed the hungry", and again, "To a people famishing and idle the only acceptable form in which God can dare to appear is in Work, and promise of food as wages" And this has to be linked with the "vow of

non-thieving" which is the fifth vow of the members of his ashram Non-thieving he interprets in this way "If I take anything that I do not need for my own immediate use and keep it, I thief it from somebody else" I recall one morning walk when Mr Gandhi was speaking of a wealthy Indian business man whom he praised for his generosity and selflessness Suddenly he stopped in the walk and turned to me and said "But of course he is a thief" Which of us can escape from that verdict?

Thus he was up in arms, not only against the British Government in India, but also against his own people for thieving from the poor, for such sins as Untouchability and for crimes committed against many of their women and against the "sacred" cattle "I am superstitious enough to believe that all such sins that a nation commits react upon it physically "

Apart from his political struggle against the British, what the world outside India knows best, perhaps, is that Mr Gandhi tried to revive village handicrafts, especially spinning, and wanted (so it is commonly thought) to abolish machinery and to return to a pre-industrial life Chapter VIII gives his own defence of the revival of hand-spinning, Chapter XX, "A Morning with Gandhi," shows his true attitude towards machinery and industrialization "The spinning wheel itself," he points out, "is a machine What I object to is the craze for machinery, not machinery as such The craze is for what they call labour-saving machinery Men go on 'saving labour' till thousands are without work and thrown on the open streets to die of starvation" "Scientific truths and discoveries should first of all cease to be the mere instruments of greed Then labourers will not be overworked, and

machinery, instead of being a hindrance, will be a help ” Complicated power-driven machinery, he recognizes, “might have to go” if his ideal was to be achieved “But I must make one thing clear The supreme consideration is man The machine should not tend to make atrophied the limbs of men ” “Replace greed by love and everything will be right ”

Reading through these chapters, I find here and there a paragraph that seems out of date, that hardly seems to belong to the Gandhi of the last few years Thus “Medical science is the concentrated essence of black magic Hospitals are the instruments that the devil has been using for his own purpose, in order to keep his hold on his kingdom ” These, and some other items from his 1909 *Confession of Faith* seem to be expressed with a fierceness that he apparently outgrew thirty years later Indeed, C F Andrews makes it clear that in his view they were already outgrown in 1929 It is true that Gandhi continued to laugh at his doctors, and his enthusiasm for “nature-cure” only increased as the years passed But, if he still held such strong views about modern medical science and about hospitals, he certainly ceased to emphasize them And his urgent insistence on India’s crying need for a better understanding of the laws of hygiene and of health was as passionate in 1947 as it had ever been When he was spending a few days in a recently deserted house in Calcutta, at the time of Indian independence in August 1947, he expressed shame and horror at the complete lack of decent drainage “How our people have sunk to such a state of filth,” he said, “I cannot understand ”

Again, during a conversation on death and the after-

life, in August 1947, he said nothing about reincarnation I do not say that he had abandoned any such belief, but it had evidently sunk into the background of his thought, and he spoke rather of the release of the souls of the departed from all the trammels of the flesh, of their release into a fuller union with the life of the God of Truth

This is not the place to write at any length about Mr. Gandhi's public activities during the last twenty years of his life, but the final climax illustrates C F Andrews' statement in Chapter II that "the ultimate problem of modern India is the reconciliation of these two opposing religions," Hinduism and Islam. Perhaps it was fitting, too, that the earlier edition of this book had as its climax the first of Mr. Gandhi's major fasts, the three weeks' fast for communal harmony, undertaken in September 1924.

It would hardly be too much to say that the major theme of Mr. Gandhi's public life, during his last twenty-five years, was his constant effort to bring Hindus and Muslims together as brothers. And his periodic fasts have played an increasingly important part in his effort to achieve peace among the religious communities of India.

Immediately after 1924 he withdrew from political activity for a time, and devoted his energies primarily to what he often called "the constructive programme," which included such things as communal unity, the abolition of Untouchability, the fostering of hand-spinning and other handicrafts, "cow protection" (by which he meant campaigning against the cruelty and neglect often practised upon the half-starved millions of

Indian cattle, their more careful breeding and other developments of cattle culture), the advancement of women, the prohibition of drink and drugs, and other measures which, as he saw, were needed as a foundation for political freedom From henceforth his life was, in a sense, a kind of dialectic first a period of "constructive" work, then a period of national political leadership, culminating in non-co-operation, the violation of certain chosen laws, and imprisonment then back to constructive programmes, and so on For him, the effort to achieve Indian political freedom and work on the constructive programme were inseparably linked They were, he said, as the two sides to the medal As the social programme took hold of the people, they were made more fit for freedom, if, after a great struggle to achieve freedom, through a campaign of non-violent non-co-operation, they found themselves temporarily frustrated, then they must revert to the constructive programme again, and thereby fit themselves more fully for freedom

About 1928, his colleagues in the Congress leadership persuaded him to return to active politics, and he did so largely in order to prevent the nationalist movement lapsing into violence and terrorism This led to the non-co-operation of 1930, inaugurated by the famous Salt March, then a period of imprisonment, and then the so-called Gandhi-Irwin Pact of 1931 Later that year he attended the Second Round Table Conference in London, but the failure of that conference to achieve Indian freedom, due in part to a change of Government in England, in part to the failure of the Hindus and Muslims in the conference to agree among themselves or to recognize Mr Gandhi as their real leader, opened the way to

another struggle with the Government in India and another prolonged imprisonment

The publication of the "MacDonald Award" in 1932 seemed to put the separate Indian religious communities, including the Depressed Classes or Untouchables, into perpetual watertight political compartments Mr Gandhi, convinced that such a plan, far from helping the Untouchables, would perpetuate their disabilities, undertook a fast in prison at Poona Hindu and "Untouchable" leaders hastily assembled After a few days of anxious discussion it was agreed that the separate Untouchable constituencies should be gradually abolished, and that meanwhile they should receive a higher percentage of seats in the legislatures, at the expense of the Caste Hindus

When Mr Gandhi was released from jail, he devoted himself especially to the cause of the Untouchables, and launched his weekly paper, *Harijan*, meaning "children of God", which was the new name he coined for the Untouchables To him the removal of their social disabilities mattered far more than any reform that might increase their political power To the period of the 1930s, also, belongs his initiation of the Wardha scheme of education, which has led to the establishment of many schools where the teaching is built up round a handicraft This is by no means to be regarded simply as a plan for substituting handwork, manual education, for brainwork or mental education It is planned as a method of harmonious development for the whole personality And if the development of various manual skills is designed to fit the children to be useful members of India's many villages, at the same time the curriculum is so planned as

INTRODUCTION

to make them good citizens not of the nation only but of the world

At the outbreak of the war of 1939 in Europe, Mr. Gandhi was at first ready to offer moral support to Britain. But when he saw what meagre promises of freedom the Government was prepared to make, even for the end of the war, he agreed with his Congress colleagues that effective co-operation was impossible and, step by step, the alienation of Congress from Government grew until the summer of 1942 when immediate self-government was demanded, with the alternative of civil disobedience, under Mr. Gandhi's leadership, if the demand was not accepted. In August 1942 he was arrested again and interned with his wife and a few associates in the Aga Khan's house at Poona, and there they remained till early 1944. His wife died during this internment, and he himself, after surviving a three weeks' fast in 1943, became so ill in May 1944 that he was released.

After 1940 a section of the Muslims of India, led by Mr. Jinnah, were demanding a separate State for Indian Muslims to be called Pakistan. At first it seemed doubtful whether this demand had much support, but by 1942 Mr. Gandhi was himself convinced that the bulk of the politically-conscious Muslims were supporting Mr. Jinnah. After his release in 1944, Mr. Gandhi had long conversations, not for the first time, with Mr. Jinnah, hoping to find some way to agreement that would prevent the dismemberment of India. But the talks broke down.

Mr. Gandhi was concerned, not only for the improvement of communal relations between Hindu and Muslim, but also, as ever, for better relations between India and England. He constantly insisted that his acts of non-

co-operation were intended to open the way to co-operation. He constantly hoped that the people and the Government of India would recognize the justice of India's claim of freedom, and that the strength of their determination, as shown by those who were willing to go to jail again and again, would impress the mind and turn the heart of England.

In the spring of 1940, when C F Andrews had died, Mr. Gandhi wrote "At the present moment I do not wish to think of English misdeeds They will be forgotten but not one of the heroic deeds of Andrews will be forgotten so long as India and England live . It is possible, quite possible, for the best Englishmen and the best Indians to meet together and never to separate till they have evolved a formula acceptable to both The legacy left by Andrews is worth the effort" In 1944, when he was again a free man, this thought was still in his mind.

A few months after the British elections of 1945, it became clear that the Labour Government was honestly trying to meet India's demand for freedom, but the question had still to be answered Was it to be one India, or two—or even more than two? The prolonged discussions with the Cabinet Ministers who visited India in the spring and early summer of 1946 found no clear answer to this issue, but the promises of immediate freedom were so definite that, in the autumn of that year, a provisional government was formed, composed of leaders of the two main parties, Congress and Muslim League Still the demand for Pakistan continued, and finally the Congress leaders reluctantly agreed, though Mr Gandhi to the last held that it was wrong All his life he had insisted that

Hindus, Muslims, Sikhs, Christians, Parsis and the rest formed one people, one nation, and that the division of the country would be a disaster for all communities.

As the day of full independence drew near, the conflict between the communities intensified. In the middle of August, 1946, an orgy of killing took place in the vast city of Calcutta, and even after a semblance of order had been restored the city was completely divided into Hindu and Muslim areas. Stabbings and bomb-throwing were frequent throughout the year. Soon the slaughter spread to East Bengal, and Mr Gandhi went there to try and restore peace. He was always most at home among the village people, and now, at the age of 77, he spent months walking barefoot from village to village, usually several miles each day, encouraging the Hindus to remain in their homes, urging the Muslims to stay their hand, reminding all that they were one people, worshippers of one God.

Before he could complete his work in East Bengal, he was called away to Bihar where the Hindus had taken a terrible vengeance on their Muslim neighbours, so there he worked to restrain the Hindus and encourage the Muslims. Then there was trouble in the Punjab, and on the North-West Frontier, so after visits to Delhi he hurried there, ingeminating peace wherever he went. He had promised the fearful Hindus of East Bengal that he would return, and he accordingly set off back again, so as to be with them on the day of freedom, August 15, 1947, which was also to be the day of the division of Bengal. East Bengal was to become part of Pakistan. What would happen then to the Hindu minority?

Three or four days before Independence Day, Mr

Gandhi reached Calcutta on his way through to East Bengal. He was there met by Mr Suhrawardy, the Muslim Premier of Bengal, who was bitterly hated by the Hindus, and who, a few months earlier, had been highly critical of Mr. Gandhi himself. He persuaded Mr. Gandhi that the only way to keep the peace, both for East Bengal and for Calcutta and all north-east India, was for him to remain in Calcutta. Calcutta was the key. Mr. Gandhi accordingly entered into a partnership with Mr Suhrawardy, who guaranteed peace and protection for the Hindus of East Bengal. Some Calcutta Hindus thought that their enemy, Suhrawardy, had cleverly tricked Mr Gandhi, but the event proved that they were wrong rather, Mr Gandhi had made Mr Suhrawardy the instrument of peace for all Bengal. Up to the last moment it was uncertain what would happen. The magic touch of the Mahatma did the trick. He had fraternized with the top Muslim, and so Muslims and Hindus, many of them tired of their misery, began to fraternize all over Calcutta, and so August 15, 1947, turned into a day, not of fresh massacres, but of general rejoicing. The black clouds that had darkened life in Calcutta for a year cleared away as if by magic. All Bengal, all eastern India, was at peace again. Men called it a miracle.

Three weeks later a few frustrated Hindus tried to break the peace, but Mr Gandhi, who had wisely remained in the city to consolidate peace, undertook a fast, and the outbreak collapsed in three days.

Meanwhile, the partition of the Punjab had led to terrible scenes of violence, which spread into the city of Delhi itself. Hindus and Sikhs murdered Muslims in the East Punjab, Muslims murdered Hindus and Sikhs in

West Punjab, and the minority communities on both sides fled from their homes and were herded in refugee camps awaiting the opportunity to migrate across the border Finally over ten millions left their homes

Mr Gandhi hurried to Delhi as soon as he could leave Calcutta Through the last four months of 1947 he strove, along with Pandit Nehru and others, to check the wild storm of anger against the Muslims that spread its furies across the Punjab and was threatening at one time to engulf all India. His heroic example and his persistence during those months had great effect, but less than he desired Finally, in January 1948, seeing that the capital city of Delhi was still unsafe for Muslims, he declared that he could bear it no longer, and he must undertake another fast The words in which he made this announcement at his prayer-meeting on January 12th, seem, in the light of his assassination three weeks later, to give his last message to India and the world so perfectly that they deserve to be quoted here in full

"When on September 9th I returned to Delhi from Calcutta, it was to proceed to the West Punjab But that was not to be Gay Delhi looked a city of the dead As I alighted from the train, I observed gloom on every face I saw Even the Sardar,¹ whom humour and the joy that humour gives never desert, was no exception this time The cause of it I did not know He was on the platform to receive me He lost no time in giving me the sad news of the disturbances that had taken place in the Metropolis of the Union At once I saw that I had to be in Delhi and 'do or die' There is apparent calm brought about by prompt military and police action But there is storm

¹ Vallabhbhai Patel

within the breast It may burst forth any day This I count as no fulfilment of the vow to 'do' which alone can keep me from death, the incomparable friend I yearn for heart friendship between the Hindus, Sikhs and Muslims It subsisted between them the other day To-day it is non-existent It is a state that no Indian patriot worthy of the name can contemplate with equanimity Though the Voice within has been beckoning for a long time, I have been shutting my ears to It, lest It may be the voice of Satan otherwise called my weakness I never like to feel resourceless a satyagrahi never should Fasting is his last resort in the place of the sword—his or others' I have no answer to return to the Muslim friends who see me from day to day as to what they should do My impotence has been gnawing at me of late It will go immediately the fast is undertaken I have been brooding over it for the last three days The final conclusion has flashed upon me and it makes me happy No man, if he is pure, has anything more precious to give than his life I hope and pray that I have that purity in me to justify the step

"I ask you all to bless the effort and to pray for me and with me The fast begins from the first meal to-morrow The period is indefinite, and I may drink water with or without salts and sour limes It will end when and if I am satisfied that there is a reunion of hearts of all the communities brought about without any outside pressure, and from an awakened sense of duty The reward will be the regaining of India's dwindling prestige and her fast-fading sovereignty over the heart of Asia and, there through, the world I flatter myself with the belief that the loss of her soul by India will mean the loss of the hope of the aching storm-tossed and hungry world

"Let no friend, or foe if there be one, be angry with me. There are friends who do not believe in the method of the fast for the reclamation of the human mind. They will bear with me and extend to me the same liberty of action that they claim for themselves. With God as my supreme and sole counsellor, I felt that I must take the decision without any other adviser. If I have made a mistake and discover it, I shall have no hesitation in proclaiming it from the housetop and retracing my faulty step. There is little chance of my making such a discovery. If there is clear indication, as I claim there is, of the Inner Voice, it will not be gainsaid. I plead for all absence of argument, and inevitable endorsement of the step. If the whole of India responds, or at least Delhi does, the fast might be soon ended.

"But whether it ends soon or late or never, let there be no softness in dealing with what may be termed as a crisis. Critics have regarded some of my previous fasts as coercive and held that on merits the verdict would have gone against my stand but for the pressure exercised by the fasts. What value can an adverse verdict have when the purpose is demonstrably sound? A pure fast, like duty, is its own reward. I do not embark upon it for the sake of the result it may bring. I do so because I must. Hence, I urge everybody dispassionately to examine the purpose and let me die, if I must, in peace which I hope is ensured. Death for me would be a glorious deliverance rather than that I should be a helpless witness of the destruction of India, Hinduism, Sikhism and Islam. That destruction is certain if Pakistan ensures no equality of status and security of life and property for all professing the various faiths of the world and if India copies her. Only then

Islam dies in the two Indias, not in the world But Hinduism and Sikhism have no world outside India

"Those who differ from me will be honoured by me for their resistance however implacable Let my fast quicken conscience, not deaden it Just contemplate the rot that has set in in beloved India and you will rejoice to think that there is an humble son of hers who is strong enough and possibly pure enough to take the happy step If he is neither, he is a burden on the earth The sooner he disappears and clears the Indian atmosphere of the burden the better for him and all concerned

"I would beg of all friends not to try to dissuade me or be anxious for me I am in God's hands Rather, they should turn the searchlight inwards, for this is essentially a testing time for all of us Those who remain at their post of duty and perform it diligently and well, now more so than hitherto, will help me and the cause in every way The fast is a process of self-purification."

Within five days from the beginning of the fast, all the Hindu and Sikh leaders of Delhi had promised that they would do all they could to bring the Muslims back home, and they have kept their promise So he was able to break his fast

But there remained a "lunatic fringe" of embittered Hindus, determined to drive all the Muslims from India, and they saw that they could never prevail while Gandhi lived Accordingly, they took counsel together how they might destroy him, and as he walked to his prayer-meeting on January 30th, at 5 5 p m , one young man shot him dead at point-blank range A fortnight before, while he was fasting, one of his friends had suggested that if he died during the fast it would be a hero's death "No," said Mr

Gandhi, "I should not call that a hero's death. But if I were to die among a shower of bullets, with a smile on my lips, that would be a hero's death "

And mark the result Many of his Hindu critics were so shocked by the assassination that they changed their opinion, the spirit of Gandhi has, to quote Nehru's phrase, entered into the fibre of the Indian people, and so, when all India was roused to passionate wrath by the misdeeds of the Muslim Razakars of Hyderabad State, not one Muslim in India suffered injury The celebration of Independence Day, August 15, 1948, was marred by an outbreak of violence in Agra, which was very quickly stopped by the police Otherwise, no serious communal incident has occurred in the length and breadth of India in nearly a year since Mr Gandhi's death

The last autumn of his life was a prolonged agony to him The freedom of his country, for which he had struggled for forty years, had come, and it had turned bitter to the taste by the fearful killings in the Punjab during those first weeks But although he confessed in public speeches that he had no more wish to live, yet he worked on undaunted, showing the same courtesy, gentleness, firmness and sweetness to his endless visitors, helping all who came to him day by day to find things to laugh at, even when the world around was grim and overpowering My own last sight of him may be worth recording, as it shows the man as his friends knew him in those last days

I had to leave Delhi for Calcutta in the middle of January, and when, on Sunday evening, I went to say "goodbye," he asked me to call early on Monday to collect a letter he was writing to a friend in Calcutta. So I returned early on Monday. For many years he observed

complete silence each week from about 6 p m on Sunday to 6 p m on Monday So he was not speaking While he finished the letter, his secretary, Pyarelal, handed me an amusing snapshot, taken a few days earlier, showing Pyarelal's mischievous little niece pushing her nose right up against "Bapu's" face The photograph was then passed to Mr Gandhi, and he beckoned the little girl who was running about near, and they looked at it together and laughed gaily Then he gave me the letter and I went It was a few hours later that he announced to the crowd at his prayer-meeting that he must embark on the last fast

Such are the pictures that all his friends carry in their hearts—the picture of a simple old man bearing super-human burdens of responsibility and of grief and pain, but to his friends and colleagues always courteous, always patient, always tolerant, always understanding, always with a joyful laugh bubbling up from under the surface "Come and show me where I am wrong," he would say to his friends and critics (For he was always eager for new truth, always open to conviction, but how hard he was to convince! And well he might be, for he had wrought his convictions in the fires of life, fearlessly testing them in the hottest of furnaces)

Day by day he sat at work, receiving an incessant stream of visitors, who were reminded by three little china monkeys perched on his low desk to "see no evil, speak no evil, hear no evil" And Mr Gandhi would add—most important of all—"think no evil "

HORACE G ALEXANDER

P R E F A C E

A N O P P O R T U N I T Y has at last been given me to complete one out of two volumes dealing with the life and ideas of Mahatma Gandhi. The second, which will include selected passages from his autobiography, is in preparation.

This book is intended to explain, with documentary evidence, the main principles and ideas for which Mahatma Gandhi has stood in the course of his eventful career. On account of the mass of material it has been necessary to make a limited selection from the speeches and writings which he himself has addressed to the public. In the choice of such passages I have carefully avoided consulting beforehand the opinions of other writers about him, because I felt it best to give the one single impression that had become clear to me from long and intimate personal experience.

After writing the different chapters it was encouraging to me to find later that the outline of my own picture was not far removed in its special light and shade and contour from the character which Romain Rolland had drawn when his own study of the "Gandhi literature" had been made at an earlier date. Quite unconsciously my personal reading of Mahatma Gandhi's ideas has in a great measure coincided with his. In one sense this present book may be said to offer material supplementary to that which has already appeared in Rolland's great book. As a work of genius that study of Gandhi remains unparalleled in any language. I hope, however, that what I have written may have a special value of its own as an individual portrait, with a background of close personal

knowledge about one who has been my friend for over fifteen years

I have ventured to abbreviate certain passages quoted, in order to conserve as carefully as possible the limited space at my disposal. A short list of those Indian words which come frequently in the narrative will be found at the beginning of this volume, and I have explained in footnotes any further difficulties that may remain for the Western reader.

My dear companions, Principal Robert Russa Moton and his staff at Tuskegee, Alabama, have done me much kindly service in helping me to prepare this manuscript for the press while staying with them at Tuskegee. It is a great joy to me to dedicate this volume to them. Their love for Mahatma Gandhi is sincere and deep. It is a pleasure to me to express at the same time my gratitude to Miss Storey, Raghunath and Juliette Rao at Geneva, and also in this new world of America to Bishop and Mrs Paddock, Kirby Page, Richard Gregg, Dr Anson Phelps Stokes, Dr Jesse Jones, Charles Gilkey, Wilbur Thomas, Haridas Muzumdar, Ben and Edith Cherrington, Mrs Ruth Cranston, Dr Helen Read, and Kartar Singh.

It will be seen from this long list, which could have been made much longer, how much I have had to rely on the loving service of friends to get through the whole work in the time required.

Mr. H S L. Polak, Mahatma Gandhi's lifelong friend and my own, has generously undertaken to correct the proofs in England during my absence in British Guiana.

I am fully aware that there are many leading thoughts

P R E F A C E

of Mahatma Gandhi which have found no place in this book. Some of these will appear in the second volume, which deals with his life. But the narrow limits, even of two volumes, make it difficult to represent with completeness a character so many-sided as his. To condense sufficiently both the narrative and the quotations has been the hardest task of all.

If what I have written gains acceptance, I shall hope to take up at a later date the life and work of the poet, Rabindranath Tagore, for it is through the close study of these two outstanding personalities that modern India can best be appreciated in the West.

C F ANDREWS

HALIFAX, NOVA SCOTIA

PART I

THE RELIGIOUS ENVIRONMENT

CHAPTER I

THE BACKGROUND OF HINDUISM

THERE ARE few things perhaps more difficult to accomplish than to put oneself in sympathetic touch with a religion which is not one's own by birth-inheritance. The effort that has to be made is far more sustained than that of understanding a poem in a foreign tongue. There is a strangeness about every mood and tone of worship, as well as in the words of the sacred texts of Scripture and the revealed doctrines held to be orthodox.¹

An easy way of realizing this is to consider the instance of a Hindu reading certain passages of the Old Testament for the first time, or being told about the Holy Communion Service, with its consecrated elements of bread and wine representing the Body and Blood of Christ, or having explained to him St Paul's doctrine of election and predestination, or the clauses in the Creed concerning the Descent into Hell, the Ascension into Heaven, and the Resurrection of the Body. We have become accustomed from childhood to the peculiar phraseology of Christian ceremonies and sacred texts, but others have not. I remember how the poet Rabindranath Tagore once told me that he could not go on reading some of the descriptions of enemy-slaughter in the Psalms to which he had turned on opening the Bible. His elder brother, who was one of the most saintly old men I have ever known, told me that the symbol of the slain "Lamb of God" presented to his mind a picture that was altogether

¹ See Appendix I

revolting, and that he detested the sentence of the hymn, "Washed in the Blood of the Lamb".

I have taken these illustrations because in a similar manner there are bound to be aspects of Hindu Religion contained in Mahatma Gandhi's definition that at first sight will appear strange in the West. Therefore it may be well to offer some explanations before I quote his words about his Hindu belief and practice.

There are two elements in Hinduism which are very difficult to understand until one has lived a long time in India and found out their importance in that country

i "Caste", considered as a social and religious system.

In the original social organization of India there were evidently three main orders of higher society. We meet with these among all the leading Aryan peoples. They are clearly defined, for instance, in Ancient Persia and in Ancient Greece, where Aryan languages, akin to Sanskrit, were in daily use. First of all, there was the priestly or Brahman Caste, whose members studied the ritual and preserved the sacred texts Secondly, there were the warriors and public administrators, called Kshattriyas, who ruled the different clans. Thirdly, there were the agricultural settlers, or Vaishyas, who tilled the soil and made wealth.

Last of all, much below these (and probably representing in origin a different, conquered race), there were the Shudras, who did the labourers' work This class was represented by the *helots* in Ancient Greece, and was not reckoned with the Aryan race at all

In India the vast majority of the conquered non-Aryan inhabitants were admitted into the Shudra class.

But these were never allowed to wear the sacred thread, or to go through the ceremony of initiation as "twice-born" Only the first three ranks of society, which were of pure Aryan stock, were allowed to have this sacred privilege of initiation and to belong by birthright to the original community as its leading citizens

Later on, attached to the Shudras but separated from them, as being lower still in the social scale, came the Namashudras These were also named Panchamas, or Pariahs These words, denoting a rank even below the Shudras, are used for that lowest social class which was called "untouchable" For these lowest conquered peoples, very dark of skin, began to be altogether despised and were given only the menial duties of scavenging, sweeping, tanning of animal hides, etc., which were regarded as "unclean" occupations They were offered in return the leavings of food which otherwise would be cast to the dogs, they were reduced to eat even carrion flesh to keep themselves alive Thus their very occupations made them filthy, their food was impure, and public neglect constantly added to their wretched plight At last their touch itself, and in some parts of South India even their shadow, became regarded as polluting, and they were called as a class "untouchables"

While Mr. Gandhi, in his definition of Hinduism, declares that he believes in the ancient Caste system, he entirely refuses to have anything to do with "Untouchability" He also refuses to regard any Caste, such as that of the Brahmin, as superior in rank He regards all men and women equally as his brothers and sisters, treating them in every single act of life as equals I have seen him day after day living with the "untouchables" in

the closest possible friendliness and fellowship. They love him as a father, and his name is revered from one end of India to another as their friend.

Along with this Caste system, and closely connected with it, there arose at a very early date in India, among the Aryans, the idea of marriage as a religious sacrament, which the higher Castes especially regarded as sacred. As an aristocracy they thought much about heredity and observed certain regulations in order to keep their stock pure. Marriage had to take place within the Caste and always according to Hindu sacred law and ceremony. Little by little, in process of time, this marriage within the Caste became the strictest of all the Caste obligations. Thus each Caste became one marriage-brotherhood. The question of marriage was so intimately bound up with Caste, and Caste with marriage, that those who have studied the whole question most thoroughly and scientifically have declared as an aphorism "Caste is marriage, and marriage is Caste, in Hindu Religion".

Just as marriage within the same Caste became obligatory and the obligation was never transgressed, so also there were solemn occasions, especially at marriages, when the dining together of all Caste fellows in one place at a common meal was of the highest social importance. To be refused or forbidden a seat at this common Caste meal was to be outcaste. It represented a social punishment of the most drastic and severe character, and nothing was more terrifying than this possibility of being turned out of Caste, for it meant that no one in the Caste would then give in marriage any of his children to such an outcaste person.

A number of moral actions were originally settled by

each community in this manner, but, above all, the strict marriage relations were thus sanctified by Hindu religion. The Castes lived together, side by side, as independent units, in all their marriage relations. Inter-Caste marriages became practically unknown.

One more point must be noted. The original "Four Castes" have long ago become subdivided. Some of these subdivisions are comparatively small, and this has led to great hardships, for marriage has for long been virtually confined, in most parts of India, to the sub-Caste. The difficulty of finding a suitable marriage for a daughter within the sub-Caste has often become very great, and therefore a father may sometimes have a marriage ceremony performed at a very early age in order to ensure a bridegroom being found within the same sub-Caste. Such marriages correspond with betrothals in the West, but they often lead to consummation at far too early a date. Nevertheless, recent tendencies have been to raise both the age of marriage and that of consummation, and adult marriage is more common than is frequently supposed.

2. Cow Protection as a religious duty.

It is perhaps even more difficult in the West to understand this reverence paid to the cow than to understand the Hindu Caste System. For with us in the West there is always something stupid associated with the cow, as the epithet "bovine" clearly denotes.

But here, again, we must remember that we are dealing with a very ancient and profoundly religious people, whose traditions have never been snapped and broken asunder. We go back to those first beginnings of settled human history when agricultural life took the place of

the nomad life of the hunter It was at this early stage in India that the cow, which provided milk for daily food and helped every day in the tilling of the soil, became a valued companion of the household, to be cherished beyond all other treasures From this began the religious reverence for the cow in Hindu India. It is a primitive belief intimately connected with the culture of the soil

Let me give an analogy which may appeal to us in the West from the Old Testament Among the ancient Hebrew people we can see clearly how a sacred meaning became attached to each one of those common acts which might preserve the growing Hebrew Community from social injury We admire to-day the laws of Moses with their sanitary regulations But perhaps we do not realize sufficiently that it was their religious sanction which made these sanitary laws so scrupulously observed

In the same manner a religious sanction was given from the first in India to the protection of the cow. By investing this one animal of the household—so supremely necessary for a primitive agricultural society—with a sacred character, Ancient India really preserved one of the main sources of her own healthy life Even to-day, among the Hindus of India, who are vegetarians, milk is the only food that goes along with the grain foods as a nutrient to preserve life There are practically no other food-stuffs that are taken in any large daily quantities

But the reverence for the cow thus inculcated by Hindu religion has been only the beginning of a reverence for all the lower animals, as we call them They have gained a place close to the hearts of the Hindu people,

as being hardly sub-human at all but practically human. Here again it is difficult for us to understand the Hindus, just as it is hard for them to understand us. For example, the slaughter of animals for sport or for food, accompanied by much bloodshed and suffering, which goes on thoughtlessly amongst ourselves, is looked on with utter repulsion by the Hindus. For sub-human life is comparatively free from injury by man in India over large areas. Little birds and squirrels and other tiny animals, which we call "wild" in the West, are so tame in India that they never fear at all the presence of mankind. Combined with this unfortunately runs parallel a neglect of animals in their suffering, which is due to the callousness of extreme poverty. But such callousness is not confined to animals, it is extended to human life owing to the same cause.

One further fact is noticeable. This reverence for the cow, which has been boldly established in the midst of other religious sanctions, has attached the people of the land to the soil. It has kept Hindus predominantly agricultural in character. Among no other people perhaps is this attachment to the soil so close and intimate. There is a pathetically anomalous sight to-day when Hindus within town areas will positively insist, against all conception of strict municipal regulations, on having cows near to them in the streets and lanes of the city, reminding them by their very presence of the country which is their birthright.

Where in other countries there has been a strong pressure and drag towards an urban civilization, this reverence for the cattle, which is centuries deep in every orthodox Hindu's heart, has proved an equally strong attraction in India in the opposite direction. If a symbol

of the rural life were needed for the religious heart of man to fix his gaze upon in worship, the Hindu symbol of the cow may not be so irrational as at first sight appears. Among the many symbols that Christians associate with the Nativity in the Gospel story, the one symbol of Christ being born in a manger, with the patient, lowly cattle standing by and gazing with their great big eyes at the new-born Child, whose name is "Wonderful, the Prince of Peace", is probably most near of all to the heart of India.

It would not be feasible to go farther in explaining beforehand the different parts of Mahatma Gandhi's definition of Hinduism, where they are foreign to Western minds; but one thing may be stated—he himself and every instructed orthodox Hindu believes in one Supreme God. The word "God"—without any further connotation—is well known in every Indian language and is constantly on every Hindu's lips. The name of God is written on every Hindu's heart, and when he thinks of God he thinks of Him as One and Supreme. In all my many intimate talks on religion with Mahatma Gandhi, amid many divergences and shades of contrast, I have never felt that there was any real difference between us with regard to this ultimate belief. Here we were on common ground. In this sense Mr Gandhi is a theist and so am I, to both of us this belief in God is as certain and immediate as our own personal existence.

A few Sanskrit words still remain to be translated. The word "Sanatani", with which he begins, means literally eternal or unchanging. It may be used to describe the Hindu orthodox position. Mr Gandhi does not belong to any of the new reforming sects of Hinduism. He is a conservative in religion.

The Vedas are the most ancient of the Hindu Scriptures commonly regarded by Hindus as infallible, in the same way that the Hebrew Scriptures are considered to be infallible by many Christian people. The Upanishads are the earliest philosophic treatises. The Puranas represent the legends of ancient Hinduism.

The words "Varnashrama Dharma" signify the religion of the Hindu Caste System. Varna in Sanskrit means "colour" or "caste", Dharma signifies "Religion".

With these preliminary explanations the following is Mahatma Gandhi's most recent definition of his own Hindu faith:

"I call myself a Sanatani Hindu because—

1 I believe in the Vedas, the Upanishads, the Puranas, and all that goes by the name of Hindu Scriptures, and therefore in Avatars (divine incarnations) and rebirth

2 I believe in Varnashrama Dharma in a sense strictly Vedic, but not in its present popular and crude sense

3 I believe in 'Cow Protection' in a much larger sense than the popular belief

4 I do not disbelieve in 'idol-worship'

5 I believe implicitly in the Hindu aphorism that no one truly knows the Scriptures who has not attained perfection in Innocence (Ahimsa), Truth (Satya), and Self-control (Brahmacharya), and who has not renounced all acquisition or possession of wealth

6 I believe, along with every Hindu, in God and His Oneness, in rebirth and salvation

That which distinguishes Hinduism from every other religion is its 'Cow Protection', even more than its

Varnashrama Varnashrama is in my opinion inherent in human nature, and Hinduism has simply reduced it to a science. It attaches to birth A man cannot change 'Varna' by choice Not to abide by Varna is to disregard the law of heredity¹

I do *not* believe in the exclusive divinity of the Vedas I believe the Bible, the Quran, and the Zend Avesta to be as much divinely inspired as the Vedas. My belief in the Hindu Scriptures does not require me to accept every word and every verse as divinely inspired Nor do I claim to have any first-hand knowledge of these wonderful books. But I do claim to know and feel the truths of the essential teaching of the Scriptures I decline to be bound by any interpretation, however learned it may be, if it is repugnant to reason or moral sense

I do *not* believe that interdining, or even intermarriage, necessarily deprives a man of the Caste status that his birth has given him The four divisions, Brahmin, Kshattriya, Vaishya, Shudra, define a man's calling, they do not restrict or regulate social intercourse The divisions define duties, they confer no privileges It is, I hold, against the genius of Hinduism to arrogate to oneself a higher status, or assign to another a lower status All are born to serve God's creation—a Brahmin with his knowledge, a Kshattriya with his power of protection, a Vaishya with his commercial ability, and a Shudra with his bodily labour

This, however, does not mean that a Brahmin is absolved from bodily labour, or the duty of protecting himself and others His birth makes a Brahmin predominantly a man of knowledge, the fittest by heredity

See Appendix II

and training to impart it to others There is nothing, again, to prevent the Shudra from acquiring all the knowledge he wishes Only he will best serve with his body, and need not envy others their special qualities for service But a Brahmin who claims superiority by right of knowledge falls, and has no knowledge And so with the others, who pride themselves upon their special qualities Varnashrama implies self-restraint, conservation, and economy of energy

Though, therefore, Varnashrama is not affected by interdining or intermarriage, Hinduism does most emphatically discourage these things

Hinduism has reached the highest limit of self-restraint It is undoubtedly a religion of renunciation of the flesh, so that the spirit may be set free By restricting a Hindu's choice of a bride for his son to a particular group he exercises rare self-restraint

Hinduism does not regard the married state as by any means essential for salvation Marriage is a 'fall' even as 'birth' is a fall Salvation is freedom from birth and hence from death also

Prohibition against intermarriage and interdining is essential for a rapid evolution of the soul But this self-denial is no test of Varna (Caste) A Brahmin may remain a Brahmin, though he may dine with his Shudra brother, provided he has not left off his duty of service by knowledge It follows from what I have said above that restraint in matters of marriage and dining is not based upon notions of superiority A Hindu who refuses to dine with another from a sense of superiority altogether misrepresents his Hindu religion

Unfortunately, to-day Hinduism seems to consist

merely in 'eating' and 'not eating'. Hinduism is in danger of losing its substance, it is resolving itself into a matter of elaborate rules as to what and with whom to eat. Abstemiousness from meat is undoubtedly a great aid to the evolution of the spirit, but it is by no means an end in itself. Many a man eating meat and dining with everybody, but living in the fear of God, is nearer to his salvation than a man religiously abstaining from meat and many other things, but blaspheming God in every one of his acts

The central fact of Hinduism, however, is 'Cow Protection'. 'Cow Protection' to me is one of the most wonderful phenomena in all human evolution, for it takes the human being beyond his species. The cow to me means the entire sub-human world. Man through the cow is enjoined to realize his identity with all that lives! Why the cow was selected for apotheosis is obvious to me. The cow was in India the best companion. She was the giver of plenty. Not only did she give milk, but she also made agriculture possible. The cow is a poem of pity. One reads pity in the gentle animal. She is the 'mother' to millions of Indian mankind. Protection of the cow means protection of the whole dumb creation of God. The ancient seer, whoever he was, began in India with the cow. The appeal of the lower order of creation is all the more forcible because it is speechless. 'Cow Protection' is the gift of Hinduism to the world, and Hinduism will live so long as there are Hindus to protect the cow.

Hindus are enjoined to protect the cow by self-purification, by self-sacrifice. The present day 'Cow Protection' has degenerated into a perpetual feud with the

Mussalmans, whereas true cow protection means conquering the Mussalmans by our love

A Mussalman friend sent me some time ago a book detailing the inhumanities practised by us on the cow and her progeny how we bleed her to take the last drop of milk from her; how we starve her to emaciation, how we ill-treat the calves, how we deprive them of their portion of milk, how cruelly we treat the oxen, how we castrate them, how we beat them, how we overload them. If they had speech they would bear witness to our crimes against them which would stagger the world By every act of cruelty to our cattle we disown God and Hinduism, I do not know that the condition of the cattle in any other part of the world is so bad as in unhappy India We may not blame the Englishman for this, we may not plead poverty in our defence Criminal negligence is the only cause of the miserable condition of our cattle Our cow-shelters, though they are an answer to our instinct of mercy, are a clumsy demonstration of its execution Instead of being model dairy farms and great profitable national institutions they are merely depots for receiving decrepit cattle

Hindus will be judged, not by their correct chanting of sacred texts, not by their pilgrimages, not by their most punctilious observance of Caste rules, but by their ability to protect the cow Whilst professing the religion of 'Cow Protection' we have enslaved the cow and her progeny and have become slaves ourselves

I can no more describe my feeling for Hinduism than for my own wife She moves me as no other woman in the world can Not that she has no faults, I dare say she has many more than I see myself. But the feeling of an

indissoluble bond is there. Even so I feel for and about Hinduism with all its faults and limitations Nothing elates me so much as the music of the Gita or Tulsidas's Ramayana, the only two books in Hinduism I may be said really to know When on one occasion I fancied I was taking my last breath the Gita was my solace.

I know the vice that is going on to-day in all the great Hindu shrines, but I love them in-spite of their unspeakable failings. There is an interest which I take in them and in no other. I am a reformer through and through But my zeal never leads me to the rejection of any of the essential things of Hinduism.

I have said I do not disbelieve in idol-worship An idol does not excite any feeling of veneration in me But I think that idol-worship is part of human nature. We hanker after symbolism Why should one be more composed in a church than elsewhere? Images are an aid to worship No Hindu considers an image to be God I do not consider idol-worship sin

It is clear from the foregoing that Hinduism is not an exclusive religion In it there is room for the worship of all the prophets of the world It is not a missionary religion in the ordinary sense of the term It has no doubt absorbed many tribes in its fold, but this absorption has been of an evolutionary, imperceptible character. Hinduism tells everyone to worship God according to his own faith, and so it lives at peace with all the religions

That being my conception of Hinduism, I have never been able to reconcile myself to 'untouchability' I have always regarded it as an excrescence It is true that it has been handed down to us from generations, but so are many evil practices even to this day I should be

ashamed to think that dedication of temple girls to virtual prostitution was a part of Hinduism, yet it is practised by Hindus in many parts of India¹

Again, I consider it positive irreligion to sacrifice goats to Kali and do not consider it a part of Hinduism. There was, no doubt, at one time sacrifice of animals offered in the name of religion, but it is not true religion, much less is it Hindu religion.

So, also, it seems to me that when 'Cow Protection' became an article of faith with our ancestors, those who persisted in eating beef were excommunicated. The civil strife must then have been fierce. Social boycott was applied not only to the recalcitrants, but their sins were visited upon their children also. The practice of boycott, which had probably its origin in good intentions, hardened into harsh usage, and even verses crept into our sacred books giving the practice of boycott a permanence wholly undeserved and still less justified.

Such is my own theory of the origin of 'untouchability'. Whether it is correct or not, 'untouchability' is repugnant to reason and to the instinct of pity or love. A religion that establishes the worship of the cow cannot possibly countenance or warrant a cruel and unhuman boycott of human beings, and I should be content to be torn to pieces rather than disown the suppressed classes. Hindus will certainly never deserve freedom, nor get it, if they allow their noble religion to be disgraced by the retention of the taint of untouchability, and, as I love Hinduism dearer than life itself, the taint has become

¹ Since the above statement, legislation for the abolition of this practice has been introduced in the Madras Legislative Council.

for me an intolerable burden Let us not deny God by denying to a fifth of our race the right of association on an equal footing."

Certain parts of this statement of Hindu belief would be challenged historically by such a thinker and philosopher as Rabindranath Tagore. The most important point, which cannot be left without comment, is Mahatma Gandhi's description of marriage as a "fall" Tagore, in a famous letter, refers to this side of Gandhi's ascetic disposition as akin to Buddhism rather than to the Upanishad teaching of early Hinduism He points also to the early ideal of Hindu life contained in the four different stages which have to be passed through for the completion of human experience Among these the second stage, that of the married householder, is the most important¹

Though there is a strain of asceticism running through many forms of popular Hinduism which appear to regard marriage as an evil necessity, yet the sacredness attached to marriage as a sacrament in the Hindu religion makes it difficult to believe that the negative ideal of celibacy has ever been fundamental in India, except during the Buddhist ascendancy The Indian people finally rejected Buddhism as their own religious creed There are hardly any Buddhists remaining in India proper to-day

With regard to Mahatma Gandhi's thoughts on the deeper aspects of the spiritual life, we have passages in

¹ See Chapter XV, page 267, where the letter is quoted in connection with Non-Co-operation Tagore regards Mahatma Gandhi's idea of marriage as having the same negative aspect See also the short list of common words at the beginning of this book, where the four stages are given

his writings which may supplement the somewhat external character of his religious opinion of Hinduism given above. The two which follow deal with the mystery of God and the instinct of faith whereby God is apprehended. The former runs thus —

"There is an indefinable mysterious Power that pervades everything I feel it, though I do not see it. It is this unseen Power which makes itself felt and yet defies all proof, because it is so unlike all that I perceive through my senses. It transcends the senses. But it is possible to reason out the existence of God to a limited extent.

Even in ordinary affairs we know that people do not know who rules or why and how he rules, and yet they know that there is a power that certainly rules. In my tour last year in Mysore I met many poor villagers, and I found upon inquiry that they did not know who ruled Mysore, they simply said some god ruled it. If the knowledge of these poor people was so limited about their ruler, I who am infinitely lesser in respect to God than they to their ruler need not be surprised if I do not realize the presence of God, the King of kings.

Nevertheless I do feel, as the poor villagers felt about Mysore, that there is orderliness in the universe, there is an unalterable Law governing everything and every being that exists or lives. It is not a blind law, for no blind law can govern the conduct of living beings, and thanks to the marvellous researches of Sir J. C. Bose, it can now be proved that even matter is life.

That Law, then, which governs all life is God. Law and the law-giver are one. I may not deny the Law or the

Law-Giver because I know so little about It or Him Just as my denial or ignorance of the existence of an earthly power will avail me nothing, even so my denial of God and His law will not liberate me from its operation, whereas humble and mute acceptance of divine authority makes life's journey easier even as the acceptance of earthly rule makes life under it easier

I do dimly perceive that whilst everything around me is ever-changing, ever-dying, there is underlying all that change a Living Power that is changeless, that holds all together, that creates, dissolves, and re-creates. That informing Power or Spirit is God, and since nothing else that I see merely through the senses can or will persist, He alone is

And is this power benevolent or malevolent? I see it as purely benevolent For I can see that in the midst of death life persists, in the midst of untruth, truth persists; in the midst of darkness, light persists Hence I gather that God is Life, Truth, Light He is Love He is the supreme Good.

But He is no God who merely satisfies the intellect, if He ever does. God, to be God, must rule the heart and transform it He must express Himself in every smallest act of His votary This can only be done through a definite realization more real than the five senses can ever produce Sense perceptions can be, and often are, false and deceptive, however real they may appear to us Where there is realization outside the senses it is infallible It is proved, not by extraneous evidence, but in the transformed conduct and character of those who have felt the real presence of God within.

Such testimony is to be found in the experiences

of an unbroken line of prophets and sages in all countries and climes To reject this evidence is to deny oneself

This realization is preceded by an immovable faith He who would in his own person test the fact of God's presence can do so by a living faith, and since faith itself cannot be proved by extraneous evidence, the safest course is to believe in the moral government of the world, and therefore in the supremacy of the moral law, the law of Truth and Love Exercise of faith will be the safest where there is a clear determination summarily to reject all that is contrary to Truth and Love

I confess that I have no argument to convince through reason Faith transcends reason All I can advise is not to attempt the impossible I cannot account for the existence of evil by any rational method To want to do so is to be co-equal with God I am therefore humble enough to recognize evil as such, and I call God long-suffering and patient precisely because He permits evil in the world I know that He has no evil in Himself, and yet if there is evil He is the author of it and yet untouched by it

I know, too, that I shall never know God if I do not wrestle with and against evil, even at the cost of life itself I am fortified in the belief by my own humble and limited experience The purer I try to become the nearer to God I feel myself to be How much more should I be near to Him when my faith is not a mere apology, as it is to-day, but has become as immovable as the Himalayas and as white as the snows on their peaks? Meanwhile I pray with Newman, who sang from experience —

Lead, kindly Light, amid the encircling gloom,
 Lead Thou me on,
 The night is dark and I am far from home,
 Lead Thou me on,
 Keep Thou my feet, I do not ask to see
 The distant scene, one step enough for me ”

The second passage relates Mahatma Gandhi's own belief in the following manner.—

“To me God is Truth and Love, God is ethics and morality; God is fearlessness; God is the source of Light and Life, and yet He is above and beyond all these God is conscience. He is even the atheism of the atheist For in His boundless love God permits the atheist to live He is the searcher of hearts He transcends speech and reason He knows us and our hearts better than we do ourselves He does not take us at our word, for He knows that we often do not mean it, some knowingly and others unknowingly

{ God is personal to those who need His personal presence He is embodied to those who need His touch He is all things to all men He is in us and yet above and beyond us Man may banish the word ‘God’ in taking an oath, but he has no power to banish the Thing itself. }

God cannot cease to be because hideous immoralities or inhuman brutalities are committed in His name He is long-suffering He is patient, but He is also terrible He is the most exacting personage in the world and the world to come He metes out the same measure to us that we mete out to our neighbours—men and brutes With Him ignorance is no excuse And withal He is ever-forgiving, for He always gives us the chance to repent. He is the

greatest Democrat the world knows, for He leaves us 'unfettered' to make our own choice between evil and good. He is the greatest Tyrant ever known, for He often dashes the cup from our lips and under cover of free will leaves us a margin so wholly inadequate as to provide only mirth for Himself at our expense

Therefore it is that Hinduism calls it all His sport—Lila; or else calls it all an illusion—Maya We are not, He alone Is ”

In these last sentences it must be understood that Mahatma Gandhi is speaking in the poetical language of paradox, seeking in dim imagery to portray the Unimaginable This aspect of God's nature, which is beyond human imagination in its deep mystery, is often called in popular Hinduism God's play (lila) or His illusion (maya) But this to Mahatma Gandhi, is no contradiction of His divine essence as Truth (Satya) and Harmlessness (Ahimsa)

In a third passage, which I have selected out of many which he has written, we find how Mahatma Gandhi himself in his own person prefers the active to the contemplative life, how he is able to find the greatest satisfaction in prayer to God through service to mankind He writes thus —

“Worshipping God is singing the praise of God Prayer is a confession of one's own unworthiness and weakness God has a thousand names, or, rather, He is nameless We may worship or pray to Him by whichever name we please Some call Him Rama, some Krishna, others call Him God All worship the same Spirit But as all foods do not agree with all, so all names do not appeal to all

Each chooses the name according to his own associations, and He being the In-Dweller, the All-Powerful, the Omniscient, knows our innermost feelings and responds to us according to our deserts

Worship and prayer, therefore, are not to be performed with the lips but with the heart That is why they can be performed equally by the dumb and the stammerer, by the ignorant and the stupid, and the prayers of those whose tongues are nectared, but whose hearts are full of poison, are never heard He, therefore, who would pray to God must cleanse his heart The divine name Rama was not only on the lips of Hanuman¹, He was enthroned in his heart Rama gave Hanuman exhaustless strength In Rama's strength Hanuman lifted the mountain and crossed the ocean It is faith that steers us through the stormy seas, faith that moves mountains, and faith that jumps across the ocean That faith is nothing but a living, wide-awake consciousness of God within He who has achieved that faith wants nothing

'But how is the heart to be cleansed to this extent?'

Only the true devotee knows this and can teach it The Gita has defined the true devotee in three places, and talked of him generally everywhere But a knowledge of the definition of a devotee is hardly a sufficient guide They are rare on this earth I have therefore suggested the Religion of Service as the means God of Himself seeks for His seat the heart of him who serves his fellow-men That is why the poet who 'saw and knew' sang, 'He is a true Vaishnava who knows how to melt at another's woe' Such was Abu Ben Adhem He served his

¹ Hanuman in the legend was the king of the monkey tribes who served Rama, the incarnate Hero-God, and worshipped him with true devotion

fellow-men, and therefore his name topped the list of those who served God.

But who are the suffering and the woebegone? The suppressed and the poverty-stricken He who would be a devotee, therefore, must serve these by body, soul, and mind. He who does not even condescend to exert his body to the extent of spinning for the sake of the poor, and trots out lame excuses, does not know the meaning of service. He who spins before the poor, inviting them to do likewise, serves God as no one else does. The Lord says in the Gita 'He who gives me even a trifle, such as a fruit or a flower or even a leaf, in the spirit of devotion, is my servant. And He hath His footstool where live "the poorest and the lowliest and lost"'¹. The work of spinning, therefore, for such is the greatest prayer, the greatest worship, the greatest sacrifice. A prayerful heart is the vehicle, and service makes the heart prayerful. Those Hindus who in this age serve the 'untouchables' from a full heart, they truly pray, those who spin prayerfully for the poor and the needy, they truly pray ''

On no single day of his long fast at Delhi,² in spite of his extreme weakness, did Mahatma Gandhi cease to perform his allotted task at the spinning-wheel in order to realize his oneness with the poor. Thus what he has here set down is not a matter of theory but of practical experience.

¹ From Tagore's *Gitanjali*, x

² See Chapter XVIII

CHAPTER II

THE HINDU-MUSLIM PROBLEM

WITH SUCH a conception of Hinduism as that which Mahatma Gandhi has always carried with him in his daily life the question arises. What method of approach would he have towards Islam from such an angle of vision?

The contrasts between Hinduism and the creed of the Arabian Prophet are extreme. Hinduism accepts whole-heartedly the worship of God, symbolized in images and elaborate temple worship. Islam rejects absolutely all idolatry. Hinduism makes its appeal to the multitude through religious music and ritual. Islam is sternly austere in its Puritan rejection of music at the time of prayer. Hinduism, by its metaphysical speculations and its accommodation to the crude ideas of the masses, makes room for a Pantheon of deities and heroes in its conception of the Unseen and Eternal. Islam, arising from the bare solitude of the Arabian Desert, has avoided metaphysical subtlety and insisted on the majestic Unity of God, transcendent and omnipotent.

Thus, not only in their outward appeal to the masses, but also in their inner philosophy, it would be difficult to find two religions more diverse than these two which have divided the North of India. Out of an agelong struggle compromises have been reached, and (as I shall show later) Eastern mystics from either side have endeavoured tentatively to bridge over the gulf. Hinduism, indeed, with its assimilative traditions and antecedents, has even been ready to come to terms with Islam,

if terms could be offered But the Monotheism of Islam has remained intractable

Islam had presented in India from the very first the victorious creed of the conquering race It has been singularly free from racial and marriage distinctions It has set great store by the conversion of those who were outside the fold of the faithful. Essentially it has been a proselytizing religion and has made converts until its numbers have reached to over seventy millions out of a population of three hundred and twenty millions It is true that during one comparatively brief period the early Moghul Emperors had acted with notable toleration But the zeal of the Emperor Aurangzeb made him revert to the more primitive method of fighting against the idolators He arrogantly built his own mosque, with its towering minarets, in the heart of the Holy City of the Hindus, at Benares After his death, even when the decrepitude of the Emperors prevented their carrying out this intransigent policy of Aurangzeb, the bitter hatred between the two communities smouldered like a hidden fire, ready to break out at any moment into a conflagration The ultimate problem of modern India is the reconciliation of these two opposing religions

No one, of course, would dream of condoning the brutalities of the earlier Muhammadan invasions of India under Mahmud of Ghazni and his successors Muslim historians in India have been the first to condemn them But when we contemplate India as a whole to-day, in the north as well as in the south, we can see how, in certain matters that are vital and essential, the north of India has been truly purged by the presence of Islam from the accumulation of dead and decaying matter

which was unwholesome and even poisonous If the miasma of "untouchability" and some of the lower mists of idol-worship have been lifted from the atmosphere of Northern India more than from the South, it is not a little due to the constant presence of a faith which swept aside the luxuriant ritual growth that had encumbered the pure worship of the One God, and insisted at the same time that in His presence all believers are equal

Thus Islam has been in India, wherever it has held sway, a cleansing medium One of its greatest blessings to East and West alike has been the emphasis which at a critical period in human history it placed upon the Divine Unity For during those Dark Ages both in East and West, from A D 600 to 1,000, this doctrine was in danger of being overlaid and obscured in Hinduism and in Christianity itself, owing to the immense accretion of subsidiary worships of countless saints and demigods and heroes Islam has been, both to Europe and to India, in their darkest hour of aberration from the sovereign truth of God's unity, an invaluable corrective and deterrent Indeed, without the final emphasis to this truth which Islam gave from its central position—facing India and facing Europe—it is doubtful whether this idea of God as One could have obtained that established place in human thought which is uncontested in the intellectual world to-day and also widely spread among the common people It reinforced in a vital manner the witness which the Jewish Religion has perpetually borne

Furthermore, this divine truth, which has thus been preserved by Islam and Judaism alike, is not merely an abstract postulate of scientific thought rather it is the

most vital of all experiences and the very soul of pure religion More perhaps than anything else in Islam it has been this aspect of the Divine Unity which has profoundly affected Northern India. The note that is struck is a Puritan note, but it is as a purifying element in religion that Islam has brought the greatest benefit to mankind

It will be understood from what I have written that one of the gravest difficulties to be overcome in India in order to obtain national unity has always been this direct antagonism between Hinduism and Islam While the latter religion has acted as a purge, it has also stirred up bitter hatreds; and these have gone deep into the heart of the Hindu people Perhaps there is no more acute religious strife in the East to-day than that between Hindus and Mussalmans During long periods this bitterness may fall into abeyance among the masses The natural kindness and good-nature of the people of India may reassert itself But sooner or later, as past history has shown, a wave of fanatical hatred seems to sweep over the country and then all the deep-seated passions come back to the surface in terrible forms

It may be well to set out in a tabular form some of the major controversies which divide Hinduism from Islam

1. Islam regards all idolators as sinful It refuses to allow any image-worship whatsoever, and condemns idolators as subject to the Divine Wrath

2. Islam enjoins the sacrifice of an animal, on a certain religious festival, as a matter of religious obligation This animal is not infrequently a cow It is true that some other animal may be substituted, but cows are still not uncommonly slaughtered in the name of Islam, and this has

incensed the illiterate Hindus almost beyond endurance. I have seen with my own eyes their indignation, and it is something that I can never forget

3. Orthodox Hinduism regards it as sinful to take food at the hands of a Mussalman. To do this would imply the breaking of Caste. No intermarriage is allowed for the same reason. This idea of pollution, which is not seldom present to the Hindu mind, is often a cause of offence to Mussalmans

4. Popular Hinduism insists on music being played in the street, even before mosques, when religious ceremonies are being observed. Pious Mussalmans have become deeply incensed when the idols of Hindu gods and goddesses have been carried at these religious festivals with obtrusive ceremony past the doors of their own mosques. This not infrequently takes place in Northern India at the sacred hour of prayer, accompanied by loud drum-beating and the clanging of cymbals. Such music before mosques interrupts the silent worshippers, and often raises the spirit of fanatical hatred to a deadly point. I have talked on this subject with an old saintly Mussalman whose prayers had been thus interrupted. He was drawing near to the hour of death, and all bitterness had departed from his heart, but the wound that had been left in his own beautiful spirit was evident

Thus, on either side, these antagonisms have become most painful subjects to the devotees of both religions. Among the illiterate masses, who are chiefly impressed by outward observances, such irritations when actually paraded in the street become wellnigh intolerable. When the followers of Islam lead a cow in sacrifice along the main street with a sacrificial garland round its neck,

marking it out for slaughter, or when the followers of Hinduism beat their drums with pompous idol-worship before the doors of the mosques, riots may take place and bloodshed ensue. It was to stop such shedding of blood and to atone for such sins of murder that Mahatma Gandhi undertook his famous Fast at Delhi which I have recorded elsewhere.

During all the centuries that have intervened since the first Mussalman invasion immense efforts have been made by good people on both sides to overcome these profound incompatibilities. In the Middle Ages the great saints of Hinduism throughout the whole extent of the North of India, from Bengal in the East to Sind in the West, made the most earnest and sustained effort to appreciate Islam. They interpreted the fundamental doctrine of the Unity of God as in accord with their own Upanishad teaching. They even treated idolatry and Caste as matters of supreme indifference. Furthermore, they paid respect to Islam along such lines of pure devotion and goodness that the Mussalman saints from their side—especially those who were called Sufis—welcomed Hindu religious philosophy and appropriated its ideals. Thus in different earlier generations it seemed almost possible that a harmony between Hinduism and Islam could be attained. But the crude orthodoxy on either side has continually stood in the way and thrown things back again into riot and confusion.

During one period of Mahatma Gandhi's career in India, which is called the Non-Co-operation Movement, he made the nearest approach to Islam that has ever been made by Hinduism in recent times. He found that the devout followers of Islam, who revered the Caliph at

Constantinople as the Head of their religion, had been outraged by the ignominious terms of surrender imposed upon the Commander of the Faithful at the end of the War. For the victorious Allies threatened the Caliphate itself and were ready to destroy much of the Caliph's temporal authority, thus threatening Islam at its very centre.

For centuries past this sacred office of the Caliph had been filled by the Sultan of Turkey. The Indian Mussalmans, who number over seventy millions, had claimed from the Allies that if they took part in the war against the Turks the temporal and spiritual power of the Caliph should not be injured. They also claimed that there should be no interference with the Caliph's guardianship of the two holy cities, Mecca and Medina, which are visited by thousands of devout pilgrims every year. An official statement made by Mr. Lloyd George, the Prime Minister of Great Britain, in January, 1918, was regarded by all Indian Mussalmans as a satisfactory promise to them that these two matters of vital religious importance would be granted.

But when the War was over the conduct of the Allies in their treatment of the Sultan of Turkey was such that Mr. Lloyd George was immediately charged with flagrantly breaking his word. The Musselman population of North India was deeply agitated, and a movement in favour of the Caliph, called the Khilafat Movement, was started in order to obtain better treatment for Turkey and to restore the power of the Caliph as Head of the Muslim Faith.

At this point Mahatma Gandhi, whose one intense longing had always been to unite Hindus and Mussalmans

together in one common Indian Nation, seized the psychological opportunity of supporting the Mussalmans in what he held to be a righteous cause. He promised them his entire devotion on behalf of their Caliph and gave himself whole-heartedly to them. Thus this Khilafat question, which was agitating Islam in India, became for a time the direct means of a cordial reconciliation.

It has been necessary to narrate in plain terms this situation in North India at the end of the War in order to elucidate what lies behind the quotation which follows from Mahatma Gandhi's writing on the subject of "Hindu Muslim Unity". It will be seen just how far he was prepared to go and where he was obliged to draw the line. He writes thus:—

"I have been asked whether, if I was sincere in my profession of Hindu-Muslim Unity, I would eat and drink with Mussalmans and give my daughter in marriage to a Muhammadan. This question has been put again by some friends in another form as follows 'Is it necessary for Hindu-Muslim Unity that there should be interdining and intermarrying?'

The questioners say that if the two are necessary real unity can never take place, because many millions of orthodox Hindus would never reconcile themselves to interdining, much less to intermarriage.

I am one of those who do not consider Caste to be a harmful institution. In its origin Caste was a wholesome custom and promoted national well-being. In my opinion the idea that interdining and intermarrying are necessary for national growth is a superstition borrowed from the West. Eating is a process just as vital as the other sanitary

necessities of life, and if mankind had not, much to its harm, made of eating a fetish and indulgence, we should have performed the operation of eating in private even as one performs the other necessary functions of life in private. Indeed, the highest culture in Hinduism regards eating in that light, and there are thousands of Hindus still living who will not eat their food in the presence of anybody else. I can recall the names of several cultured men and women who always eat their food in entire privacy, but who have never had any ill will against anybody and live on the friendliest terms with all.

Intercourse is a still more difficult question. If brothers and sisters can live on the friendliest footing without ever thinking of marrying each other, I can see no difficulty in my daughter regarding every Mussalman as a brother and vice versa. I hold strong views on religion and on marriage. The greater the restraint we exercise with regard to our appetites, whether about eating or marrying, the better we become from a religious standpoint. I should despair of ever cultivating amicable relations with the world if I had to recognize the right or propriety of any young man offering his hand in marriage to my daughter, or to regard it as necessary for me to dine with anybody and everybody. I claim that I am living on terms of friendliness with the whole world. I have never quarrelled with a single Mussalman or Christian. For years past I have taken nothing but fruit in their households.¹ But the restraint, or exclusiveness, exercised

¹ Even conservative Hindus will often take uncooked fruit from the hands of Mussalmans and Christians. But to go farther and take *cooked* food is regarded as breaking Caste.

in these matters by me has never affected the closest companionship with them

The fact is that intermarriage and interdining are not necessary factors in friendship and unity, though they are often emblems thereof. But insistence on either the one or the other can easily become a bar to Hindu-Muslim Unity. If we make ourselves believe that Hindus and Mussalmans cannot be one unless they interdine and intermarry, we create an artificial barrier between us which could hardly be removed at all.

I hold it to be utterly impossible for Hindus and Muhammadans to intermarry and yet retain intact each other's religion, and the true beauty of Hindu-Muslim Unity lies in each remaining true to his own religion and yet being true to each other, for we are thinking of Hindus and Muhammadans, even of the most orthodox type, being able to regard one another no longer as natural enemies as they have done hitherto.

What, then, does the Hindu-Muslim Unity consist in, and how can it be best promoted? The answer is simple. It consists in our having a common purpose, a common goal, and common sorrows. It is best promoted by co-operating in order to reach the common goal, by sharing one another's sorrows and by mutual toleration. A common goal we have. We wish this great country of ours to be greater and self-governing. We have enough sorrows to share, and to-day, seeing that the Muhammadans are deeply touched on the question of the Khilafat and their cause is just, nothing can be so powerful for winning Muhammadan friendship for the Hindu as to give his whole-hearted support to the claim.

And mutual toleration is a necessity for all time and

for all races We cannot live in peace if the Hindus will not tolerate the Muhammadān form of worship of God and his manners and customs, or if the Muhammadans will be impatient of Hindu idolatry or cow-worship It is not necessary for toleration that I must approve of what I tolerate. I heartily dislike liquor-drinking, meat-eating, and smoking, but I tolerate all these in Hindus, Muhammadans, and Christians, even as I expect them to tolerate my total abstinence from all these, although they may dislike it All our quarrels have arisen from each wanting to force the other to his view.”

The more we study Mahatma Gandhi's own life and teaching the more certain it becomes that the Hindu Religion has been the greatest of all influences in shaping his ideas and actions He is in no sense a literalist or a fundamentalist in his adherence to the Hindu Scriptures His extraordinary tolerance of and sympathy with other faiths colours his whole outlook on human life, and makes him at times seem nearer to the acceptance of an indeterminate position than he really is. But his mother's influence, as a devout and gentle Hindu saint, perpetually returns to his mind and conscience, making the fragrance of the ancient Hindu texts so sweet that nothing else in the world can compare with them, to his own imagination, in beauty and truth and sweetness I have noticed this again and again when living intimately with him and sharing his deepest thoughts about religion.

This very fact of his conservative orthodoxy—running side by side with a truly remarkable spiritual freedom—has been his strength with the masses of common people. They have been able to understand him through this

avenue of familiar Hindu Scriptures His homely speech in Hindi is everywhere replete with imagery and illustration from the Hindu sacred books His fasts and penances, his vows and renunciations, are anxiously marked and quickly understood by the millions of simple villagers—those singularly devout and prayerful people who flock everywhere to meet him

On one such occasion, during the night of an eclipse of the moon, I watched an audience of nearly one hundred thousand peasant people, men and women, who had gathered from all the country-side to listen to his simple words They had collected together on that night partly also with a view to perform some religious ceremony at the time of the eclipse, but so deep was their concentration on the speaker that not one of them moved The sight of Mahatma Gandhi was regarded by each and all of them as itself a purification and an act of religion. Just in the same manner as he never fails to understand them, so they in turn never fail to understand him and implicitly follow his guidance

Thus Mahatma Gandhi remains rooted in the soil of India He is not *déraciné*, like so many of those who have stayed for a long time away from India and have adopted wholesale Western customs. His mind stretches out in ever wider and wider circles, as we shall notice in subsequent writings, but the centre of his being ever remains fixed in Hinduism itself, which is his first and only love.

Again and again, as I have watched him, my thoughts have gone back to Wordsworth's description of the skylark, so different from Shelley's marvellous poem In some respects Shelley's thought comes nearer to the surging flights of song of the poet Rabindranath Tagore

But with Mahatma Gandhi there is always the strong gravitation towards the soil of India, even in his boldest essays in idealism. He does not "despise the earth where cares abound", even while he remains ever at heart a "pilgrim of the sky". His "love-prompted strain", where-with he mounts "to the last point of vision",

Thrills not the less the bosom of the plain

At all times and in all emergencies, to quote the last line of the sonnet, he abides

True to the kindred point of Heaven and Home

If it be asked in conclusion what Mahatma Gandhi himself has owed consciously to Islam as a religious faith, in the same way that he has owed much to Buddhist and Christian teachings, the direct answer at first is not altogether plain; though it is obvious to those who know him personally in intimate ways that the contact with Islam has made an immense difference to his own life.

This much is certain his profound admiration for the character of the Prophet Muhammad, as a man of faith and action, and also for his son-in-law Ali, as a man of tender love and suffering, has deeply affected him. He has studied very closely indeed the historical record of the rise of Islam, and has been impressed to a remarkable degree by the nobility of the early Caliphate and the fervent faith of the first followers of the Prophet. The bare simplicity with which they lived, their chivalrous devotion to the poor, their intense belief in God's overruling majesty—all these things have had a great effect upon him, for there is a Puritan strain in Mahatma Gandhi to which such things as these most forcibly appeal

Furthermore, following the example set by the Prophet

of Islam, Mahatma Gandhi has never for a moment separated the political from the spiritual, or failed to deal directly with the social evils which stood out before his eyes Thus the Prophet's supreme, practical instinct as a Reformer, combined with his intense faith in God as the sole Creator and Director of the Universe, has been a constant strength and support to Mahatma Gandhi himself in his own struggle All this I know personally from intimate experience. There is no one at his own home at Sabarmati to whom he goes more surely for quiet counsel, sympathy, and help in a time of prayer than to the Imam, who has accompanied him from South Africa and has taken up his abode with him at his own Ashram Their mutual regard has remained constant and unchanging for over thirty years.

Furthermore, whenever Mahatma Gandhi has turned from this political aspect of the struggle, in order to gain strength for the great conception of suffering injury without retaliation, he has constantly taken the character of the Prophet's son-in-law Ali, and of Hasan and Husain, for his example The story of the suffering of these descendants of the Prophet, which is recited annually at each Muharram celebration, is indeed full of the deepest pathos It illustrates how the human heart is most profoundly moved by stories of heroic endurance meekly and silently borne There is a verse of William Blake, which deserves to be more widely known in this connection, where he writes—

A tear is an intellectual thing,
And a sigh is the sword of an angel King
And the bitter groan of a martyr's woe
Is an arrow from the Almighty's bow

It is this "irresistible might of meekness"—as Milton calls it—which has always appealed most to Mahatma Gandhi, and it is interesting to see where he finds it exemplified in the religion of Islam.

If it be further asked whether the same characteristic can be found in the life of the Prophet himself, Mahatma Gandhi in his writings often refers to the early days of the Prophet's mission, when he was despised and rejected by his own countrymen and was fain to submit to every form of humiliation in silence. He has also related the story of the first days of Islam, when both the Prophet and his followers were content to live in the most self-denying manner and were accessible to the humblest of mankind. The Caliphs who directly succeeded the Prophet remained equally lowly in outward circumstance while their armies were conquering great kingdoms. In these aspects of true humility Mahatma Gandhi has continually set before the whole of India an illustration of the power of suffering to overcome the hardest heart. Thus in his own way he has found the teaching of the Prophet of Islam fully compatible with the principle of Ahimsa, or Non-violence, whereon he lays such stress.

CHAPTER III

THE CHRISTIAN CONTACT

MAHATMA GANDHI may be described, as the previous chapters will have shown, as an orthodox, conservative Hindu, with a deep sense of spiritual freedom and an equally deep passion for inward reform in Hinduism itself His own religious principle of "Swadeshi", which he has defined as carefully as possible in precise words, has always prevented him from contemplating any abandonment of his ancestral faith.¹ The nearest approach to such a possibility, even in the vague realm of contemplation, has been when he has said repeatedly that, if he was forced to believe that "untouchability" was an integral part of Hinduism, he would at once abandon such a faith But in practice he has demonstrated that the Hindu Religion, both in its origin and in its practice, carries with it no such inhuman doctrine

With regard to Mahatma Gandhi's relationship with Christians and the Christian Religion, I am fortunately able to draw upon abundant material from his own speeches and writings There is also a valuable conversation with him in Johannesburg in early days, when his mind had been detached in a great measure from the outward ceremonials of Hinduism and he had constantly taken a silent part in acts of Christian worship by attending different churches and conventions It will be the simplest course to quote in full a vivid description of his views at that time, as given by the Rev. J. J. Doke,

¹ See Chapter VI

of Johannesburg, one of his closest Christian friends, whose faithful record Mahatma Gandhi himself endorsed. He wrote as follows —

One night, when the house was still, we argued out the matter into the morning, and these are the results

His conviction is that old Hinduism was a pure faith, free from idolatry, that the spiritual faith of India has been corrupted by materialism, and because of this she has lost her place in the van of the progressive nations, that through the ages God, pervading all, has manifested Himself in different forms, becoming incarnate, for the purpose of salvation, with the object of leading men back into the right path. The Gita makes Krishna say

"When religion decays and when irreligion prevails, then I manifest myself. For the protection of the good, for the destruction of evil, for the firm establishment of religion, I am born again and again."

"But", said I, "has Christianity any essential place in your theology?"

"It is part of it", he said "Jesus Christ is a bright revelation"

"But not the unique revelation he is to me", I replied immediately, with feeling

"Not in the sense you mean", he said frankly "I cannot set him on a solitary throne, because I believe God has been incarnate again and again"

To him Religion is an intensely practical thing. It underlies all action. Politics, morals, commerce—all that has to do with conscience must be Religion. He can only think in this way.

Naturally his imagination is profoundly stirred by the Sermon on the Mount. The idea of self-renunciation pictured there, as well as in the Bhagavad Gita and *The Light of Asia*, wins his complete assent. Self-mastery, self-denial, self-surrender, under the guidance of the Spirit of God, are, in his conception of life, stepping-stones to the ultimate goal of all, complete absorption of redeemed Man in God.

I question whether any religious creed would be large enough

to express his views, or any church system ample enough to shut him in and enfold him

An incident will illustrate what I have said Mr Gandhi suggested that we should visit the sick wife of Thambi Naidu, who was in prison as a passive resister On our way we were joined by the Muhammadan Maulvi and a Jewish helper in the struggle, together with the Imam of the Mosque There we were—two Mussalmans, a Jew, and a Christian—visiting this Hindu lady She was within a few days of the suffering of motherhood After we had all bent together in silent prayer, the Maulvi spoke a few words to comfort her It was one of the many glimpses we have had lately of that Divine Love which mocks at the boundaries of creeds and limits of colour and race It was a vision of Mr Gandhi's own ideal

Owing chiefly to his sense of the sacredness of life, vegetarianism is with Mr Gandhi a deep religious principle The battle was fought out in childhood under his mother's influence But since that time abstinence from all animal food has become a matter of strong conviction with him and he preaches it zealously When in these Transvaal prisons the authorities persisted in cooking the crushed mealies of the prisoners in animal fat, his followers preferred to starve rather than to touch it

It is also part of his creed to live simply He believes that all luxury is wrong He teaches that a great deal of sickness, and most of the sins of our day, may be traced to this source To curb and discipline the flesh with a strong hand, to crucify it, to bring the needs of his own life—Thoreau- and Tolstoy-like—withn the narrowest limits, are positive delights to him, only to be rivalled by the joy of guiding other lives into the same path

I write this in the house in which he usually lives when in Johannesburg Yonder is the open stove, there is the rolled-up mattress on which he sleeps It would be difficult to imagine a life less open to the assaults of pride or sloth than the life lived here, everything that can minister to the flesh is abjured

Mr Gandhi is not a Christian in any orthodox sense Perhaps orthodox Christianity has itself to blame for this There is little inducement in South Africa for an Indian to recognize the Loveli-

ness of Christ under the disguise in which Christianity clothes the Lord What interest has the Christian Church in Johannesburg shown in these thousands from India and China who for years have been residents in our midst? Practically none Are they encouraged to believe that they, too, are souls for whom Christ died? By no means

Here and there individual efforts have been made, and some few Indians attend Christian places of worship, but for the most part they have been left severely alone, while the few men who have tried to show that there is still a heart of love in the Church of Christ, and have dared to speak a word on behalf of a suffering people, have been subjected to all manner of abuse and have been made to suffer with them It is this discrepancy between a beautiful creed and our treatment of the Indian at the door which repels the man who thinks

We have failed also to realize the inwardness of the Passive Resistance Movement It is their claim, put forward in suffering, to be treated by Christians in a Christian way

Meanwhile, although according to my thinking the seeker has not yet reached the goal, I cannot forget what the Master Himself said, "Not everyone that saith unto Me, Lord, Lord, shall enter into the Kingdom of Heaven, but he that doeth the will of My Father which is in Heaven "

We have another record, in Mr. Gandhi's own words, of a friendship with a Quaker, named Mr Coates, which may be quoted to complete the story of those times. It should be mentioned that both Gandhi and Tagore regard the Society of Friends as the nearest representation of what they themselves have read about the Christian life in the Gospels He writes thus —

"Mr Coates had a great affection for me We went out walks together and he also took me to other Christian friends In pure faith I consented to read the books he sent me, and as I went on reading them we discussed

them. I do not remember the names of them all, but they included the *Commentary* of Dr Parker of the City Temple, Pearson's *Many Infallible Proofs*, and Butler's *Analogy*. Parts of these were unintelligible to me. I liked some things in them, while I did not like others. *Many Infallible Proofs* were proofs in support of the religion of the Bible as the author understood it; the book had no effect on me. Parker's *Commentary* was morally stimulating, but it could not be of any help to one who had no faith in the prevalent Christian beliefs. Butler's *Analogy* struck me as being a very profound and difficult book, which should be read four or five times to be understood properly. It seemed to me to be written with a view to converting atheists to Theism. The arguments advanced in it regarding the existence of God were unnecessary for me, as I had then passed the stage of unbelief, but the arguments in proof of Jesus being the only Incarnation of God and the Mediator between God and man left me unmoved.

But Mr Coates was not the man easily to accept defeat. He had great affection for me. He saw, round my neck, the Vaishnava necklace of Tulasi-beads. He thought it to be superstition, and was pained by it. 'This superstition does not become you. Come, let me break the necklace.'

'No, you will not. It is a sacred gift from my mother.'
'But do you believe in it?'

'I do not know its mysterious significance. I do not think I should come to harm if I did not wear it. But I cannot, without sufficient reason, give up a necklace that she put round my neck out of love and in the conviction that it would be conducive to my welfare. When with the passage of time it wears away and breaks of-

its own accord, I shall have no desire to get a new one
But this necklace cannot be broken'

Mr Coates could not appreciate my argument, as he had no regard for my religion He was looking forward to delivering me from the abyss of ignorance He wanted to convince me that no matter whether there was some truth in other religions salvation was impossible for me unless I accepted Christianity, which represented *the* truth, and that my sins would not be washed away except by the intercession of Jesus, and that all good works were useless."

Mr Gandhi then goes on to describe his contact at this time with a Plymouth Brother, who argued with him that it was impossible to live in this world sinless, and therefore Jesus suffered and atoned for all the sins of mankind Only he who accepted Christ's great redemption could have continual peace, even while he went on sinning: otherwise life was restless and uncertain in this world of sin

Mahatma Gandhi continues his story as follows.—

"This argument utterly failed to convince me. I humbly replied.

'If this be the Christianity acknowledged by all Christians, I cannot accept it. I do not seek redemption from the consequences of my sin, I seek to be redeemed from sin itself, or rather from the very thought of sin Until I have attained that end I shall be content to be restless'

To which the Plymouth Brother rejoined 'I assure you your attempt is fruitless. Think again over what I have said.'

And the Brother proved as good as his word. He voluntarily committed transgressions, and showed me that he was undisturbed by the thought of them.

But already I knew, before meeting with these friends, that all Christians did not believe in such a theory of Atonement Mr Coates himself walked in the fear of God His heart was pure, and he believed in the possibility of self-purification Others also shared his belief. Some of the books that came into my hands were full of devotion So, although Mr Coates was very much disturbed by this latest experience of mine, I was able to reassure him and tell him that the distorted belief of a Plymouth Brother could not prejudice me against Christianity.

My difficulties lay elsewhere They were with regard to the Bible and its accepted interpretation ”

We are able to connect this passage from his *Autobiography* with a remarkable address which Mahatma Gandhi delivered to the Christian missionaries assembled in Calcutta at the Y W C.A on July 28, 1925

“Not many of you”, he said, “know that my association with Christians—not Christians so-called but *real* Christians—dates from 1889, when as a lad I found myself in London, and that association has grown riper as years have rolled on In South Africa, where I found myself in the midst of inhospitable surroundings, I was able to make hundreds of Christian friends I came in touch with the late Mr Spencer Watton, Director of the South Africa General Mission, and later with the great divine, the Rev. Andrew Murray, and several others.

There was even a time in my life when a very sincere and intimate friend of mine, a great and good Quaker, had designs on me. He thought that I was too good not to become a Christian. I was sorry to have to disappoint him. One missionary friend of mine in South Africa still writes to me and asks me, 'How is it with you?' I have always told him that so far as I know it is all well with me. If it was prayer that these friends expected me to make, I was able to tell them that every day the heartfelt prayer within the closed door of my closet went to the Almighty to show me light and give me wisdom and courage to follow that light.

In answer to promises made to one of these Christian friends of mine, I thought it my duty to see one of the biggest of Indian Christians, the late Kali Charan Banerjee. I went to him with an absolutely open mind and in a receptive mood, and I met him also under circumstances which were most affecting. I found that there was much in common between Mr. Banerjee and myself. His simplicity, his humility, his courage, his truthfulness—all these things I have all along admired. He met me when his wife was on her death-bed. You cannot imagine a more impressive scene, a more ennobling circumstance. I told Mr. Banerjee 'I have come to you as a seeker'—this was in 1901—'I have come to you in fulfilment of a sacred promise I have made to some of my dearest Christian friends that I will leave no stone unturned to find out the true light.' I told him that I had given my friends the assurance that no worldly gain would keep me away from the light if I could but see it. Well, I am not going to engage you in giving a description of the little discussion that we had between us. It

was very good, very noble I came away, not sorry, not dejected, not disappointed, but I felt sad that even Mr Banerjee could not convince me. This was my final, deliberate striving to realize Christianity as it was presented to me

To-day my position is that, though I admire much in Christianity, I am unable to identify myself with orthodox Christianity I must tell you in all humility that Hinduism as I know it entirely satisfies my soul, fills my whole being, and I find a solace in the Bhagavad Gita and Upanishads that I miss even in the Sermon on the Mount. Not that I do not prize the ideal presented therèin, not that some of the precious teachings in the Sermon on the Mount have not left a deep impression upon me, but I must confess to you that when doubt haunts me, when disappointments stare me in the face, and when I see not one ray of light on the horizon, I turn to the Bhagavad Gita, and find a verse to comfort me; and I immediately begin to smile in the midst of overwhelming sorrow My life has been full of external tragedies, and if they have not left any visible and indelible effect on me, I owe it to the teachings of the Bhagavad Gita

I have told you all these things in order to make absolutely clear to you where I stand, so that I may have, if you will, closer touch with you I must add that I did not stop studying the Bible and the commentaries and other books on Christianity that my friends placed in my hands, but I said to myself that if I was to find my satisfaction through reasoning, I must study the scriptures of other religions also and make my choice And I turned to the Quran I tried to understand what I could of Judaism as distinguished from Christianity I studied

Zoroastrianism, and I came to the conclusion that all religions were right, and every one of them imperfect, because they were interpreted with our poor intellects, sometimes with our poor hearts, and more often misinterpreted. In all religions I found to my grief that there were various and even contradictory interpretations of some texts, and I said to myself, 'Not these things for me. If I want the satisfaction of my soul I must feel my way. I must wait silently upon God and ask Him to guide me.' There is a beautiful verse in Sanskrit which says, 'God helps only when man feels utterly helpless and utterly humble.' When I was studying Tamil I found in one of the books of Dr Pope a Tamil proverb which means, 'God helps the helpless'. I have given you this life-story of my own experience for you to ponder over.

You, the missionaries, come to India thinking that you come to a land of heathen, of idolators, of men who do not know God. One of the greatest Christian divines, Bishop Heber, wrote two lines which have always left a sting with me 'Where every prospect pleases and only Man is vile.' I wish he had not written them. My own experience in my travels throughout India has been to the contrary. I have gone from one end of the country to the other, without any prejudice, in a relentless search after Truth, and I am *not* able to say that here in this fair land, watered by the great Ganges, the Brahmaputra, and the Jumna, man is *vile*. He is *not* *vile*. He is as much a seeker after truth as you and I are, possibly more so.¹

This reminds me of a French book translated for me by a French friend. It is an account of an imaginary expedition in search of Knowledge. One party landed in India

¹ See Appendix III

and found Truth and God personified in a little pariah's hut I tell you there are many such huts belonging to the 'untouchables' where you will certainly find God They do not reason, but they persist in their belief that God is They depend upon God for His assistance and find it, too There are many stories told throughout the length and breadth of India about these noble 'untouchables'. Vile outwardly as some of them may be, there are noblest specimens of humanity in their midst

But does my experience exhaust itself merely with the 'untouchables'? No, I am here to tell you that there are non-Brahmins, there are Brahmins, who are as fine specimens of humanity as you will find in any place on the earth There are Brahmins to-day in India who are embodiments of self-sacrifice, godliness, and humility There are Brahmins who are devoting themselves body and soul to the service of 'untouchables', but with execration from orthodoxy They do not mind it, because in serving pariahs they are serving God

I can quote chapter and verse from my experience. I place these facts before you in all humility for the simple reason that you may know this land better, the land which you have come to serve. You are here to find out the distress of the people of India and remove it But I hope you are here also in receptive mood, and if there is anything that India has to give you, you will not stop your ears, you will not close your eyes and steel your hearts, to receive all that may be good in this land. I give you my assurance that there is a great deal of good in India

Do not flatter yourselves with the belief that a mere recital of that celebrated verse in St. John makes a man a

Christian. If I have read the Bible correctly, I know many men who have never known the name of Jesus Christ, men who have even rejected the official interpretation of Christianity, but would nevertheless, if Jesus came in our midst to-day in the flesh, be probably owned by Him more than many of us. I therefore ask you to approach the problem before you with open-heartedness and humility.

It has often occurred to me that a seeker after truth has to be silent. I know the wonderful efficacy of silence I visited a Trappist monastery in South Africa A beautiful place it was Most of the inmates of that place were under a vow of silence I inquired of the Father the motive of it, and he said that the motive is apparent. We are frail human beings. We do not know very often what we say If we want to listen to the still small voice that is always speaking within us, it will not be heard if we continually speak I understand that precious lesson. I know the secret of silence

I have told my missionary friends, 'Noble as you are, you have isolated yourselves from the people whom you want to serve'. I cannot help recalling to you the conversation I related in Darjeeling at the Missionary Language School Lord Salisbury was waited upon by a deputation of missionaries in connection with China, and this deputation wanted protection. I cannot recall the exact words, but give you the purport of the answer Lord Salisbury gave. He said 'Gentlemen, if you want to go to China to preach the message of Christianity, then do not ask for assistance of the temporal power Go with your lives in your hands, and if the people of China want to kill you, imagine that you have been killed in

service of God!' Lord Salisbury was right. Christian missionaries come to India under the shadow, or, if you like, under the protection of a temporal power, and it creates an impassable bar.

If you give me statistics that so many orphans have been reclaimed and brought to the Christian faith, I would accept them, but I do not feel convinced thereby that this is your mission. In my opinion your mission is infinitely superior to that. You want to find true men and women in India, and if you want to do that you will have to go to the lowly cottages—not to give them something, but it might be to take something from them. A true friend, as I claim to be of the missionaries of India and of the Europeans, I speak to you what I feel from the bottom of my heart. I miss receptiveness, humility, willingness on your part to identify yourselves with the masses of India. I have talked straight from my heart. May I find a response from your hearts?"

It has come to my mind, while putting together this chapter, that I cannot do better than supplement Mahatma Gandhi's views about Christianity with his own beautiful description of the Rev J D. Doke, who had remained his personal friend and helper during the long Passive Resistance Struggle in South Africa. Mahatma Gandhi always recognized in him his ideal of what a Christian ought to be. The story that leads up to the description of Mr Doke may be quickly told. Mr Gandhi had been assaulted, owing to a grievous mistake, by some of his fellow-countrymen, called Pathans, who wrongly imagined that he was betraying their cause. Mr Doke had found him lying wounded in the streets of Johannes-

burg, and, like a good Samaritan, had rescued him at the most critical moment of all and had taken him to his own house Mr. Gandhi's description begins at this point as follows —

"Mr Doke and his good wife were anxious that I should be perfectly at rest and peaceful, and were therefore pained to witness my mental activity after the assault. They were afraid that it might react in a manner prejudicial to my health. They therefore, by making signs and similar devices, removed all persons from near my bed, and asked me not to write or do anything. I made a request in writing that before and in order that I might lie down quietly their daughter Olive, who was then only a little girl, should sing for me my favourite English hymn, 'Lead, Kindly Light'. Mr Doke liked this very much and acceded to my request with a sweet smile. He called Olive by signs and asked her to stand at the door and sing the hymn in a low tone. The whole scene passes before my eyes as I dictate this, and the melodious voice of little Olive reverberates in my ears. How shall I describe the service rendered to me by the Doke family?"

Mr Joseph Doke was a Baptist minister, then forty-six years old, and had been in New Zealand before he came to South Africa. Some six months before this assault he came to my office and sent in his card. On seeing the word 'Reverend' before his name I wrongly imagined that he had come, as some other clergymen did, to convert me to Christianity, or to advise me to give up the struggle, or perhaps to express patronizing sympathy with the movement. Mr Doke entered, and we had not talked many minutes before I saw how sadly I had

misjudged him and mentally apologized to him I found him familiar with all the facts of the struggle which we published in newspapers. He said 'Please consider me as your friend in this struggle I consider it my religious duty to render you such help as I can If I have learned any lesson from the life of Jesus it is this, that one should share and lighten the load of those who are heavily laden' We thus got acquainted with each other, and every day marked an advance in our mutual affection and intimacy

Now after I was injured, while I was lying in his house, all day and night, one or other member of the family would be waiting upon me The house became a sort of caravanserai so long as I stayed there All classes of Indians flocked to the place to inquire after my health and to see me, from the humble hawker, basket in hand with dirty clothes and dusty boots, right up to the Chairman of the Transvaal British Indian Association Mr Doke would receive all of them in his drawing-room with uniform courtesy and consideration, and so long as I lived with the Dokes all their time was occupied either with nursing me or with receiving the hundreds of people who looked in to see me Even at night Mr Doke would quietly peep twice or thrice into my room While living under his hospitable roof I never so much as felt that it was not my home, or that my nearest and dearest could have looked after me better than the Dokes

And it must not be supposed that Mr Doke had not to suffer for according public support to the Indians in their struggle and for harbouring me under his roof Mr Doke was in charge of a Baptist church, and depended for his

livelihood upon a congregation of Europeans, not all of whom entertained liberal views, and among whom dislike of the Indians was perhaps as general as among the other Europeans. But Mr Doke was unmoved by it. I had discussed this delicate subject with him in the very beginning of our acquaintance, and he said 'My dear friend, what do you think of the religion of Jesus? I claim to be a humble follower of Him who cheerfully mounted the Cross for the faith that was in Him, and whose love was as wide as the world. I must take a public part in your struggle if I am at all desirous of representing Christ to the Europeans, who, you are afraid, will give me up to punishment I must not complain if they do thus give me up My livelihood is indeed derived from them, but you certainly do not think that I am associated with them for living's sake, or that they are my cherishers. My cherisher is God, they are but the instruments of His Almighty will It is one of the unwritten conditions of my connection with them that none of them may interfere with my religious liberty. Please therefore stop worrying on my account I am taking my place beside you in this struggle not to oblige the Indians, but as a matter of duty. The fact, however, is that I have fully discussed this question with my deacon I gently informed him that if he did not approve of my relations with the Indians he might permit me to retire and engage another minister instead. But he not only asked me not to trouble myself about it, he even spoke some words of encouragement Again, you must not imagine that all Europeans alike entertain hatred against your people. You can have no idea of the silent sympathy of many with your tribulations, and you will agree with me that I must know about it, situated as I am.

After this clear explanation I never referred to the subject again, and later on, when Mr. Doke died in the pursuit of his holy calling in Rhodesia, at a time when the Satyagraha struggle was still in progress, the Baptists called a meeting in their church, to which they invited the late Mr Cachalia and other Indians as well as myself, and which they asked me to address

About ten days afterwards I had recovered enough strength to move about fairly well, and I then took my leave of this godly family. The parting was a great wrench to myself no less than to the Dokes ”

It will not be difficult to gather from these quotations, taken from Mahatma Gandhi's own writings, how profoundly impressed he has been in his own heart by the inner truth of the Christian message in its moral aspect. He has also witnessed at close quarters that message lived out in action by those who truly believed in it and felt its vital power How deeply that sight had entered into his own life I experienced personally when I went with him to Johannesburg after the signing of the Gandhi-Smuts Agreement in January 1914 There were a thousand things to be done there which had been kept waiting for many months, but at the very first moment after our arrival he said to me, “Charlie, I want to take you on a pilgrimage”.

“What do you mean?” I said to him—not following his thought “Where do you want to go on pilgrimage?”

“I want to go with you”, he explained, “to the house of Mrs Doke, who nursed me like a mother back to health when I was injured here ”

We walked together to Mrs. Doke's house, and each

step of the way he related to me this same touching incident that has been told in his own language above. When he came to the house it was difficult for him to control his emotion as he saw Mrs Doke in her widow's dress with her face pale and worn with suffering. She on her part treated him with all the tenderness of a mother, forgetting her own suffering in her anxiety about his health and that of Mrs Gandhi. She went on asking questions about the latter, who was very ill at the time.

Then at last, after a little while, she told us the story of the death of her husband from a malignant fever, far away in the interior of Africa, in Rhodesia. He had gone there, long after middle age had come upon him, in order to carry, to those who had never heard the Gospel, the "unsearchable riches of Christ". It was a narrative full of tragic pathos—how they had tried to carry the dying saint into a hospital-station, where he could receive the best medical help, and how his life had suddenly ebbed away. Mrs Doke had set out herself at once, in order to rejoin him and nurse him, but she had not been able to meet him before death came. The simplicity with which she spoke added to the sadness of her words. Mr Gandhi, who had himself gone through far more suffering than most people, was clearly overcome, and the tears were in his eyes as he listened.

For many years since that day in Johannesburg I have lived in close companionship with him, and whenever he has spoken concerning Mr and Mrs Doke and their children, his reverence for that truly Christian family has been abundantly evident in every word he has uttered.

Another incident may be mentioned. Towards the end of the twenty-one days' Fast at Delhi, which will be

THE CHRISTIAN CONTACT

recorded elsewhere, I had come back from the Holy Communion service at St James's Church, and had still in my mind the recollection of a hymn which we had just sung in church So I sang it to him:—

I am not worthy, cold and bare
The lodging of my soul'
How canst Thou deign to enter there?
Lord, speak, and make me whole

While I was explaining to him the Gospel setting from which the hymn was taken he said to me. "You need not tell me about the story, Charlie, for I know it quite well, and also I have often heard that hymn. Perhaps you have not yet understood how very often I used to attend the Sunday services in Johannesburg, and what a pleasure it was to me at that time to do so Some of the truest friends I have had in the world were the Christian friends I made there That hymn was one of those I heard, and it is very sweet to me to hear it now at such a time as this It reminds me of those days long gone by when I was in South Africa "

Whenever I have been present in Sabarmati Ashram, it has always been his custom to ask me, towards the close of his prayers, to sing a Christian hymn The two which he himself nearly always chooses are

Lead kindly Light, amid the encircling gloom,
and one which sometimes he puts first of all,

When I survey the wondrous Cross

Further hymns which I have sung with acceptance have been

Nearer my God to Thee, nearer to Thee,
E'en though it be a cross that raiseth me,

and the evening hymn,

Abide with me Fast falls the eventide
The darkness deepens, Lord with me abide

At one time, also, he told me that the hymn

Rock of Ages cleft for me

had been a very great comfort in hours of darkness and despondency.¹

¹ For further quotations from Mahatma Gandhi's writings on this subject see Appendix IV and Appendix V

CHAPTER IV

"THE PLACE OF JESUS"

THE TITLE I HAVE GIVEN to this chapter is the heading of an article included in it which Mahatma Gandhi contributed to his own paper, *Young India*. It will describe still further the position which organized Christianity holds in India to-day and Mr Gandhi's relation to it.

The overlapping of Christian Missionary work by the forceful and pervasive environment of the British administration in India—so that in many missionary stations there is very little outward sign of any distinction between the British civil rule and the Mission work—has led to a painful and almost universal confusion about the essential meaning of the Christian Religion among orthodox Hindus and Muhammadans. This confusion has been acutely apparent for the last fifty years or more, and has been heartily disliked by every earnest missionary who has come out to work in India. It is only just beginning to break down to-day.

This tragic situation, in which earnest and sincere men and women are forced into a false position, has been dramatically represented in a recent remarkable play by Edward Thompson, the University Lecturer in Bengali at Oxford. The play is called *Atonement*. The crisis comes in the drama when Gregory, the Principal of the Missionary College, becomes obliged to take up his rifle and join with the rest of the British in the station (who are all officials) in order to put down, by military force, a sudden political riot in the village. The actual circum-

stance here dramatized might be considered exceptional, but it depicts a desperately impossible situation for a missionary of the Gospel of Christ in India

No one who has only lived in Europe or America, in complete inexperience of this worldly entanglement, can realize what it has led to, and how exotic the Indian Christian community has become in consequence, over large areas of India and Ceylon. There could not be found in the world a kinder or gentler critic than Mahatma Gandhi. Therefore the very restraint of many of the things that he has written on this subject should only serve to make all the more obvious to those who read them in the West what intense bitterness those Indians who are ardent and exclusive nationalists feel when they witness this identification of the Indian Christian community with the foreign political power. Not everyone has the large-hearted charity of Mr Gandhi.

It is quite true that orthodox Hindus were themselves greatly to blame for outcasting noble men like Kali Charan Banerjee, Piary Mohan Rudra, Nilakantha Goreh, and others, who were among the greatest Indian patriots of their own generation, and men of conscience, sincerity, and truth. Such converts as these only became Christians out of utter conviction of soul, and their sincere convictions ought to have been sincerely respected. The almost complete social boycott that pursued them was surely wrong. Therefore Hindu orthodoxy must share some part of the pitiful result.

But to-day, in India, the Indian Christians could easily win back their rightful position in the life of the body politic if only they would follow the sound advice that Mahatma Gandhi has so often given. Let me add at

"THE PLACE OF JESUS"

once that a transformation is now taking place very rapidly indeed Dr S K Datta, K. T. Paul, and others have already pointed the way forward

The following passages from his writings appear to me of deep and living interest in this connection, and they demand careful study. He writes this about his own childhood in Kathiawar, referring to an almost incredible state of things which has long since passed away —

"Only Christianity found little toleration as a creed from my father, who was tolerant towards all other faiths I developed myself a sort of dislike for it And for a reason In those days Christian missionaries used to stand in a corner near the High School and hold forth, pouring abuse on Hindus and their gods I could not stomach this I must have stood there to hear them once only, but that was enough to dissuade me from repeating the experiment. About the same time I heard of a well-known Hindu having been converted to Christianity. It was the talk of the town that when he was baptized he had to eat beef and drink liquor, that he also had to change his clothes, and that thenceforth he began to go about in European costume, including a hat These things got on my nerves Surely, thought I, a religion that compelled one to eat beef, drink liquor, and change one's own clothes did not deserve the name I also heard that the new convert had already begun abusing the religion of his ancestors, their customs, and their country All these things created in me a dislike for Christianity "

Mr. Scott, who was a missionary in Rajkot, Kathiawar,

during those early days, sent a very kindly letter to Mahatma Gandhi, pointing out that the actual stories about beef-eating and liquor-drinking, at the time of becoming a Christian, were mere bazaar rumours and even wilful inventions To this Mr. Gandhi replied —

"Though the preaching took place over forty years ago, the painful memory of it is still vivid before me What I have heard and read since has but confirmed that first impression I have read several missionary publications, and they appear able only to see the dark side, and to paint it darker still The famous hymn of Bishop Heber's, 'From Greenland's Icy Mountains', in its second verse is a clear libel on Indian humanity I was favoured with some literature, even in the Yerawada prison, by well-meaning missionaries, which seemed to be written as if merely to belittle Hinduism About beef-eating and wine-drinking at baptism I have merely stated what I heard, and I have said as much in my Autobiography Whilst I accept Mr. Scott's repudiation, I must say that, though I have mixed freely among thousands of Christian Indians, I know very few who have scruples about eating beef or other flesh-meats and drinking intoxicating liquors

When I have gently reasoned with them they have quoted to me the celebrated verse, 'Call thou nothing unclean'—as if it referred to eating and drinking, and gave a licence for indulgence I know that many Hindus eat meat, some eat even beef and drink wine They are not converts Converts are those who are 'born again', or should be. A higher standard is expected of those who change their faith, if the change is a matter of the heart

and not of convenience But I must not enter into these deeper waters It is a matter of pleasure to me to be able to say that, if I have had painful experiences of Christians and Christian missionaries, I have pleasant ones also which I treasure There is no doubt that among them the spirit of toleration is growing Among individuals there is also a deeper study of Hinduism and other faiths, and an appreciation of their beauties, and among some even an admission that the other great faiths of the world are not false One is thankful for the growing liberal spirit, but I have the conviction that much still remains to be done in that direction "

A further revealing passage in the Autobiography records a conversation with one of the leaders of the South African Indian Community, Abdulla Sheth, a sturdy Muhammadan patriot

" 'But', said I, 'there are so many young Indians born and educated here Do not they help you?'

'They!' exclaimed Abdulla Sheth in despair 'They never care to come to us, and, to tell you the truth, we care less to recognize them Being Christians, they are under the thumb of the white clergymen, who in their turn are subject to the Government'

This opened my eyes I felt that this class should be claimed as our own Was this the meaning of Christianity? Did they cease to be Indians because they had become Christians?"

There are, besides these different personal reminiscences, two very important recent speeches, delivered in India and Ceylon by Mahatma Gandhi on those long

tours throughout the country, during which he addressed in turn every single community In Calcutta he spoke to the Christians as follows —

"When I wander about throughout the length and breadth of India I see many Christian Indians almost ashamed of their birth, certainly of their ancestral religion and of their ancestral dress The aping of Europeans on the part of Anglo-Indians is bad enough; but the aping of them by Indian converts is a violence done to their country, and, shall I say, even to their new religion.

There is a verse in the New Testament to bid Christians avoid meat if it would offend their neighbours Meat here, I presume, included drink and dress. I can appreciate uncompromising avoidance of all that is evil in the old, yet where there is not only no question of anything evil, but where an ancient practice may be even desirable, it would be a crime to part with it when one knows for certain that the giving up would deeply hurt relatives and friends

Conversion must not mean denationalization. Conversion should mean a definite giving up of the evil of the old, adoption of all the good of the new, and a scrupulous avoidance of everything evil in the new. Conversion, therefore, should mean a life of greater dedication to one's own country, greater surrender to God, greater self-purification

Years ago I met the late Kali Charan Banerjee Had I not known before I went there that he was a Christian, I should certainly not have noticed from the outward appearance of his home that he was one It was no different from an ordinary modern Hindu home, simple

"THE PLACE OF JESUS"

and meagre in furniture That great man was dressed like an ordinary un-Europeanized Hindu Bengali

I know that there is a marvellous change coming over Christian Indians There is on the part of a large number of them a longing to revert to original simplicity, a longing to belong to the nation and to serve it, but the process is too slow There need be no waiting It requires not much effort Even as I write I have a letter from a Christian Indian before me telling me that he and his friends find it difficult to make the change because of the opposition of their superiors Some of them tell me that they are even jealously watched, and any movement on their part to identify themselves with national movements is strongly condemned

The late Principal Rudra and I used often to discuss this evil tendency. I well remember how he used to deplore it I am offering a tribute to the memory of a dead friend when I inform the reader that he used often to express his grief that it was too late in life for him to change some of the unnecessary European habits to which he was brought up Is it not truly deplorable that many Christian Indians discard their own mother tongue and bring up their children only to speak in English? Do they not thereby completely cut themselves adrift from the nation in whose midst they have to live?

But they may answer in self-defence that many Hindus and even Mussalmans have become denationalized The *tu quoque* argument serves no useful purpose I am writing not as a critic but as a friend, who has enjoyed for the past thirty years the closest intimacy with hundreds of Christian Indians "

On a still later occasion, at Colombo, Mr Gandhi delivered an address, which was much commented on at the time, called "The Place of Jesus". From this address I have taken the title of the present chapter. It contained the following passages —

"For many years I have regarded Jesus of Nazareth as one among the mighty teachers that the world has had, and I say this in all humility. I claim humility for this expression because this is exactly what I feel. Of course, Christians claim a higher place for Jesus of Nazareth than I, as a non-Christian and a Hindu, am able to feel. I purposely use the word 'feel' instead of 'give' because I consider that neither I nor anybody else can possibly arrogate to himself the claim of *giving* a place to a great man.

For the great teachers of mankind have not had their places *given* to them. That place has belonged to them as a matter of right, as a matter of service, but it is the privilege of the lowest and humblest amongst us to *feel* certain things about them. The relation between ourselves and the great teachers is somewhat after the style of the relation between wife and husband. It would be a terrible thing, a tragic thing, if I were to argue out intellectually for myself what place I was to give to the wife of my heart. It is not, indeed, a matter of my *giving* at all. She takes the place that belongs to her as a matter of right in my heart. It is a matter purely for feeling. Thus I can say that Jesus occupies in my heart the place of one of the great teachers who have made a considerable influence on my life.

I say to the 75 per cent of Hindus receiving instruction in this college that your lives also will be

"THE PLACE OF JESUS"

incomplete unless you reverently study the teaching of Jesus I have come to the conclusion in my own experience that those who, no matter to what faith they belong, reverently study the teaching of other faiths, broaden instead of narrowing their own hearts. Personally I do not regard any of the great religions of the world as false All have served to enrich mankind, and are now even serving their purpose A liberal education should include, as I have put it, a reverent study of all other faiths

The message of Jesus is contained in the Sermon on the Mount, unadulterated and taken as a whole. Even in connection with the Sermon on the Mount my own humble interpretation of the message is in many respects different from the orthodox When I was prematurely discharged from jail C. F Andrews, than whom I do not own on this earth a closer friend, showed me a letter addressed by the bishops to their flock It may be presumptuous for me to say so, but I did not agree with their interpretation of Christ's words

One's own religion is after all a matter between oneself and one's Maker But if I feel impelled to share my thoughts with you it is because I want to enlist your sympathy in my search for truth, and because so many Christian friends are deeply interested in my thoughts on the teachings of Jesus and wish to know what place I give to Jesus in my heart

If, then, I had to face only the Sermon on the Mount and my own interpretation of it, I should not hesitate to say, 'Oh yes, I am a Christian' But I know that at the present moment if I said any such thing I would lay myself open to the gravest misinterpretation

But negatively I can tell you that to my mind much of that which passes for Christianity is a negation of the Sermon on the Mount Please mark my words carefully. I am not at the present moment speaking especially of Christian conduct, I am speaking of Christian belief, of Christianity as it is understood in the West I am painfully aware of the fact that conduct everywhere falls far short of belief Therefore I do not say this by way of criticism I know from the treasures of my own experience that, although I am every moment of my life trying to live up to my professions, nevertheless my conduct falls short of these professions Far therefore be it from me to say this in a spirit of criticism But I am placing before you my fundamental difficulties with regard to the appearance of Christianity in the world and the formulation of Christian beliefs

There is one thing which came forcibly to me in my early studies of the Bible It seized me immediately when I read one passage The text was this 'Seek ye first the Kingdom of God and His righteousness, and all other things will be added unto you' I tell you that if you will understand, appreciate, and act up to the spirit of this passage, then you will not even need to know what place Jesus, or any other teacher, occupies in your heart or my heart If you will do this moral scavenger's work, so as to clean and purify your hearts and get them ready you will find that all these mighty teachers will take their places without any invitation from us That, to my mind, is the basis of all sound education The culture of the mind must be subservient to the culture of the heart May God help you to become pure!

When I began as a prayerful student to study Christian

literature in South Africa in 1893, I asked myself again and again, 'Is this Christianity?' And I could only say, 'No, no. Certainly this that I see is not Christianity.' And the deepest in me tells me that I was right, for it was unworthy of Jesus and untrue to the Sermon on the Mount.

I claim to be a man of faith and prayer, and even if I were to be cut to pieces I trust God would give me the strength not to deny Him, but to assert that He is. The Mussalman says, 'He is, and there is no one else'. The Christian says the same thing, and so does the Hindu. If I may venture to say so, the Buddhist also says the same thing, only in different words. It is true that we may each of us be putting our own interpretation on the word 'God'. We must of necessity do so, for God embraces, not only this tiny globe of ours, but millions and billions of such globes and worlds beyond worlds. How can we, little crawling creatures, possibly measure His greatness, His boundless love, His infinite compassion? So great is His infinite love and pity that He allows man insolently to deny Him, to wrangle about Him, and even to cut the throat of his fellow-man!

Thus, though we may utter the same words about God, they may not bear the same meaning for us all. But what does that matter? We do not need to proselytize either by our speech or by our writing. We can only do so really with our lives. Let our lives be open books for all to study.

Would that I could persuade all my missionary friends to take this view of their mission. Then there would be no distrust, or suspicion, or jealousy, or dissension amongst us in these religious matters, but only harmony and peace.

Because of its Western, external appearance we in India have come to distrust the Christian missionary endeavour that has reached us from the West

The one deduction I would like you students to draw from all this is that you yourselves should not be torn from your moorings, and those from the West should not consciously or unconsciously lay violent hands upon the manners and customs of this country in so far as they are not repugnant to fundamental morality. Do not confuse Jesus's teaching with what passes as modern civilization I ask you who are missionaries, pray do not do unconscious violence to the people among whom you cast your lot It is no part of your call, I assure you, to tear up the lives of the people of the East by their roots Tolerate whatever is good in them As Christ said to us all 'Judge not, that ye be not judged Forgive, and it shall be forgiven you For with what measure ye mete, it shall be measured to you again'

In spite of your belief in the greatness of Western civilization, and in spite of your pride in all your achievements, I plead with you to exercise humility I ask you to leave some little room for honest doubt Let us simply each one live our life, and if ours is the right life, where is the cause for hurry? It will react of itself

By all means drink deep of the fountains that are given to you in the Sermon on the Mount, but then you will have to take up sackcloth and ashes also with regard to failure to perform that which is taught in Christ's Sermon. For the teaching of the Sermon was meant for each and every one of us. You cannot serve both God and Mammon God the Compassionate and the Merciful, who is Tolerance incarnate, allows Mammon to have his

“THE PLACE OF JESUS”

nine days' wonder But I say to you students and youths, fly from that self-destroying but destructive show of Mammon, which I see around me to-day For you cannot serve Mammon and God together ”

It must not be thought from what I have here related that Mahatma Gandhi's attitude towards Indian Christians and towards European missionaries is always critical On the contrary he has many of his most devoted followers and friends among them In South Africa some of the noblest of the Passive Resisters were Indian Christians. No guests are more welcomed than certain loved European missionaries at Sabarmati I have watched them with joy sharing the life of the Ashram—the European ladies helping Mrs Gandhi in her household works and sharing her motherly affection

Perhaps the best way in which I can explain this whole-hearted appreciation of Indian Christianity at its best by Mahatma Gandhi is by quoting in full his deeply touching tribute to the late Principal Susil Kumar Rudra of Delhi. My own name comes in it, but I have felt that I must leave it intact. He wrote as follows —

“I would ask the reader to share my grief over the death of an esteemed friend and silent public servant, Principal Susil Kumar Rudra, who died on Tuesday, June 30th India, whose chief disease is her political servitude, recognizes only those who are fighting publicly to remove it by giving battle to a bureaucracy that has protected itself with a treble line of entrenchment—army and navy, money, and diplomacy She naturally does not know her selfless and self-effacing workers in other walks

of life, no less useful than the purely political. Such a humble worker was Susil Rudra, late Principal of St. Stephen's College. He was a first-class educationist. As Principal he had made himself universally popular. There was a kind of spiritual bond between him and his pupils. Though he was a Christian, he had room in his bosom for Hinduism and Islam, which he regarded with great veneration. His was not an exclusive Christianity, that condemned to perdition everyone who did not believe in Jesus Christ as the only saviour of the world. Jealous of the reputation of his own, he was tolerant towards the other faiths. He was a keen and careful student of politics. Of his sympathies with the so-called extremists, if he made no parade, he never made any secret either. Ever since my return home in 1915 I had been his guest whenever I had occasion to go to Delhi. It was plain sailing enough so long as I had not declared Satyagraha in respect of the Rowlatt Act. He had many English friends in the higher circles. He belonged to a purely English Mission. He was the first Indian Principal chosen in his college. I therefore felt that his intimate association with me and his giving me shelter under his roof might compromise him and expose his college to unnecessary risk. I therefore offered to seek shelter elsewhere. His reply was characteristic.

'My religion', he said, 'is deeper than people may imagine. Some of my opinions are vital parts of my being. They are formed after deep and prolonged prayer. They are known to my English friends. I cannot possibly be misunderstood by keeping you under my roof as an honoured friend and guest. And if ever I have to make a choice between losing what influence I may have among

Englishmen and losing you, I know what I would choose.
You cannot leave me'

'But what about all kinds of friends', I asked, 'who come to see me? Surely you must not let your house become a caravanserai when I am in Delhi'

'To tell you the truth', he replied, 'I like it all I like the friends who come to see you It gives me pleasure to think that in keeping you with me I am doing some little service to my country'

The reader may not be aware that my open letter to the Viceroy giving concrete shape to the Khilafat claim was conceived and drafted under Principal Rudra's roof He and Charlie Andrews were my revisionists Non-Co-operation was conceived and hatched under his hospitable roof He was a silent but deeply interested spectator at the private conference that took place between the Ali Brothers, other Mussalman friends, and myself

Religious motive was the foundation for all his acts There was, therefore, no fear of temporal power, though the same motive also enabled him to value the existence and the use and the friendship of temporal power He exemplified in his life the truth that religious perception gives one a correct sense of proportion, resulting in a beautiful harmony between action and belief

Principal Rudra drew to himself as fine characters as one could possibly wish for Not many people know that we owe C F Andrews to Principal Rudra They were twins Their relationship was a study in ideal friendship Principal Rudra leaves behind him two sons and a daughter, all grown up and settled in life They know their grief is shared by the numerous friends and admirers of their noble-hearted father":

¹ For further reference to this subject see Appendices IV and V 99

CHAPTER V

THE ASHRAM OF SOUL-FORCE

IT IS IMPOSSIBLE to understand Mahatma Gandhi's principles in their entirety without studying their embodiment in his Ashram, or place of religious retreat. In India every great moral and spiritual leader sooner or later finds what is called an Ashram for the sake of giving a concrete expression to his own creative ideas. Thus Gopal Krishna Gokhale—one of the greatest statesmen that India has ever produced—founded the Servants of India Society at Poona. The poet Rabindranath Tagore has created a unique Ashram at Santiniketan in Bengal. The late Swami Shraddhananda founded the Gurukula at Kangri, Hardwar, in connection with the Arya Samaj.

It is a profoundly interesting experience to live as an inmate of such Ashrams as these. Only thus can one appreciate the spirit and personality of the founder. While the religious leader himself is still living the interest is all the greater, because we are then able to see the creative ideal actually shaping itself round the personality of the saintly life itself, which is thus finding its own extension in other lives.

The power of discipleship is common all over the East, and young ardent spirits gravitate to such Ashrams as surely as water finds its own level, for there is a spiritual law of gravitation which is no less constant than the natural law which binds together the physical world around us. During all the twenty-five years that I have lived in the East there have been few things that have

impressed me more than the natural attraction of the human mind in India towards religion, and the reverence freely given to truly spiritual personalities when they seek to express their own ideals in action.

We are helped in our understanding of Mahatma Gandhi's religious ideals, as they are being put into practice, by having a full description of those special features of his religious life which he himself has desired to be carried out literally in his own Ashram. At the time when these were drawn up he sent a copy in manuscript to me for criticism. In reply I wrote out for him a long statement very earnestly asking him to withdraw the vow of celibacy, which appeared to me to be one of those short cuts, foreign to the Hindu religion, bringing inevitable evil in its train. But he was adamant on this point at that time, though I have gathered from his writings since that he has now in certain circumstances modified it in accordance with the wish of the majority of the residents of the Ashram.

His whole statement reads as follows —

"No work done by any man, however great, will really prosper unless it has a distinct religious backing. But what is Religion? I for one would answer 'Not the Religion you will get after reading all the scriptures of the world. Religion is not really what is grasped by the brain, but a heart grasp'.

'Religion is a thing not alien to us. It has to be evolved out of us; It is always within us with some, consciously so, with others, quite unconsciously. But it is always there. And whether we wake up this religious instinct in us through outside assistance or by inward growth, no

matter how it is done, it has got to be done, if we want to do anything in the right manner, or to achieve anything that is going to persist.

Our Scriptures have laid down certain rules as maxims of human life. They tell us that without living according to these maxims we are incapable of having a reasonable perception of Religion. Believing in these implicitly, I have deemed it necessary to seek the association of those who think with me in founding this Institution. The following are the rules that have been drawn up and have to be observed by everyone who seeks to be a member.

The first and foremost is

THE VOW OF TRUTH

Not simply as we ordinarily understand it, not truth which merely answers the saying, 'Honesty is the best policy', implying that if it is not the best policy we may depart from it. Here Truth as it is conceived means that we may have to rule our life by this law of Truth at any cost, and in order to satisfy the definition I have drawn upon the celebrated illustration of the life of Prahlad.¹ For the sake of Truth he dared to oppose his own father, and he defended himself, not by paying his father back in his own coin. Rather, in defence of Truth as he knew it, he was prepared to die without caring to return the blows that he had received from his father, or from those who were charged with his father's instructions. Not only that, he would not in any way even parry the blows, on the contrary, with a smile on his lips, he underwent the innumerable tortures to which he was

¹ The story of the young boy Prahlad, who suffered for the Truth's sake, is one of the most famous in ancient Indian literature. It is well known by every Indian child—just as the story of George Washington is famous in the West.

subjected, with the result that at last Truth rose triumphant Not that he suffered the tortures because he knew that some day or other in his very lifetime he would be able to demonstrate the infallibility of the Law of Truth. That fact was there; but if he had died in the midst of tortures he would still have adhered to Truth That is the Truth which I would like to follow In our Ashram we make it a rule that we must say 'No' when we mean No, regardless of consequences.

Then we come to the

DOCTRINE OF AHIMSA

Literally speaking, Ahimsa means 'non-killing' But to me it has a world of meaning, and takes me into realms much higher, infinitely higher It really means that you may not offend anybody, you may not harbour an uncharitable thought, even in connection with one who may consider himself to be your enemy To one who follows this doctrine there is no room for an enemy. But there may be people who consider themselves to be his enemies So it is held that we may not harbour an evil thought even in connection with such persons If we return blow for blow we depart from the doctrine of Ahimsa But I go farther If we resent a friend's action, or the so-called enemy's action, we still fall short of this doctrine But when I say we should not resent, I do not say that we should acquiesce by the word 'resenting' I mean wishing that some harm should be done to the enemy, or that he should be put out of the way, not even by any action of ours, but by the action of somebody else, or, say, by divine agency If we harbour even this thought we depart from this doctrine

of Non-Violence Those who join the Ashram have literally to accept that meaning

This does not mean that we practise that doctrine in its entirety. Far from it It is an ideal which we have to reach, and it is an ideal to be reached even at this very moment, if we are capable of doing so But it is not a proposition in Geometry, it is not even like solving difficult problems in higher mathematics—it is infinitely more difficult Many of us have burnt the midnight oil in solving those problems But if you want to follow out this doctrine you will have to do much more than burn the midnight oil You will have to pass many a sleepless night, and go through many a mental torture, before you can even be within measurable distance of this goal It is the goal, and nothing less than that, which you and I have to reach, if we want to understand what a religious life means

A man who believes in the efficacy of this doctrine finds in the ultimate stage, when he is about to reach the goal, the whole world at his feet If you express your love—Ahimsa—in such a manner that it impresses itself indelibly upon your so-called enemy, he must return that love Under this rule there is no room for organized assassinations, or for murders openly committed, or for any violence for the sake of your country, and even for guarding the honour of precious ones that may be under your charge After all, that would be a poor defence of their honour This doctrine tells us that we may guard the honour of those under our charge by delivering our own lives into the hands of the man who would commit the sacrilege And that requires far greater courage than delivering of blows If you do not retaliate, but stand

THE ASHRAM OF SOUL-FORCE

your ground between your charge and the opponent, simply receiving the blows without retaliating, what happens? I give you my promise that the whole of his violence will be expended on you, and your friend will be left unscathed. Under this plan of life there is no conception of patriotism which justifies such wars as you witness to-day in Europe.

Then again there is

THE VOW OF CELIBACY

Those who want to perform national service, or to have a gleam of the real religious life, must lead a celibate life, whether married or unmarried. Marriage only brings a woman closer to man, and they become friends in a special sense, never to be parted either in this life or in the lives to come. But I do not think that, in our conception of marriage, our lusts should enter. Be that as it may, this is what is placed before those who come to the Ashram. I do not deal with it at any length.

Then we have, further,

THE VOW OF THE CONTROL OF THE PALATE

A man who wants to control his animal passions easily does so if he controls his palate. I fear this is one of the most difficult vows to follow. Unless we are prepared to rid ourselves of stimulating, heating, and exciting condiments we shall certainly not be able to control the over-abundant, unnecessary, and exciting stimulation of the animal passions. If we do not do that we are likely to abuse the sacred trust of our bodies that has been given us, and to become less than animals and brutes, eating, drinking, and indulging in passions which we

share with animals. But have you ever seen a horse or cow indulging in the abuse of the palate as we do? Do you suppose that it is a sign of civilization, a sign of real life, that we should multiply our eatables so far that we do not even know where we are, and seek dishes until at last we have become absolutely mad and run after the newspaper sheets which give us advertisements about these dishes?

Then we have once more

THE VOW OF NON-THIEVING

I suggest that we are thieves in a way. If I take anything that I do not need for my own immediate use and keep it, I thief it from somebody else. It is the fundamental law of Nature, without exception, that Nature produces enough for our wants from day to day; and if only everybody took enough for himself and nothing more there would be no pauperism in this world, there would be no man dying of starvation. I am no Socialist, and I do not want to dispossess those who have got possessions, but I do say that personally those of us who want to see light out of darkness have to follow this rule. I do not want to dispossess anybody; I should then be departing from the rule of Non-Violence. If somebody else possesses more than I do, let him. But so far as my own life has to be regulated I dare not possess anything which I do not want. In India we have got many millions of people who have to be satisfied with one meal a day, and that meal consisting of a *chapatti*¹ containing no fat in it and a pinch of salt. You and I have no right to anything that we really have until these

¹ Bread made without yeast—somewhat like a pancake

THE ASHRAM OF SOUL-FORCE

many millions are clothed and fed You and I, who ought to know better, must adjust our wants, and even undergo voluntary privation, in order that they may be nursed, fed, and clothed

Then there is the 'Vow of Non-Possession', which follows as a matter of course, and needs no further explanation at this point, where only a brief summary of various difficulties and their answer is being given.

Then I go to

THE VOW OF SWADESHI

The vow of Swadeshi is a necessary vow We are departing from one of the sacred laws of our being when we leave our neighbourhood and go out somewhere else in order to satisfy our wants If a man comes from Bombay and offers you wares, you are not justified in supporting the Bombay merchant so long as you have got a merchant at your very door, born and bred in Madras

That is my view of Swadeshi In your village you are bound to support your village barber to the exclusion of the finished barber who may come to you from Madras If you find it necessary that your village barber should reach the attainments of the barber from Madras you may train him to that Send him to Madras by all means, if you wish, in order that he may learn his calling Until you do that you are not justified in going to another barber That is Swadeshi So when we find that there are many things that we cannot get in India we must try to do without them. We may have to do without many things, but, believe me, when you have that frame of mind you will find a great burden taken off your

shoulders, even as the Pilgrim did in that inimitable book *Pilgrim's Progress*. There came a time when the mighty burden that the Pilgrim was carrying unconsciously dropped from him, and he felt a freer man than he was when he started on the journey. So will you feel freer men than you are now, if immediately you adopt this Swadeshi life. We have also

THE VOW OF FEARLESSNESS

I found, through my wanderings in India, that my country is seized with a paralysing fear. We may not open our lips in public we may only talk about our opinions secretly. We may do anything we like within the four walls of our house, but those things are not for public consumption.

If we had taken a vow of silence I would have nothing to say. I suggest to you that there is only One whom we have to fear, that is God. When we fear God, then we shall fear no man, however high-placed he may be, and if you want to follow the vow of Truth, then fearlessness is absolutely necessary. Before we can aspire to guide the destinies of India we shall have to adopt this habit of fearlessness.

And then we have also

THE VOW REGARDING THE 'UNTOUCHABLES'

There is an ineffaceable blot that Hinduism to-day carries with it. I have declined to believe that it has been handed down to us from immemorial times. I think that this miserable, wretched, enslaving spirit of 'untouchableness' must have come to us when we were at our lowest ebb. This evil has stuck to us and still remains with us. It is, to my mind, a curse that has come to us,

and as long as that curse remains with us, so long I think we are bound to consider that every affliction in this sacred land is a proper punishment for the indelible crime that we are committing. That any person should be considered untouchable because of his calling passes my comprehension, and you, the student world, who receive all this modern education, if you become a party to this crime, it were better that you received no education whatsoever.

EDUCATION THROUGH THE VERNACULARS

In Europe every cultured man learns, not only his own language, but also other languages.

In order to solve the problem of language in India we in this Ashram must make it a point to learn as many Indian vernaculars as possible. The trouble of learning these languages is nothing compared to that of mastering English. How dare we rub off from our memory all the years of our infancy? But that is precisely what we do when we commence our higher life through the medium of a foreign tongue. This creates a breach for which we shall have to pay dearly. And you will see now the connection between this education and untouchability—this persistence of the latter in spite of the spread of knowledge and education. Education has enabled us to see the horrible crime, but we are seized with fear, and therefore we cannot take this doctrine to our homes.

THE VOW OF KHADDAR¹

You may ask, 'Why should we use our hands?' You may say, 'Manual work has got to be done by those who

¹ Khaddar is home-spun and home-woven cloth. The vow of Khaddar would be to spin with one's own hands and to wear nothing but home-spun garments.

are illiterate I can only occupy myself with reading literature and political essays' We have to realize the dignity of labour If a barber or shoemaker attends a college he ought not to abandon his profession. I consider that such professions are just as good as the profession of medicine.

Last of all, when you have conformed to these rules you may come to

THE RELIGIOUS USE OF POLITICS

Politics, divorced from religion, has absolutely no meaning If the student world crowd the political platforms of this country, that is not necessarily a healthy sign of national growth, but this does not mean that you, in your student life, ought not to study politics Politics are a part of our being, we ought to understand our national institutions We may do this from our infancy So in our Ashram every child is taught to understand the political institutions of our country and to know how the country is vibrating with new emotions, with new aspirations, with new life But we want also the steady light, the infallible light of religious faith, not a faith which merely appeals to the intelligence, but a faith which is indelibly inscribed on the heart First we want to realize our religious consciousness, and immediately we have done that the whole department of life is open to us, and it should then be a sacred privilege of all, so that when young men grow to manhood they may do so properly equipped to battle with life To-day what happens is this much of the political life is confined to the students, but immediately they cease to be students they sink into oblivion, seeking miserable employments,

knowing nothing about God, nothing of fresh air or bright light, or of real vigorous independence, such as comes out of obedience to those laws that I have placed before you on this occasion ”

An analysis of these different principles, underlying the life of Mahatma Gandhi's Ashram, reveals the fact that there is a singular blending in them of different inward acts of conscience which issue in outward acts of observance. While Mahatma Gandhi would lay every stress on the inward principle as of primary importance (without which the outward act is of no value at all), at the same time he would bring the inward principle immediately to the test of action. This is in strict accordance with Christ's teaching in the Sermon on the Mount, where he says “By their fruits ye shall know them. Do men gather grapes from thorns, or figs from thistles?”

Thus the vow of control of the palate follows upon the vows of truthfulness and non-stealing and non-possession as a simple means to reach those great ends. He would regard it as practically impossible for a man to indulge the appetite and yet remain for a long period strictly truthful, fearless, honest, and single-minded.

As representing the rules of an Ashram, where self-discipline is one of the main features of the life of religion, it is not difficult to trace the logical connection between the inward and the outward in this manner. But personally I had felt from the first that the vow of lifelong celibacy had imposed a slur on marriage, and therefore I had objected to this vow being included along with that of Truthfulness and Ahimsa. While ancient

Buddhism had seemed to me to bear this negative aspect by regarding the celibate life as necessarily higher than the married life, Hinduism had always appeared to have the contrary tendency. For Hinduism has had in certain important directions a development which finds its parallel directly in the Christian religion. It lays stress on personal continence, called Brahmacharya, during the early period of life before marriage, but encourages the married life and the bearing of children in the second-stage as truly spiritual. Thus Hinduism, as far as I have been able to understand it, holds marriage to be a religious sacrament, which is *not* an indulgence but rather one of the highest forms of inward self-discipline. It was distressing to find what appeared to me to be an unnatural view concerning marriage set forward in the regulations of Mahatma Gandhi's Ashram of Soul-Force. This vow of celibacy for the whole Ashram appeared to sever one of the vital roots of the Hindu religion, and for this reason I wrote to him urging its withdrawal.

Some of the vows with which Mahatma Gandhi concludes the series are clearly rather of a local nature than of permanent and perpetual value "Education through the vernacular", the "removal of untouchability", the use of "politics", are obviously in their present setting directed rather to the immediate needs of India than to any universal human situation.

In respect to two other vows which have a direct external aspect—namely, the Vow of Swadeshi and the Vow of Khaddar—Mahatma Gandhi would by no means regard these as either local or temporary. They go down very deep indeed into his whole conception

of human progress But since they will be dealt with in two separate chapters elsewhere, it will not be necessary to discuss them here

Turning from the rules of the Ashram of Soul-Force to the life as it is passed by the members who form this ideal community, the following account given by some visitors may make the picture vivid It has been necessary to abbreviate what has been written, but I have tried to keep the personal touch and human interest of the narrative. It runs as follows—

Let me give my own impression of Mahatma Gandhi himself. Truth and sincerity are in every line of his face, and seem to demand the same truth and sincerity in return One could never be artificial with such a man, for his keen vision penetrates all outer wrappings We had already met some of his closest friends and had admired each in turn Now that we have met him we can fully appreciate why such love and devotion are extended to him All residents upon the compound, from the oldest to the youngest, had been joyously looking forward to his return Simply and quietly, unknown to all, he had slipped into his room, to emerge later into the very bosom of his family and friends The children came flocking around him No feeling of fear or awe comes within his environment Any aloofness or assumption of superiority in connection with him is unthinkable All day he mixed freely with his friends and relatives, visiting homes and interesting himself in the veriest details of the Ashram

It is not too much to say that the feeling left by our first meeting is that of having come into contact with a man nearer to the Christ-like ideal than any other living person

In the evening we had a short walk and then went over for dinner At 6 30 p m we went to prayers, and when these were over, at his own invitation, we sang two hymns, first reading the words aloud

Beautiful and impressive as the prayers had been on the previous evenings, there was a deeper feeling of joy this evening because

MAHATMA GANDHI'S IDEAS

Mahatma Gandhi had once more returned to his home Everyone felt the benediction of his presence as he sat facing us with a little grandniece nestling close to him The few words he spoke were for the children, who all sat in front Everyone was reluctant to break the silence which wrapped us round, and as we slowly dispersed it was evident that each one carried away a sense of peace It was an unforgettable evening—one of the precious memories which make existence worth while

After his return the whole of the next two days he observed silence, so that he might prepare himself for the Kathiawar Conference This silence was broken just before prayers on Tuesday evening, when we had the pleasure of another chat with him, and he invited us to accompany him on his morning walk as far as the jail gates He refers to the jail as his second home, and when we suggested that jail had no terrors for him he replied, "No, indeed it has not"

The next day was a red-letter day True to his promise he came to our room for us at nine o'clock and we started off for the walk As we passed the compound of the jail we saw fine vegetables growing, this turned our conversation to the question of prison reform, and we agreed that such institutions should give up the idea of punishment and replace it by reformation, and that most crimes were the outcome of social conditions

He told us of the test to which he had been put when starting his Ashram He was asked whether he was prepared to take "untouchables", and had replied in the affirmative His questioners little knew that this test would be carried into effect within a month, but when a married "untouchable" couple applied for admittance he accepted them immediately The man is now the principal of a small Ashram, and his daughter has been adopted by Mr Gandhi She is one of the little folk who nestle up so lovingly to him during the time of prayer

On Monday we continued our work in the weaving-shed and got all the thread ready to start weaving After prayers in the evening two of our women friends carried us off to one of their homes All mats were spread ready for our reception, an interpreter was called from an adjoining home, and he kindly spent a whole

hour telling us of the wonderful story of our host and hostess, who had been in Fiji

We went very early next morning to the carding-room, and then returned to our room to wait for Mahatma Gandhi's arrival. At 9.30 he came, and joyfully we joined him. We had decided that we would rather listen to his talking than speak ourselves. So to gain this end we had written down one or two questions.

We first asked him about his Spinning Franchise, as we wanted to know whether his aim was to raise the depressed classes, or was it to be used to level all classes? He said it was both a levelling up and levelling down, to prevent the exploitation of the depressed classes on the one hand, and to prevent swelled head upon the other. For a man to grow his own food and make his own wearing apparel from the product of his own land was just as necessary as breathing the air around him. No one should be fed or clothed without having worked towards the end himself. To Mahatma Gandhi this has absolutely universal application as the only way of saving the world.

When we asked him whether spinning and weaving were a means to an end or an end in itself, he said that mankind is only able to utilize the means, the ultimate goal is beyond us. As soon as we think that we have achieved one end, another arises. So all we are concerned with here are the simple means of life, the primal necessities, the rest we may leave in the hands of God. He did not care in the least for the accessories of life, its necessities alone were his concern. Until everyone was able to gain these for himself he would not consider his work finished, and in this way again his work was not for India alone, but for the whole world.

Then we asked him what he thought to be the real function in India of the British, and he replied promptly that it was to serve India. He has always been friendly towards the British, but it was not until his return from South Africa to work for the good of India that he found how patronizing and obstructive they could be. His friendship for them is as great and as true as ever, but he realizes the impossibility of any improvement in Indian affairs while the British continue in this attitude of patronage and obstruction. When they see that service, and not exploitation, should be

their aim, then true friendship will arise and the harmonious working of the two nations ensue

When asked if British rule was necessary to protect India from outside interference he replied "No, but since they are here, my aim shall be to urge them to work with us as friends and equals" He went on to say that the British could give to India resourcefulness, energy, and initiative, which are such marked characteristics of our nation, and that these were just the qualities needed to help India rise from the lethargy into which she had sunk

Morning and evening prayers form one of the features of the common life of the Ashram All the men, women, and children are gathered together, the stars shining above, the river silver in the moonlight, Mahatma Gandhi as discipline personified and yet the very embodiment of love After the verses were read and the singing finished he gave forth his message, which has been translated for us thus "I do not want a kingdom, salvation, or heaven, what I want is to remove the troubles of the oppressed and the poor"

On Wednesday the waning moon and the three planets again made of the morning sky a picture which will long remain in our minds Knowing that Mr Gandhi was to leave by the morning train for Delhi, we went to him early We found him sitting on the floor before his desk in his own room Upon the floor was spread a Khaddar cloth, and as the rising sun lighted up the whole place he looked a wonderful figure in these bare yet characteristic surroundings The room is absolutely devoid of all ornaments, a few bookshelves, a low desk, one deck-chair, which remains folded against the wall, a couple of spinning-wheels, and a low bench constitute its furniture

He says that when he feels a thing is right and when he is really convinced that it must be, then he goes straight forward with it, never doubting, knowing that if he makes a mistake it is an honest one, and God will pardon him for it and help him to put it right, but if he is in doubt about a thing he refuses to have anything to do with it That is, of course, what makes him irresistible, he is so convinced of the righteousness of his cause that others cannot help

THE ASHRAM OF SOUL-FORCE

believing it too "I know where I stand and have a strong belief in myself So I go forward fearlessly "

He explained that Gujarat was more suited to take the lead in spinning and weaving than any other province, because here things had been well organized, and the result was that there were more persons capable of teaching both these arts than in any other part of the country He expects to have to go over to the Madras side in connection with the question of the "untouchables", for, as he put it, "I have to go wherever the people need me"

When we left the room he said, "I shall expect to see you here when I return" And as we went away we felt a great sense of emptiness and loss as we thought how few were the days left to us of this wonderful month

For indeed when we thought of the whole atmosphere of the place and the ideals for which it stands—the joy of the workers in their work, the happy, contented homes, the education available to the children, the absence of any anxious thought for the morrow —our hearts ached to think that we were to leave it all so soon

Here, more than ever before in our busy lives, have we felt the truth of the words "Laborare est orare"—to labour is to pray .

CHAPTER VI

THE RELIGIOUS MEANING OF SWADESHI

THERE ARE few things in Mahatma Gandhi's religious experience that have struck me with more force, by their unexpectedness and individuality, than his insistence upon Swadeshi itself as a religious duty. The word "Swadeshi" is a compound meaning "one's own country". Swadeshi is so intimately linked up with Hinduism in his own mind that it is necessary to bring it in here as a part of his religious belief, though many earnest orthodox people would not hold it to be an integral Hindu doctrine. Perhaps it should be regarded as an idiosyncrasy of Mahatma Gandhi himself, representing his own individual way of expressing one aspect of his Hindu faith.

With Mahatma Gandhi, Swadeshi represents the principle that one's own surroundings are to be preferred to everything else, that the country of one's birth demands personal homage in preference to that of others. It means still further to him—that to change from one religion to another is an almost inconceivable thing. In Swadeshi he finds a principle which explains his relation to Christianity and other religions. There is to him a religious patriotism as well as a patriotism of national status.

This Swadeshi doctrine, which he holds so strongly as a religious article of faith, clearly makes for the birth-fixity of social condition. It compels men to remain "in that state of life to which it has pleased God to call them"—to quote the Church of England Catechism with its feudal background. It explains to some extent

in his own mind how Caste, which he calls Varnashrama Dharma, may be justified as a reasonable system It shows also why he desires to call himself an orthodox Hindu, holding to the principles underlying the traditional Hindu Scriptures The extreme limit of this doctrine was reached in a book called *The Gospel of Swadeshi*, written by one of his followers, to which he wrote a preface, though he afterwards withdrew his approval of some of the narrow forms of the doctrine which the author himself had laid down and publicly expressed It is necessary to repeat that many who are orthodox Hindus would entirely deny this doctrine of Swadeshi as a part of their Hindu faith Others would also reject the birth-fixity implied in the Caste System, even while still upholding the refusal to intermarry under present conditions But Mr Gandhi's faith in these things as a Hindu appears to be fundamental While upholding radical principles in other matters he is strongly conservative here

That Swadeshi has the character of religious duty with him may be seen from the leading place which he gives to it in his vows at the Satyagraha Ashram at Sabarmati This may be studied at greater length in the chapter describing the Ashram of Soul-Force¹ The same fact is made still more clear by his choosing Swadeshi as his own special subject at the Christian Missionary Conference at Madras on February 14, 1916, when he was asked to address the missionaries assembled on some religious subject such as he himself regarded as of primary importance He would never have chosen this subject of Swadeshi on such a unique occasion—

¹ See Chapter V

when for the first time in India he was addressing an audience of devotedly earnest men and women whom he wished to convince about sovereign truth—unless he had felt that the religious principle he was propounding went down to the bedrock spiritual experience of things divine. After many long and arduous discussions with him on this subject I can write words as strong as these about its religious significance with him, for in this matter he is inflexible and he has long ago thought the matter out. When I asked him what was the final word he had written on the subject, he gave me the following speech addressed to the missionaries at Madras.—

"After much thinking I have arrived at a definition of Swadeshi that perhaps best illustrates my meaning.

Swadeshi is that spirit within us which restricts us to the use and service of our immediate surroundings to the exclusion of the more remote

Thus (i) in the matter of Religion I must restrict myself to my ancestral religion—that is, the use of my immediate surroundings in religion. If I find my religion defective I should serve it by purging it of its defects. (ii) In the domain of politics I should make use of the indigenous institutions and serve them by curing them of their proved defects. (iii) In the field of economics I should use only those things that are produced by my immediate neighbours, and serve those industries by making them efficient and complete where they might be found wanting.

(i) Hinduism has become a conservative religion, and therefore a mighty force, because of the Swadeshi spirit underlying it. It is the most tolerant creed because it is

non-proselytizing, and it is as capable of expansion to-day as it has been found to be in the past. It has succeeded, not in driving out (as I think it has been erroneously held), but in absorbing Buddhism. By reason of the Swadeshi spirit a Hindu refuses to change his religion, not necessarily because he considers it to be the best, but because he knows that he can complement it by introducing reforms. And what I have said about Hinduism is, I suppose, true of the other great faiths of the world, only it is held that it is especially so in the case of Hinduism. But here comes the point I am labouring to teach.

If there is any substance in what I have said, will not the great missionary bodies of India, to whom she owes a deep debt of gratitude for what they have done and are doing, do still better and serve the spirit of Christianity better by dropping the goal of proselytizing while continuing their philanthropic work? I make the suggestion in all sincerity and with due humility.

I have endeavoured to study the Bible and consider it to be a part of my Scriptures. The spirit of the Sermon on the Mount competes almost on equal terms with the Bhagavad Gita for the domination of my heart. I yield to no Christian in the strength of devotion with which I sing 'Lead, Kindly Light' and several other inspired hymns of a similar nature. I have come under the influence of noted Christian missionaries belonging to different denominations, and I enjoy to this day the privilege of friendship with some of them. Thus I have offered the above suggestion, not as a biased Hindu, but as a humble and impartial student of religion with great leanings towards Christianity.

May it not be that the 'Go ye unto all the world' message has been somewhat narrowly interpreted and the spirit of it missed? It will not be denied—I speak from experience—that many of the 'conversions' are only so-called. In some cases the appeal has gone not to the heart but to the stomach; and in every case a conversion leaves a sore behind it, which I venture to think is avoidable. Quoting again from experience, a new birth, a change of heart, is perfectly possible in every one of the great faiths.

I know I am now treading upon thin ice, but I do not apologize in closing this part of my subject by saying that the frightful outrage that is just now going on in Europe perhaps shows that the message of Jesus of Nazareth, the Son of Peace, has been little understood in Europe, and that light may have to be thrown upon it from the East.

(ii) Following out the Swadeshi spirit in political matters, I observe the indigenous institutions of India, and the village *panchayats* (committees) hold me India is really a republican country, and it is for this reason that it has survived every shock hitherto delivered. Princes and potentates, whether they were Indian-born or foreigners, have hardly touched the vast masses except for the collection of revenue. The latter in their turn seem to have rendered unto Caesar what was Caesar's, and for the rest to have done much as they have liked. The vast organization of Caste answered not only the religious wants of the community, but also its political ends. The villagers managed their internal affairs through the Caste system, and through it also they dealt with any oppression from the ruling power. It is not possible to

deny, with regard to a nation producing the Caste system, its wonderful powers of organization. One has but to attend the great Kumba Festival¹ at Hardwar to know how skilful that organization must have been which without any seeming effort was able effectively to cater for more than a million pilgrims. Yet it is the fashion to say that we lack organizing ability. This is true, I fear, to a certain extent of those who have been nurtured in the new traditions. We have laboured under a terrible handicap owing to an almost fatal departure from the Swadeshi spirit.

We, the educated classes, have received our education through a foreign tongue. We have therefore not reacted upon the masses. We want to represent the masses, but we fail. They recognize us not much more than they recognize the English officers. Their hearts are an open book to neither. Their aspirations are not ours. Hence there is a break; and you witness not in reality failure to organize, but want of correspondence between the representatives and the represented. If during the last fifty years we had been educated through the vernaculars, our elders and our servants and our neighbours would have partaken of our knowledge, the scientific discoveries of J C Bose and P C Ray would have been household treasures, as are the Ramayana and the Mahabharata.

As it is, so far as the masses are concerned, those great discoveries made by Indians might as well have been made by foreigners. Had instruction in all the branches of learning been given through the vernaculars they would have been enriched wonderfully. The question of

¹ A special Hindu bathing festival to which the village people gather in immense numbers.

village sanitation would have been solved long ago The village *panchayats* would be now a living force, and India would almost be enjoying self-government suited to its requirements and would have been spared the humiliating spectacle of organized assassination on its sacred soil

(iii) Much of the deep poverty of India is due to the departure from Swadeshi in the economic life If not a single article of commerce had been brought from outside India she would be to-day a land flowing with milk and honey But that was not to be

'We were greedy, and so was England The connection between England and India was based clearly upon an error But England did not remain in India owing to an error It is her declared policy that India is to be held in trust for the Indian people If this be true, Lancashire must stand aside, and if the Swadeshi doctrine is sound, Lancashire can stand aside without hurt though it may sustain a shock for the time being

I think of economic Swadeshi not as a boycott movement undertaken by way of revenge, but as a religious principle to be followed by all I am no economist, but I have read some treatises which show that England could easily become a self-contained country, growing all the produce she needs.

India cannot live for Lancashire, or any other country, before she is able to live for herself, and she can live for herself only if she produces everything for her own requirements within her own borders She need not be drawn into the vortex of mad and ruinous competition which breeds fratricide, jealousy, and many other evils

The hand-loom industry in India is in a dying condi-

tion I took special care during my wanderings last year to see as many weavers as possible, and my heart ached to find how much they had lost, how families had retired from this once flourishing and honourable occupation. If we follow the Swadeshi doctrine it will be your duty and mine to find out neighbours who can supply their wants, assuming that there are neighbours who are in need of healthy occupation. Then every village of India will almost be a self-supporting and self-contained unit, exchanging only necessary commodities with other villages where they are not locally producible.

This may all sound nonsensical. Well, India is a country of nonsense. It is nonsensical to parch one's throat with thirst when a kindly Muhammadan is ready to offer pure water to drink, and yet thousands of Hindus would rather die of thirst than drink water from a Muhammadan household. These nonsensical men can also, once they are convinced that their religion demands that they should wear garments manufactured in India only and eat food grown only in India, decline to wear any other clothing or eat any other food. I hate legislative interference in any department of life. At best it is a lesser of two evils. But I would welcome a stiff protective duty upon foreign goods.

Natal, a British Colony, protected its sugar by taxing the sugar that came from another British Colony, Mauritius. England has sinned against India by forcing Free Trade upon her. It may have been good for England but it has been poison for this country.

It has been urged that India cannot adopt Swadeshi in the economic life. Those who advance this objection do not look upon Swadeshi as a rule of life. With them

it is a mere patriotic effort, not to be made if it involved any self-denial. But Swadeshi, as defined here, is a religious principle to be undergone in utter disregard of physical discomfort caused to individuals. A Swadeshist will learn to do without a hundred things which to-day he considers necessary.

There now remains for me to consider one more objection that has been raised against Swadeshi. The objectors consider it to be a most selfish doctrine without any warrant in the civilized code of morality. With them to practise Swadeshi is to revert to barbarism. I cannot enter into a detailed analysis of this proposition, but I would urge that Swadeshi is the only doctrine consistent with the law of humility and love. It is arrogance to think of launching out to serve the whole of India when I am hardly able to serve even my own family. It were better to concentrate my effort upon the family, and consider that through them I was serving the whole nation and, if you will, the whole of humanity. This is humility and it is love.

The motive will determine the quality of the act. For instance, I may wrongly serve my family, regardless of the sufferings I may cause to others, as, for example, I may accept an employment which enables me to extort money from people. I may enrich myself thereby and then satisfy many unlawful demands of my family. Here I am neither serving the family nor the State. Or I may recognize that God has given me hands and feet only to work with for my sustenance and for that of those who may be dependent upon me. I would then at once simplify my life and that of those whom I can directly reach. In this instance I would have served the family

without causing injury to anyone else Supposing that everyone followed this mode of life, we should have at once an ideal State All will not reach that condition at the same time, but those of us who enforce it in practice will clearly accelerate the coming of that happy day

Under this plan of life, in seeming to serve India to the exclusion of every other country I do not harm any other country My patriotism is both exclusive and inclusive It is exclusive in the sense that in all humility I confine my attention to the land of my birth, but it is inclusive in the sense that my service is not of a competitive nature 'Use your own property in such a way that you hurt no one else's' is not merely a good legal maxim, but a grand doctrine of life It is the key to a proper practice of Ahimsa, of Love It is for us, who are the custodians of a great Faith, to show that patriotism based on hatred 'killeth', but that patriotism based on love 'giveth life'"

The careful study of this address on Swadeshi throws light on certain important details in Mahatma Gandhi's own religious position It is not of the type that ever looks forward (if I judge him rightly) to a single World Religion and a single World State, but rather to separate units working out their individual destiny in cordial, harmonized, friendly relations There will always be impassable barriers between them which appear to him divinely ordained Herein he differs, as far as I can gather, from Tagore, to whom this limited aspect of patriotism and religion is unthinkable To Tagore the overpassing of these boundaries is all-important, to Gandhi their due observance appears essential in this

present stage of human existence. Holding strongly a belief in reincarnation, he seems to have no anxiety about reaching any further stage of unification in this present cycle of existence

I remember a deeply interesting conversation which I had with him concerning the relationship of Marriage. This brought out his own theory of Swadeshi in an interesting form. During a sustained argument I put to him the purely hypothetical case of a marriage between our two families which should cross the boundaries of Caste and institutional religion¹.

"Suppose," I said to him, "simply for the sake of argument, that I myself had a daughter, who in every way was a suitable bride for your son, and that the two loved one another with devotion of the purest character. You have often told me that I am more than a blood-brother to you and the friend of your heart. Would you, then, stand in the way of such a marriage on the ground of difference of Caste or creed?"

Mahatma Gandhi answered in some such words as these —

"Yes, I would never give my consent to such a marriage, because it would be contrary to my ideas of religion thus to transgress the boundaries wherein we were born. I would not personally agree to a marriage out of Caste, at the same time I do not believe in the artificial multiplication of Castes which has occurred in India. That evil and the evil of untouchability are both separable from the true ideal of Varna."

"What, then," I asked him, "is your own conception

¹ For the sake of clearness let me add that I am still unmarried and therefore have no children, Mahatma Gandhi has a family of four sons but no daughters.

of the true Caste system, which you call Varnashrama Dharma?"

"It is not easy", he answered, "to explain it to you, because you have never come under its discipline To one like myself, who believes in the four Varnas, human life, during this present birth on the planet, is only one of a series There are other experiences which have to be gone through when this life is over. Our present existence is a discipline which has to be lived within certain rules suited to this special stage We cannot choose at this stage, for instance, our own parents, or our own birthplace, or our own ancestry Why, then, should we claim as individuals the right during this present brief life-period to break through all the conventions wherein we were placed at birth by God Himself? The Gita has very wisely said that the performance of one's own religious duty is preferable to the carrying out of the religious duty of others This religious duty, which we call by the untranslatable word 'Dharma', appears to me to include the environment wherein we were placed at birth by God It connotes our seeking to live in harmony with those birth conditions and not rebelling against them, or seeking to overpass their limitations, either for individualistic or selfish reasons "

I have tried to put down, through the medium of my own interpretation, the ideas which Mahatma Gandhi had sought to express to me on that memorable morning It was easy to see that his own thought about Swadeshi was very intimately related to his Hindu religious training in Varnashrama Dharma or Caste Religion,

for while Tagore has abandoned the Caste system once for all, Gandhi still declares that he believes in the original four Castes, or Varnas, as natural divisions of society.

What I am trying to make clear is this, that "Swadeshi" with Mahatma Gandhi is not to be confused with the current belief in sovereign "nationalism" in the West, though it runs at certain points perilously along the edge of it and has actually been mistaken for it by some of his own more impulsive followers. Rather it is something much more elemental, it goes back to the Varna-shrama Dharma itself, the Religion of Caste. Indeed, this Hindu Caste Religion still retains among orthodox people in India the name of Sanatana Dharma, the Eternal Religion.

CHAPTER VII

THE TEACHING OF AHIMSA

AMONG MAHATMA GANDHI's practical religious ideals the emphasis seems always placed upon Ahimsa, or Non-Violence. It is difficult in the West to realize how this has become to him the heart of all religion. It is bound up absolutely in his mind with Truth. He holds that the truth of all life on this planet and of God Himself is to be found in this principle of the sacredness of life and refusal to use violence. This principle he calls Ahimsa, which means literally Non-Violence. There is an early Christian saying in the Epistle to Diognetus "Violence is not the attribute of God" This would have won his whole-hearted adherence.

In taking up this position Mahatma Gandhi is neither original nor revolutionary from the Hindu standpoint, for as early as the days of the ancient Epic, called Mahabharata, this one virtue of Ahimsa has all along been declared by the Hindu Religion to be the perfection of religious duty. The words of the Mahabharata, which may be translated "Ahimsa is the Supreme Religion", are well known all over India. They have obtained a currency among the common people equivalent to the most familiar texts of the Bible in the West. I have often heard this saying about Ahimsa quoted by the villagers themselves.

When we come to analyse what constitutes Ahimsa we find at once that it is not merely a negative virtue, it involves the positive doing of good quite as much as the negative refusal to do harm. This is made clear in

the same passage from the Mahabharata, which goes on to say that Ahimsa is supreme kindness and supreme self-sacrifice

It is true that among certain forms of Hinduism the negative aspect has become prominent, for instance, among the Jains, Ahimsa has been centralized in the refusal to take the life of even the smallest insect. It has thus become a burden to humanity almost impossible to bear. But this is only one example of the extreme application of a great sovereign truth. With Mahatma Gandhi there is no such purely literal interpretation. He would say, concerning the doctrine of Ahimsa, "The letter killeth, but the Spirit giveth life"

It is obvious that it will be impossible, within the compass of a single chapter, to deal with all the questions and difficulties of this doctrine in a world such as we have around us to-day. Yet it may be easily proved that Ahimsa underlies all Mahatma Gandhi's passive resistance campaigns, both in South Africa and in India. A technique has been worked out by him under this head. Many methods of passive resistance have been rejected because they were likely to lead to violence, or else because they contained some compromise with truth, others have been accepted because no violence was implied and no compromise with truth.

There are two aspects which may appeal to the conscience of Western readers with regard to Mahatma Gandhi's actions in relation to Ahimsa. The former of these describes him putting an end to the miserable suffering of a little calf which was lying in agony by administering to it an opiate poison. This slaughter of a cow, as it might almost be called, was an amazingly

daring act in the citadel of Hindu India It disillusioned with a shock the blind devotion towards him of the fundamentalists in Hindu Religion It seemed to them to go directly contrary to the principle of Ahimsa itself The question of violently driving away destructive monkeys from the Ashram, which he binds up with it, has also given rise to great heart-burning among his followers

The second question has been Mahatma Gandhi's relation to the problems connected with War and with recruiting for military service Here again there have been instances in his career which have terribly perplexed his followers, for in spite of the profession of Ahimsa Mahatma Gandhi at one time actually encouraged recruiting for the great World War Personally I have never been able to reconcile this with his own conduct in other respects, and it is one of the points where I have found myself in painful disagreement In the paragraphs which I shall quote later his detailed explanations will be given These will relate his own justification for recruiting, and I have quoted him at considerable length

In the succeeding chapters of this book, which deal with the different historical events of his career, there will be seen written in letters of gold those unselfish deeds wherein he has relied upon Soul-Force in order to obtain his object, and has refused to admit the use of any physical force whatever These acts of his, which cover a whole lifetime, speak eloquently of that spiritual power which has become the deepest principle of his being Men may hold different opinions about his recruiting for the War, but there can be no two opinions concerning the entire nobility of his line of action in

one great Passive Resistance Movement after another, wherein he entirely abjured the use of any physical force to attain his spiritual end

The first passage to be quoted below will describe what he calls the "fiery ordeal" through which he was compelled to pass at the time when he was obliged to put out of its suffering the little maimed calf He writes thus —

"An attempt is being made at Sabarmati Ashram to run a small model dairy and tannery on behalf of the Cow Service Society Its work in this connection brings it up at every step against intricate moral dilemmas that would not arise but for the keenness to realize the Ashram ideal of seeking Truth through the exclusive means of Ahimsa

For instance, some days back a calf, having been maimed, lay in agony in the Ashram Whatever treatment and nursing was possible was given to it The surgeon whose advice was sought in the matter declared the case to be past help and past hope The suffering of the animal was so great that it could not even turn on its side without excruciating pain

In these circumstances I felt that humanity demanded that the agony should be ended by ending life itself I held a preliminary discussion with the Managing Committee, most of whom agreed with my view The matter was then placed before the whole Ashram At the discussion a worthy neighbour vehemently opposed the idea of killing even to end pain and offered to nurse the dying animal. The nursing consisted, in co-operation with some of the Ashram Sisters, in warding the flies

from the animal and trying to feed it The ground of the friend's opposition was that we have no right to take away life, which we cannot create His argument seemed to me to be pointless here It would have point if the taking of life was actuated by self-interest Finally, in all humanity, but with the clearest of convictions, I got in my presence a doctor kindly to administer the calf a quietus by means of a poison injection The whole thing was over in less than two minutes

I knew that public opinion would not approve of my action, and that it would read nothing but Violence in it, but I know, too, that performance of one's duty should be independent of public opinion I have all along held that one is bound to act according to what appears to oneself to be right, even though it may appear wrong to others, and experience has shown that this is the only correct course

I admit that there is always a possibility of mistaking right for wrong and vice versa, but often one learns to recognize wrong only through unconscious error On the other hand, if a man fails to follow the light within for fear of public opinion, or any other similar reason, he would never be able to know right from wrong, and in the end lose all sense of distinction between the two That is why the poet has sung

The pathway of love is the ordeal of fire,
The shrinkers turn away from it

The pathway of Ahimsa—that is, of love—has often to be trodden all alone

But the question may be very legitimately put to me Would I apply to human beings the principle I have

enunciated in connection with the calf? Would I like it to be applied in my own case? My reply is. Yes, the same law holds good in both cases. The law of 'As with one so with all' admits of no exceptions, otherwise the killing of the calf was wrong and violent. In practice, however, we do not cut short the sufferings of our ailing dear ones by death, because as a rule we have always means at our disposal to help them, and because they have the capacity to think and decide for themselves. But supposing that in the case of an ailing friend I am unable to render any aid whatever, and recovery is out of the question, and the patient is lying in an unconscious state in the throes of fearful agony, then I would not see any violence in putting an end to his suffering by death.

Just as a surgeon does not commit violence, but practises the purest Ahimsa, when he wields his knife on the patient's body for the latter's benefit, similarly one may find it necessary, in certain imperative circumstances, to go a step farther and sever life from the body in the interest of the sufferer. It may be objected that, whereas the surgeon performs his operation to save the life of the patient, in the other case we do just the reverse. But on a deeper analysis it will be found that the ultimate object sought to be served in both cases is the same—namely, to relieve the suffering soul within from pain.

But the trouble with our votaries of Ahimsa is that they have made of it a blind fetish and put the greatest obstacle in the way of the spread of true Ahimsa in our midst. The current (and in my opinion mistaken) view of Ahimsa has drugged our conscience and rendered us insensible to a host of other and more insidious forms

of violence, like harsh words, harsh judgments, ill-will, anger, spite, and lust of cruelty, it has made us forget that there may be far more violence in the slow torture of men and animals, the starvation and exploitation to which they are subjected out of selfish greed, the wanton humiliation and oppression of the weak and the killing of their self-respect that we witness all around us to-day, than in the benevolent taking of life.

It is this fundamental misconception about the nature and scope of Ahimsa—this confusion about the relative values—that is responsible for our mistaking mere non-killing for Ahimsa, and for the fearful amount of violence that goes on in the name of Ahimsa in our country.

Let a man contrast the sanctimonious horror that is affected by the so-called votaries of Ahimsa at the very idea of killing an ailing animal, in order to cut short its agony, with their utter apathy and indifference to countless cruelties that are practised on our dumb-cattle world, and he will begin to wonder whether he is living in the land of Ahimsa or in that of conscious or unconscious hypocrisy.

I now come to the other crying problem confronting the Ashram to-day which brings in the principle of Ahimsa. The monkey nuisance has become very acute and an immediate solution has become absolutely necessary. The growing vegetables and fruit trees have become a special mark of attention of this privileged fraternity, and these are now threatened with utter destruction. In spite of all our efforts we have not yet been able to find an efficacious, and at the same time non-violent, remedy for this evil.

The idea of wounding monkeys to frighten them away

seems to me unbearable, though I am seriously considering the question of killing them in case it should become unavoidable. But this question is not so simple or easy as the previous one.

I see a clear breach of Ahimsa even in driving away monkeys, the breach would be proportionately greater if they have to be killed, for any act of injury done from self-interest, whether amounting to killing or not, is doubtless violence.

All life in the flesh exists by some violence. Hence the highest religion has been defined by a negative word, Ahimsa. The world is bound in a chain of destruction. In other words, violence is an inherent necessity for life in the body. That is why a votary of Ahimsa always prays for ultimate deliverance from the bondage of the flesh.

None, while in the flesh, can thus be entirely free from violence, because one never completely renounces the 'will to live'. Of what use is it to force the flesh merely if the spirit refuses to co-operate? You may starve even unto death, but if at the same time the mind continues to hanker after objects of sense your fast is a sham and a delusion. What, then, is the poor, helpless slave to the 'will to live' to do? How is he to determine the exact nature and the extent of violence he must commit?

Society has, no doubt, set down a standard and absolved the individual from troubling himself about it to that extent. But every seeker after Truth has to adjust and vary the standard according to his individual need, and to make a ceaseless endeavour to reduce the circle of violence. But the peasant is too occupied with the burden of his hard and precarious existence to have time or

energy to think out these problems for himself, and the cultured class, instead of helping him, chooses to give him the cold shoulder

Having become a peasant myself, I have no clear-cut road to go by, and must therefore chalk out a path for myself and possibly for fellow-peasants, and the monkey nuisance being one of the multitude of ticklish problems that stare the farmer in the face, I must find out some means by which the peasant's crops can be safeguarded against it with the minimum amount of violence

I am told that the farmers of Gujarat employ special watchmen whose very presence scares away the monkeys and saves the peasant from the necessity of killing them. That may be, but it should not be forgotten that, whatever efficacy this method might have, it is clearly dependent upon some measure of destruction at some time or other, for these cousins of ours are wily and intelligent beings. The moment they discover that there is no real danger for them they refuse to be frightened even by gun-shots, and only gibber and howl the more when shots are fired.

None of the methods that I have known up to now is free from violence. Whilst, therefore, I would welcome any practical suggestions for coping with this problem, let the intending advisers bear in mind what I have said above, and send only such solutions as they have themselves successfully tried and have found to cause the minimum amount of injury."

The discussion which Mahatma Gandhi has thus carried on, revealing the torture of his own soul over the fact that as long as any human being remains in the

flesh he cannot avoid acts of violence, gives us a clear idea of the supreme religious value that he attaches to this one virtue of Ahimsa. At the same time it shows us how far he is from being a mere literalist, and how entirely indifferent he is to being charged with inconsistency so long as his own conscience remains clear.

A second example wherein his action appears to me far more difficult to defend will now be brought forward. It gives his own self-defence for taking part in Red Cross work during different wars in which the British Commonwealth was engaged. These acts have been felt recently by many in Europe and America to be a vital contradiction of his own doctrine of Ahimsa. It will be best to give his explanation at some length in his own words. He does not defend himself, but rather takes up the same position as that enunciated above—namely, that all human existence is built up on evil, and that our choice is rather confined to taking the lesser of two evils than to the avoidance of evil altogether. He writes as follows.—

"There is no defence for my conduct in doing ambulance work, weighed only in the scales of Ahimsa. I draw no distinction between those who wield the weapons of destruction and those who do Red Cross work. Both participate in war and advance its course, both are guilty of the crime of war. But even after introspection, during all these years, I feel that in the circumstances in which I found myself I was bound to adopt the course I did, both during the Boer War and the Great European War, and for that matter the so-called Zulu 'Rebellion' of Natal in 1906.

Life is governed by a multitude of forces. It would be smooth sailing if we could determine the course of action only by one general principle, whose application at a given time was too obvious to need even a moment's reflection. But I cannot recall a single act which could be so easily determined.

Being a confirmed war-resister, I have never given myself training in the use of destructive weapons, in spite of opportunities to take such training. It was perhaps thus that I escaped direct destruction of human life. But so long as I lived under a system of Government based on force and voluntarily partook of the many facilities and privileges it created for me, I was bound to help that Government to the extent of my ability when it was engaged in a war, unless I non-co-operated with that Government and renounced to the utmost of my capacity the privileges it offered me.

Let me take an illustration. I am a member of an institution which holds a few acres of land whose crops are in imminent peril from the monkeys. I believe in the sacredness of all life, and hence I regard it as a breach of Ahimsa to inflict any injury on the monkeys. But I do not hesitate to instigate and direct an attack on the monkeys in order to save the crops. I would like to avoid this evil. I can avoid it by leaving or breaking up the institution. I do not do so because I do not expect to be able to find a society where there will be no agriculture, and therefore no destruction of some life. In fear and trembling, in humility and penance, I therefore participate in the injury inflicted on the monkeys, hoping some day to find a way out.

Even so did I participate in the three acts of war. I

could not—it would be madness for me to sever my connection with the society to which I belong. And on those three occasions I had no thought of non-co-operating with the British Government My position regarding that Government is totally different to-day, and hence to-day I should not voluntarily participate in its wars. I should risk imprisonment and even gallows if I were forced to take up arms or otherwise take part in its military operations

But that still does not solve the riddle. If there were an Indian National Government, whilst I should not take any direct part in any military training of those who wish to take it, I should not oppose it For I know that all its members do not believe in Non-Violence to the extent I do It is not possible to make a person, or a society, non-violent by compulsion

Non-violence works in a most mysterious manner Often a man's actions defy analysis in terms of non-violence, equally often his actions may wear the appearance of violence when he is absolutely non-violent in the highest sense of the term, and is subsequently found to be so. All I can then claim for my conduct is that it was, in the instance cited, actuated in the interests of non-violence There was no thought of sordid national or other interests I do not believe in the promotion of national or any other interest at the sacrifice of some other interest

I may not carry my argument any farther [Language at best is but a poor vehicle for expressing one's thoughts in full] For me non-violence is not a mere philosophical principle [It is the rule and the breath of my life I know I fail often, sometimes consciously, more often

unconsciously It is a matter not of the intellect but of the heart ? True guidance comes by constant waiting upon God, by utmost humility, self-abnegation, by being ever ready to sacrifice one's self Its practice requires fearlessness and courage of the highest order!

But the light within me is steady and clear There is no escape for any of us save through truth and non-violence I know that war is wrong, is an unmitigated evil I know, too, that it has got to go I firmly believe that freedom won through bloodshed or fraud is no freedom Would that all the acts alleged against me were found to be wholly indefensible rather than that, by any act of mine, Non-Violence was held to be compromised, or that I was ever thought to be in favour of violence or untruth in any shape or form Not violence, not untruth, but Non-Violence! Truth is the law of our being!"

I shall venture to quote a further explanation, which he himself has made, although it partly covers the same ground He writes thus —

"I did honestly believe that, in spite of the many disabilities my country, India, was labouring under, it was making its way towards freedom, and that on the whole the British Government from the popular standpoint was not wholly bad, and that the British administrators were honest, though insular and dense Holding that view, I set about doing what an ordinary Englishman would do in the circumstances I was not wise or important enough to take independent action I had no business to judge or scrutinize ministerial decisions with the solemnity of a tribunal, I did not impute malice to the

Ministers either at the time of the Boer War, the Zulu revolt, or the late European War I did not consider Englishmen—nor do I now consider them—as particularly bad, or worse than other human beings I considered, and still consider, them to be as capable of high motives and actions as any other body of men, and equally capable of making mistakes. I therefore felt that I sufficiently discharged my duties as a man and a citizen by offering my humble services to the Empire in the hour of its need, whether local or general. That is how I would expect every Indian to act by his country under Swaraj. I would be deeply distressed if on every conceivable occasion each one of us was to be a law unto himself and to scrutinize in golden scales every action of our future National Assembly. I would surrender my judgment in most matters to national representatives, taking particular care in making my choice of such representatives. I know that in no other manner would a democratic government be possible for one single day.

The whole situation is now changed for me. My eyes, I fancy, are opened. Experience has made me wiser. I consider the existing system of government to be wholly bad and requiring special national effort to end or mend it. It does not possess within itself any capacity for self-improvement. That I still believe many English administrators to be honest does not assist me because I consider them to be as blind and deluded as I was myself. Therefore I can take no pride in calling the Empire mine or describing myself as its citizen. On the contrary, I fully realize that I am a pariah of the Empire. I must therefore constantly pray for its radical reconstruction or

total destruction, even as a Hindu pariah would be fully justified in so praying about Hinduism or Hindu society.

The next point, that of Ahimsa, is more abstruse. My conception of Ahimsa impels me always to dissociate myself from almost every one of the activities I am engaged in. My soul refuses to be satisfied so long as it is a helpless witness of a single wrong or a single misery. But it is not possible for me, a weak, frail, miserable being, to mend every wrong or to hold myself free of blame for all the wrong I see. The spirit in me pulls one way, the flesh in me pulls in the opposite direction. There is freedom from the action of these two forces, but that freedom is attainable only by slow and painful stages. I cannot attain freedom by a mechanical refusal to act, but only by intelligent action in a detached manner. This struggle resolves itself into an incessant crucifixion of the flesh so that the spirit may become entirely free.

I was again an ordinary citizen no wiser than my fellows, myself believing in Ahimsa, and the rest not believing in it at all, but refusing to do their duty of assisting the Government because they were actuated by anger and malice. They were refusing out of their ignorance and weakness. As a fellow-worker it became my duty to guide them aright. I therefore placed before them their clear duty, explained the doctrine of Ahimsa to them, and let them make their choice, which they did. I do not repent of my action in terms of Ahimsa. [For under Swaraj, too, I would not hesitate to advise those who *would* bear arms to do so, and thus fight for the country.]

I am obliged to leave his argument regarding war without discussion. It does not convince or satisfy me,

yet it has been tested and examined in his personal experience by his own pure spirit, and he cannot as yet see a flaw in it. It was not possible for me to convince him in this matter, when I tried to do so, any more than on a later occasion concerning the violence of the "Burning of Foreign Clothes." That second act of his, which appeared to me to be contrary to the spirit of Ahimsa, I have set forward in another chapter and have quoted his own explanation.

CHAPTER VIII

THE ETHICS OF KHADDAR

THE LOVE OF the poor and of the oppressed is deep in Mahatma Gandhi's nature. His whole attitude towards life ever since his great conversion, owing to the reading of Tolstoy and his study of the Sermon on the Mount along with the Bhagavad Gita, has been one of complete identification with those who are "the poorest, the lowliest, and the lost". He would literally live their life and share their fate, and his prayer that he may be born an "untouchable" has been no mere empty gesture, but the heart-longing of one who is himself the soul of sincerity and truth.

At the same time his nature is practical, and he is a poet in deeds rather than in words. His Caste inheritance as a member of the trading and agricultural community, called the Vaishya Caste, has given him this utilitarian side, which is almost unique in an idealist so uncompromising as Mahatma Gandhi.

It will be seen from this how natural it has been for him to make a practical economic programme for the help of the poor of his own country an essential part of his religion. This economic issue of Khaddar¹ (or homespun cloth) has shared with the Swadeshi principle and the doctrine of Ahimsa his moral allegiance. Indeed, in a singular way the three principles of Swadeshi, Khaddar, and Ahimsa combine in one, to his own kindled imagina-

¹ R. B. Gregg's book, *Economics of Khaddar*, published by S. Ganeshan, Triplicane, Madras, is the authoritative work on this subject from Mahatma Gandhi's own standpoint. It has received his strong approval.

tion, as offering the practical remedy for the suffering of the millions of village people who live in India on the border-line of famine and starvation He has often explained to me how the Swadeshi principle makes for contentment with local conditions and with the things that God has provided for man's sustenance, instead of the ruthless exploitation of other countries to obtain unnecessary luxuries, thus overthrowing their own internal economic equilibrium and introducing discord He has also shown me on many occasions how the cultivation of home-spinning and weaving is the one means of preventing the spirit of violence from spreading in India by giving the village people an industry that will occupy their idle time and lead onward to prosperous conditions He has the strong basic instinct, which belongs to his own Vaishya Caste, that God is to be found in material things and through the satisfaction of economic needs, and that to preach God's love to starving people, while neglecting to improve their condition, is an insult to God Himself

His own explanation of the Khaddar programme, which I quote below, is so full of detail that it will need no further explanation. He writes as follows —

"In order to understand properly what the Home-spinning movement means one must first have a clear idea of all that it does not mean For instance, hand-spinning does not compete with, in order to displace, any existing type of industry, it does not aim at withdrawing a single able-bodied person who can otherwise find a more remunerative occupation from his work To compare, therefore, the remuneration that hand-

spinning offers with the earnings offered by any other occupation, to measure its economic value in terms of returns and dividends, can only serve to mislead. In a word, hand-spinning does not claim to satisfy the economics of 'getting rich'. The sole claim advanced on its behalf is that it alone offers an immediate, practicable, and permanent solution of the problem of problems that confronts India—namely, the enforced idleness for nearly six months in the year of an overwhelming majority of India's population, owing to lack of a suitable occupation supplementary to agriculture and the chronic starvation of the masses that results therefrom. There would be no place for the spinning-wheel in the national life of India, comparatively small as the remuneration that can be derived from it is, if these two factors were not there. A proper appraisement of the economic value of home-spinning would therefore involve a consideration of the almost incredible poverty of the Indian masses, and partly of its causes, inasmuch as the remedy is to be sought in the removal of the causes.

The gradual extinction of all of India's principal indigenous industries, without any new ones arising to take their place, the steadily growing ruralization of the country, the deterioration of the existing stock of cattle, scarcities and famines following in quick succession, the progressive pauperization of the agriculturist, rendering him incapable of making any improvement in the little bits of his minutely subdivided holding, which are in their turn unfit for the application of new implements and improved methods of agriculture; the control over agriculture of the money-lending agencies driving the agriculturist to concentrate on cotton and aggravating

the evil of high prices of food-stuffs. all these and many other factors have combined to make poverty and unemployment the stupendous problem of to-day.

There are Dr. Buchanan's and Montgomery Martin's surveys of Northern India during the first quarter of the nineteenth century to bear eloquent testimony to the villages and towns smiling with plenty, to the vast voluntary organization that was at work in every town and village, keeping millions of spinners, tens of thousands of weavers, and thousands of dyers, bleachers, carpenters, smiths, and smaller handicraftsmen busy throughout the districts, all the year round, and bringing millions of rupees and distributing them equably in Bihar, Bengal, U.P., and Mysore. If official testimony were needed for the contrast which the picture of the present-day India bears to that of those days, enough is to be had in the Census Reports. The average size of an agricultural holding in the major provinces to-day is about three acres, except in Bombay, N.W.P., and the Punjab, where the average is ten and a half

It is on these impoverished holdings that 72 per cent of the whole population of India is supposed to subsist 'This', says the Census Report, 'utilizes to the full neither the energy of the worker nor the productivity of the soil' Mr Thompson (Bengal Census) states 'The number of actual workers in cultivation . . . in British Bengal is 11,060,629 This means 2 2 acres per worker It is in such figures as these that the explanation of the poverty of the cultivator lies. The cultivation of less than two and a quarter acres of land cannot employ a man for more than a comparatively small number of days in the year. The cultivator works fairly hard for a few days when

he ploughs his land and puts down his crops and again when he harvests them, but for most of the year he has little or nothing to do'

Mr. Edye (U P Census) describes the agriculture of the Province as involving 'very hard work for certain short periods . . . and almost complete inactivity for the rest of the year. These periods of inactivity are spent in idleness' Thus Mr Houghton (C P Census) 'The Kharif crop which is raised at the end of the rains is the only crop of importance that is grown, and when this crop is gathered there is a scarcity of employment until shortly before the break of the next monsoon' Mr Calvert, in his book *The Wealth and Welfare of the Punjab*, estimates 'that the work done by the average cultivator in the Punjab does not represent more than about 150 days' full labour for twelve months' When this is the state of things in a province where the average size of a holding is comparatively very large (9 18 acres), and where the percentage of irrigated area (which keeps the agriculturist better employed than dry areas) is the second highest in India, the state of other provinces can well be imagined

It is thus clear that all these officials are unanimous on the point that the whole of the agricultural population remains without work for at least half of the year, and one or two have made pointed reference to that fact as the sole cause of the poverty of the agriculturist. When even in Lancashire, with an acreage of 21 per peasant, it is thought that 'it would be a great boon if in bad weather and winter the agriculturists had something to do in their homes of a remunerative character as in days past',¹

¹ Green, *Rural Industries of England*

and in Italy, with an important textile trade of its own, 'the peasant women of almost every district where mulberry-trees can be grown are fully occupied with spinning',¹ the importance of a subsidiary cottage industry connected with agriculture in a country of the vast magnitude of India should need no argument.

But what exactly that subsidiary industry should be has been the subject of much argument—ever since, and only since, the inception of the home-spinning movement. Let us hope that critics will recognize that it was the Charkha² that first set them a-thinking. Once they recognize it one might humbly submit to them the fact that the Charkha is no new invention, like Ford's motor-car, it is a rediscovery, like the discovery of its own mother by a strayed child. The critic must not forget that the child here is a vast multitude of people, the most conservative in the world, and scattered over a continent 1,900 miles long and 1,500 miles broad, and the mother is the handicraft that gave them all warmth and sustenance.

Once this fact is understood, no one will seriously press the claims of any other industry. Industries there are enough and to spare. Why not try dairying? Well, India is not Denmark, which easily possesses 40 per cent of the butter trade of England. In 1900 Denmark received 8 million pounds sterling from England for butter, and 3 million for bacon, the raising of pigs being an important adjunct of the dairy industry. But India cannot find a bigger India to take its dairy products, and no one will ask the India of Hindus and Mussalmans to engage

¹ Bombay Mill-owners' statement to the Tariff Board.

² The word "Charkha" means the hand-spinning-wheel. "Khaddar" means hand-spun and hand-woven cloth. "Khadi" is another word used with approximately the same meaning.

in the bacon-curing industry. Poultry-rearing and bee-keeping may also be dismissed on the same score, if not on the ground of their novelty and their necessitating technical skill. India cannot to-day develop her agriculture and increase the one acre per inhabitant that it has to-day, for India is not Ireland, which has its wonderful Department of Agriculture organizing numerous colleges and placing numerous experts at the disposal of County Councils. Nor will anyone suggest that the vast mass of people can take up sock-knitting, or cane-work, or basket-making. These do not and cannot command the ready and permanent market that yarn always does. Even to-day in parts of Bengal and Madras the old tradition of yarn markets continues. Why not have a jute-mill in the jute areas of Bengal, suggests a Bengal civilian with unconscious humour. Possibly he is wondering why none of his brother-civilians has suggested the establishment of more cotton-mills in cotton areas. He forgets the jute-mills employ to-day not more than 250,000 labourers, impoverish the jute-grower, and fatten a few capitalists and middlemen. After 70 years of cotton industry, and having some 50 crores of capital, the cotton magnates¹ only claim to have given their daily bread to 1½ million souls, representing the families of 370,000 mill-hands employed by them, and a handful of clerks and superior staff.

But, it is objected, spinning affords only a miserable pittance, and is thus an economic waste. It is forgotten that spinning has never been put forward as a principal occupation. It is offered to those who would otherwise

¹ Bombay Mill-owners' statement to the Tariff Board. A crore of rupees represents to-day about £750,000.

waste their time in idleness. Whether two annas per day, or, let us say, an anna per day, or Rs 24 yearly, is a miserable pittance,¹ is a matter that can only be judged by one who has seen the 'chill penury' of the masses with his own eyes. This is no place to discuss the income per head in India. The Indian Economic Inquiry Committee cited the estimates of no less than fifteen authorities taken at different times. Ever since Dadabhai Naoroji started the chase of that golden hind² a number of others have pursued it, no one yet being recognized as having captured it. But assuming even what appears to be an estimate farthest wide of the mark as the correct one, viz that of Rs 116 by Mr Finlay Shirras, one may like to know if Rs 24 is not a substantial addition to that income!¹

Whereas hand-spinning presents the following special features which render it pre-eminently suitable as a remedy for India's present economic distress.—

i It is immediately practicable because

- (a) It does not require any capital or costly implements to put in operation. Both the raw material and the implements for working it can be cheaply and locally obtained
- (b) It does not require any higher degree of skill or intelligence than the ignorant and poverty-stricken masses of India possess
- (c) It requires so little physical exertion that even little children and old men can practise it and so contribute their mite to the family fund.

¹ An anna represents roughly a penny, and a rupee one shilling and sixpence

² I e to discover the personal income of the whole population of India

- (d) It does not require the ground to be prepared for its introduction afresh, because the spinning tradition is still alive among the people
- 2 It is universal and permanent, since, next to food, yarn alone can be sure of always commanding an unlimited and ready market at the very doorsteps of the worker, and thus ensures a steady, regular income to the impoverished agriculturist
- 3 It is independent of monsoon conditions and so can be carried on even during famine times
- 4 It is not opposed to the religious or social susceptibilities of the people
- 5 It provides a most perfect ready means of fighting famine
- 6 It carries work to the very cottage of the peasant, and thus prevents the disintegration of the family under economic distress
- 7 It alone can restore some of the benefits of the village communities of India now wellnigh ruined
- 8 It is the backbone as much of the hand-weaver as of the agriculturist, since it alone can provide a permanent and stable basis for the hand-loom industry, which at present is supporting from eight to ten million people and supplies about one-third of the clothing requirements of India, but uses chiefly mill-made yarn
- 9 Its revival would give a fillip to a host of cognate and allied village occupations, and thus rescue the villages from the state of decay into which they have fallen

- 10 It alone can ensure the equitable distribution of wealth among the millions of the inhabitants of India
- 11 It alone effectively solves the problem of unemployment, not only the partial unemployment of the agriculturist but of the educated youth aimlessly wandering in search of occupation. The very magnitude of the task requires the marshalling of all the intellectual forces of the country to guide and direct the movement

We shall now consider how far the claims advanced on behalf of the Charkha movement have been realized. The Charkha movement began in 1920. The salient features may be noted.

1. *Organization*—Instead of the scattered efforts of the beginning we have now a regular organization with branches in every province and with a capital of 15 lakhs,¹ collecting assets and distributing loans, publishing reports of production and sales in the various provinces month by month, collecting and publishing all valuable data, making experiments in improving the Charkha, the carding-bow and the hand-gin, and popularizing them, receiving yarn from voluntary spinners, accurately testing its quality and directing so far as is possible the various producing centres in the matter of improving the yarn and cloth, training workers in all the technical processes, from the picking of cotton to the final weaving and dyeing of cloth and making it ready for the market, and organizing a Khadi Service.

2. *Work*—The concrete work of the All-India

¹ A lakh of rupees represents about £7,500

THE ETHICS OF KHADDAR

Spinners' Association may be noticed under several heads —

(a) The figures of production cover only that done under the supervision of the Board. They do not represent such production as has been traditionally in existence in parts of Assam, Rajputana, Punjab, and Madras, independent of the Charkha movement.

The figures for production for the year 1924-5 total Rs 1,903,034 as against Rs 949,348 in 1923-4. The figures for the year 1925-6 have shown a great advance on the previous year. It is not necessary to give the figures for sales, as they represent those for production, practically every yard of Khaddar that is produced being sold. Rs 1,903,034 worth of cloth means 3,806,068 yards of cloth (the average price of a yard being 8 annas), which in its turn represents 1,522,427 lb. of yarn. This represents the fruit of two years' concentrated effort in a movement which only started five years ago.

(b) Improvement in the quality of yarn and cloth and decrease in the cost and price may be considered together.

Whereas five years ago yarn of high counts was a rarity, not only Madras, but Bihar and Bengal, both produce it now. The quality of ordinary yarn is being daily more and more standardized—15 to 20 counts being the usual quality spun everywhere except in Gujarat. Not that we have yet been able completely to perfect that yarn, but the defective yarn may be regarded as a passing phase. All Khaddar depots are now testing the yarn they receive, and have practically decided not to accept yarn under standard test.

(c) *Price*—Effective decentralization and integration of processes is the keynote of the economics of hand-

spinning, just as centralization and the division of processes is the law in large-scale production. Thus in Gujarat, where ginning, carding, and spinning are done by different persons, the cost of production of yarn was Rs 0-9-4 $\frac{1}{2}$ per lb., in Tirupur, where the spinner cards for himself, the cost was Rs 0-6-10 $\frac{1}{2}$, in parts of Bengal ginning and carding are both done by the spinner, bringing down the cost to Rs 0-5-6.

The result of efforts in this direction has been a remarkable decrease in the cost of production in all provinces except perhaps in Gujarat. The cost and price in Madras and in the Punjab show to-day a 50 per cent reduction over what they were in 1920, 25 per cent over what they were in 1922. It may be remarked that the reduction of the price to the extent of 50 per cent is really to the extent of 100 per cent, as the quality of cloth is certainly 50 per cent better than it was five years ago, though we recognize that the reduction is partly due to a fall in the price of cotton during the last two years.

(d) *Cotton*—A final stage in the development of the economics of hand-spinning is reached when the spinner not only performs all the preliminary processes, but begins to stock his own cotton. This was done with wonderful results in Kathiawar last year. They not only had good cotton but saved a lot of waste and spun better quality of yarn. At the present time the whole cotton crop is controlled by middlemen or agents of the mill-owners, who take away the best of the harvest, leaving only indifferent cotton behind, which is mostly the cotton used by the hand-spinners, partly explaining the inferior quality of yarn. When the hand-spinning

agriculturist understands his own interest better, as soon he must, he would automatically stock his own cotton and spin for personal use, not for wages

3 *Famine Areas* — It is difficult to indicate in brief the way in which Charkha came to be adopted as a relief measure in famine areas. Famines, some might say, occurred in the days of the Charkha, too. Indeed, they did, but with nothing like the frequency that they have occurred since 1864. Ever since that date there have been famine commissions which have only emphasized the essential difficulty of State relief. There is reluctance of those unaccustomed to famine to seek relief, there is eagerness of those accustomed to famine to accept relief, there is demoralization that follows when families are broken up and half-starved masses become a moving multitude. ‘The maintenance of the village system is the only means of saving life by preserving order,’ said Sir Edward Caird. By nothing could this be maintained so well as by taking the means to earn relief to the very door of the famine-stricken, namely, the Charkha. That is the only work which can be done by young and old, decrepit and infirm, day and night, and without any strain.

Dr. P. C. Ray first tried paddy-husking and other forms of relief in the flood and famine areas of West Bengal in 1923–4. He found that they were of no avail, and then tried the Charkha, which worked to perfection. What can be called a signal achievement is that the Charkha has now a permanent home in those areas, enabling the people to supplement their slender means and to resist crop failures and floods more effectively than ever before.

So far we have considered the actual work achieved. That work in itself should contain the promise of its future possibilities. But, it is said, we are not reckoning with the competition of the machine-made cloth. Is it, however, fair to say that there *is* competition between the home-made and the machine-made cloth? There can be competition between mills and mills—foreign mills and indigenous mills, mills driven by steam-power and those driven by electric power. But how can there be, or rather why should there be, any competition between one which is an essentially vital industry and another which is not?

We shall make our meaning clear. Among the most crying needs of the day is relief from economic distress of the millions of the peasantry—removal of the partial unemployment of the agricultural classes. The spinning-wheel is the only industry that can give such relief and such employment. The fifty crores of capital which the mills have sunk can only give their daily bread to $1\frac{1}{2}$ million souls, representing the families of 370,000 mill-hands, who are largely drawn from the agricultural classes. Now, supposing that the mill industry expands to the extent of the total cloth consumption of India, will the matter be any the better so far as the starving millions, who are badly in need of a subsidiary industry, are concerned? Let us see. Our cloth consumption to-day is 4,661 million yards. To produce that amount about 1,165 million pounds of yarn will be needed. To have 1,165 million pounds of yarn it would be necessary to have about 11 million spindles, and to convert the yarn into cloth, 215,655 looms. To work these 11 million spindles and 215,655 looms the number of operatives

will at an outside estimate be 600,000 This means that 2,500,000 people at the most can find their living from the industry, and these people are largely lost to the soil Therefore the mill industry at best can only tear from their homes so many agriculturists It cannot give a single one of them a supplementary industry The mills and the spinning-wheel are, therefore, dissimilars admitting of no comparison

Let us now see how many souls the same amount of cloth produced by our home industry can find employment for The production of 1,165 million lb of yarn would require at least 46,600,000 spinning-wheels producing 25 lb a year This means that 46,600,000 spinners would supplement their income by spinning Add to these the additional thousands of ginners, carders, sizers, dyers, carpenters, smiths, and educated organizers, and 3,107,033 weavers necessary for the maintenance of the industry This means (deducting 61 4 million children under 10 from the total 224 million agricultural people) not much less than half the agriculturist population of India

So far as the consumer is concerned it has already been possible to secure his response to this vital industry, and for the industry to meet his wants in increasing proportion, for a progressive improvement in quality and cheapness has been steadily maintained The industry is vital because its conception is based on economics founded upon life 'Nations', says a writer, 'must have an economy that enables them to live' Here is an industry which will enable the Indian people not only to live as a nation, but to live as a nation producing wealth which is real and equitably distributed, not wealth which in Ruskin's

picturesque language 'may in verity be only the gilded index of far-reaching ruin, a wrecker's handful of coin gleaned from the beach to which he has beguiled an argosy'.

Is it too much to expect the State to protect such a life-giving industry? Is it too much to expect them to extend it their exclusive protection, even as it is extended to a vital service like the Postal Service? It is quite usual in some countries to protect the 'market rights' of municipalities, and in protecting our 'market rights' in respect of Khaddar, Government will but expiate the sins of predecessors who strangled the one vital industry of the land "

CHAPTER IX

“OUR SHAME AND THEIRS”

AS WILL BE apparent later, I have kept Mahatma Gandhi's own title for this chapter on “Untouchability”. At the same time I would wish to use it here in a sense that he never intended, for when we speak of the segregation of the “untouchables” in India we are really confronting at the same time our own Western race and colour problem, which is our own shame, in the same way that “untouchability” is the shame of India.

The Christian Church in the West, no less than the Hindu Religion, has sadly failed hitherto to deal justly and act generously with those of another race. It has to-day its own “untouchable” problem in Africa and elsewhere, which it has not yet solved.

Many of the noblest saints in Hinduism, such as Chaitanya and Nanak, have striven for racial equality. Gautama, the Buddha, five hundred years before Christ, proclaimed it and welcomed the outcaste into his Church. But the evil has been repeatedly revived in new forms of fear and hatred until racial segregation has ensued. The religious idea of ceremonial uncleanness or pollution has entered in besides, so that the segregation which took place originally from social causes has obtained a religious sanction. Thus the evil has grown like a canker in the heart of Hinduism, just in the same way that colour prejudice has sprung up in certain lands in the heart of the Christian Church.

Among the Hindus of Modern India more than fifty millions have only been admitted into the outermost

fringe of Hinduism as outcastes or "untouchables". The latter word is used because, according to a theory which has grown up among the common people and has become in recent times regarded as a part of religion itself, it is necessary for a Caste Hindu, who by accident touches one of these outcastes, to purify himself by an ablution. The degradation into which these poor outcastes have fallen may be judged from the fact that a very large number of them now eat carrion and garbage and the leavings from the meals of the Caste people. Their houses, separated from the Caste people's dwellings, are hovels hardly fit for human habitation. In South India the conditions in which they live are the most terrible of all.

I can remember how, when I went near a poor "untouchable" woman in Malabar, who was crouching in her hut with three half-starved children by her side and with a mere skeleton of a baby in her arms, she screamed out in a terrible manner, even though I was wearing Indian home-spun clothes and could not possibly have been mistaken for an official. She was possessed with the horrible fear that she might pollute me by her presence, and that I might in return perhaps do her some bodily injury. The shock was to me so great when I saw her frightened face that it haunted me for many days.

I have told this simple story in order to make clear what an immense load of fear has to be lifted from these poor people before they can be set free and cherished as brothers and sisters.

The problem, as I have said, is not one that affects India alone. The treatment of the coloured races in

different parts of the world, such as South Africa and the Southern States of America, has in it all the elements which have gone to make up in the past "untouchability" in India. The fear of lynching among the Negroes, wherever terrorism has done its evil work, is not altogether unlike the fear in that poor woman's face in Malabar. The psychology is the same in both cases. By an irony of fate the Indians who have gone to South Africa are segregated in various ways which are parallel to the social segregation between caste and outcaste in India. Mr Gandhi is never tired of pointing out that this is the due retribution for the sin of "untouchability". In season and out of season he has declared that India cannot attain Swaraj until the people themselves have removed from their midst this curse. The following is one of his pointed utterances while making a speech in the presence of the "untouchables" themselves —

"I regard", he says, "'untouchability' as the greatest blot on Hinduism. This idea was not brought home to me simply by my bitter experiences during the South African struggle. It is not, again, due to the fact that I was once an agnostic. It is equally wrong to think, as some people do, that I have taken my views from my study of Christian religious literature. These views of mine on this subject date as far back as the time when I was neither enamoured of, nor was acquainted with, the Bible or the followers of the Bible."

I was hardly yet twelve when this idea had dawned on me. A scavenger named Uka, an 'untouchable', used to attend our house for cleaning latrines. Often I would ask my mother why it was wrong to touch him and

why I was forbidden to do so If I accidentally touched Uka I was asked to perform the ablutions, and though I naturally obeyed, it was not without smilingly protesting that 'untouchability' was not sanctioned by religion and that it was impossible that it should be so I was a very dutiful and obedient child, but so far as was consistent with respect for my parents I often had tussles with them on this matter. I told my mother that she was entirely wrong in considering physical contact with Uka as sinful, it could not be sinful

While at school I would often happen to touch the 'untouchables', and, as I never would conceal the fact from my parents, my mother would tell me that the shortest cut to purification, after the unholy touch, was to cancel it by touching any Mussalman passing by. Therefore simply out of reverence and regard for my mother I often did so, but never did so believing it to be a religious obligation

The Ramayana¹ used to be regularly read in our family A Brahmin used to read it He was stricken with leprosy and was confident that a regular reading of the Ramayana would cure him, and indeed he was cured. 'How can the Ramayana', I thought to myself, 'in which one who is regarded nowadays as an "untouchable" took Rama across the Ganges in his boat, countenance the idea of any human beings being "untouchable" on the ground that they are polluted souls?'

The fact that we addressed God as 'the purifier of the polluted' shows that it is a sin to regard anyone born in

¹ The Ramayana Epic, reciting the Divine Incarnation of Rama, was translated into Hindi by Tulsidas from Valmiki's original Sanskrit work It has been called the "Bible" of North India among Hindus

Hinduism as polluted—that it is Satanic to do so I have hence been never tired of repeating that it is a great sin I do not pretend that this thing had crystallized as a conviction in me at the age of twelve, but I do say that I did then regard 'untouchability' as a sin.

I have always claimed to be an orthodox conservative Hindu It is not that I am quite innocent of the Scriptures I am not a profound scholar of Sanskrit I have read the Vedas and the Upanishads only in translation Naturally, therefore, mine is not a scholarly study of them My knowledge of them is in no way profound, but I have studied them as a Hindu and I claim to have grasped their true spirit By the time I had reached the age of twenty-one I had studied other religions also

There was a time when I was wavering between Hinduism and Christianity When I recovered my balance of mind I felt that to me salvation was possible only through the Hindu Religion, and my faith in Hinduism grew deeper and more enlightened But even then I believed that 'untouchability' was not for me

So long as Hindus wilfully regard 'untouchability' as part of their religion, so long as the mass of Hindus consider it a sin to touch a section of their brethren, Swaraj is impossible of attainment

But I have faith in me still I have realized that the spirit of kindness whereof the poet Tulsidas sings so eloquently, which forms the corner-stone of the Jain and Vaishnava religions, which is the quintessence of the Bhagavat¹ and behind every verse in the Gita—this kindness, this love, this charity is slowly but steadily

¹ One of the Hindu scriptures, much loved by village people for its spirit of generous love and forgiveness and devotion, and often recited in the villages

gaining ground in the hearts of the masses of this country

I was at Nellore on National Day I met the 'untouchables' there, and I prayed as I have done to-day I do want to attain spiritual deliverance [I do not want to be reborn But if I have to be reborn I should wish to be born an 'untouchable', so that I may share their sorrows, sufferings, and the affronts levelled at them, in order that I may endeavour to free myself and them from that miserable condition] Therefore I prayed that if I should be born again I should be so, not as a Brahmin, Kshattriya, Vaishya, or Shudra, but as an 'untouchable'

I love scavenging In my Ashram an eighteen-year-old Brahmin lad is doing the scavenger's work, in order to teach the Ashram scavenger cleanliness The lad is no reformer He was born and bred in orthodoxy He is a regular reader of the Gita and faithfully performs his prayers When he conducts the prayer his soft, sweet melodies melt one into love But he felt that his accomplishments were incomplete until he had become also a perfect sweeper He felt that if he wanted the Ashram sweeper to do his work well he must do it himself and set an example.

You should realize that you are cleaning Hindu society You have, therefore, to purify your lives You should cultivate the habits of cleanliness, so that no one may point his finger at you Some of you are addicted to habits of drinking and gambling which you must get rid of

You claim to be Hindus, you read the Scriptures, if, therefore, the Hindus oppress you, then you should understand that the fault does not lie in the Hindu

"OUR SHAME AND THEIRS"

religion, but in those who profess it In order to emancipate yourselves you have to purify yourselves You have to get rid of evil habits like drinking liquor and eating carrion I have come in contact with the 'untouchables' all over the country, and I have observed that immense possibilities lie latent in them, whereof neither they nor the rest of the Hindus seem to be aware Their intellect is of virginal purity I ask you to learn spinning and weaving, and if you take this up as a profession you will keep poverty from your doors

You should now cease to accept leavings from plates, however clean they may be represented to be Receive grain only—good, sound grain, not rotten grain—and that too only if it is courteously offered If you are able to do all that I have asked you to do, you will secure your emancipation

The Hindus are not sinful by nature, they are sunk in ignorance. 'Untouchability' must be extinct in this very year [Two of the strongest desires that keep me in the flesh are the emancipation of the 'untouchables' and the protection of the cow When these two desires are fulfilled, there is Swaraj, and therein lies my own soul's deliverance! May God give you strength to work out your own soul's salvation to the end!"]

Let me quote another dramatic incident In the pages of *Young India* Mahatma Gandhi writes, with his own sincere and passionate accent, about a visit to Orissa, when I was his personal companion Orissa is by far the most miserable and poverty-stricken part of India He describes what happened as follows —

"The long-deferred visit to Orissa has come to fill the

bitter cup of sorrow and humiliation It was at Bolgarh, thirty-one miles from the nearest railway station, that, whilst I was sitting and talking with Dinabandhu¹ Andrews, a pariah with a half-bent back, wearing only a dirty loin-cloth, came crouching in front of us He picked up a straw and put it in his mouth, and then lay flat on his face with arms outstretched He then raised himself, folded his hands, bowed, took out the straw, arranged it in his hair, and was about to leave.

I was writhing in agony whilst I witnessed the scene Immediately the performance was finished I called out for an interpreter, asked the friend to come near, and began to talk to him

He was an 'untouchable' living in a village six miles away, and, being in Bolgarh for the sale of his load of faggots and having heard of me, he had come to see me When asked why he had taken the straw in his mouth, he said that this was to honour me I hung my head in shame The price of such 'honour' seemed to me to be far too great to bear My Hindu spirit was deeply wounded

I asked him for a gift He searched for a copper about his waist

'I do not want your copper', I said to him in my misery, 'I want you to give me something better'

'I will give it', he replied

I had ascertained from him that he drank liquor and ate carrion because it was the custom

'The gift I want you to give me is a promise never again to take that straw in your mouth for any person on earth, it is beneath a man's dignity to do so, never

¹ Literally, "Friend of poor people" A religious title

"OUR SHAME AND THEIPS"

again to drink, because it reduces man to the condition of a beast, never again to eat carrion, for it is against Hinduism, and no civilized person would ever eat carrion'

'But my people will excommunicate me if I do not eat carrion and drink', the poor man said

"Then suffer the excommunication, and if need be leave the village'

The downtrodden, humble man made the promise
If he keeps it his threefold gift is more precious than
all the rupees that generous countrymen entrust to my
care

This 'untouchability' is our greatest shame The
humiliation of it is sinking deeper and deeper"

There could hardly have been a more tragic situation in his own life than when he had at last determined to take a little "untouchable" girl into his own house as his own adopted daughter, and Mrs Gandhi at first was against it The scene is told with amazing directness and frankness in his *Autobiography*, but it is too long to repeat in this chapter It led to a dispute between husband and wife so acute that he declared he could not go on living in the house unless his wife were willing to receive the little 'untouchable' child as his daughter With many tears Mrs Gandhi, who at that time did not share all his ideals, gave way

On another occasion a somewhat similar dispute between husband and wife arose over the reception of a young clerk, who belonged to the "untouchable" class, in her house in South Africa The question of cleaning utensils which this "untouchable" had used was the

cause of the quarrel. It was one of the very rare occasions on which Mr Gandhi lost his temper with his wife, and his confession of this in the *Autobiography* is told with deep humiliation. Such disputes as these show to us with what strength of feeling these prejudices are still held.

I have lived for a long time at different intervals in South Africa, and nothing has struck me more than the similarity of these two irrational, but fanatically potent, forces in human nature—the Caste feeling in India and the race prejudice in South Africa.

One day I was asked in Travancore by the “untouchables” themselves, through a messenger, whether I would be willing to meet them. They had heard of me as “Gandhi’s brother”, and they had sent this message to me. When with joy I had accepted their invitation and had reached the place at midday, I found that more than three thousand of them had collected. Along with some devoted followers of Gandhi who were with me we went up and down the rows of the “untouchables”, encouraging them and showing our friendship. It was necessary thus to go moving slowly through their midst until they had banished from their minds every last vestige of fear. What I found out that day concerning the cruelty they received at the hands of the landlords, and the oppression from which they suffered, was a story of misery and wrong. Their faces told me by their lines of suffering the truth of their tale.

The following is another passage from Mahatma Gandhi’s writing on this subject:—

‘Surely when Hindus, by a deliberate and conscious effort, not by way of policy but for self-purification,

"OUR SHAME AND THEIRS"

remove the taint of 'untouchability', that act will give the nation a new strength born of the consciousness of having done the right thing, and will therefore contribute to the attainment of Swaraj. We are powerless to-day because we have lost the power of cohesion. When we learn to regard these fifty millions of outcastes as our own we shall learn the rudiments of what it is to be one people. That one act of cleansing will probably solve also the Hindu-Muslim question. For in it, too, the corrosive poison of 'untouchability' is consciously or unconsciously working its way. Hinduism must be poor stuff if it requires to be protected by an artificial wall of this kind.

If 'untouchability' and Caste are convertible terms, the sooner Caste perishes the better for all concerned. But I am satisfied that Caste is a healthy institution. The modern Caste, with its arrogant exclusiveness, is as good as gone. The innumerable subdivisions are destroying themselves with a rapidity of which we can have no conception.

It is our fault and shame that these suppressed classes are living outside towns and villages, and that they are leading a wretched life. Even as we rightly charge the British rulers with our helplessness and lack of initiative, so let us admit the guilt of the High-Caste Hindus in making the 'untouchables' what they are to-day. (The Alpha of our spiritual training must begin by our coming down from the Himalayan height and feeling one with them in love.)

During the crisis of the Non-Co-operation Movement, in the year 1921, there was no subject that exer-

cised the mind of Mahatma Gandhi so much as that of "untouchability". This movement has very often been misunderstood in the West as a purely negative, political revolt, born out of race bitterness and leading to the overthrow of the established order. On the contrary, the deepest note of all that was struck with perpetual response was the need of India's own self-purification and repentance for the national sin of regarding brothers and sisters as "untouchables". In no way would he allow the inescapable logic to be forgotten that if Indians treated their brothers and sisters so cruelly, then it was just that they should be treated in a similar manner themselves and that they would deserve such a fate. During those exciting and inspiring days the burden of his addresses was more like John the Baptist's call to repentance than anything else. The reiteration would have been monotonous had not his own earnestness and enthusiasm taken away any sense of it. It was quite noticeable how as time went on he mentioned less the shortcomings of the British and reiterated the wrongs done by his own people. Indeed, many of his followers remonstrated with him about this. But he refused to pay any attention. During the struggle he never wavered either to the right or to the left, but went straight forward against this deep-rooted evil. The most common sentence of all that he kept repeating in the vernacular was this "If 'untouchability' belongs to the Hindu religion, then I am not a Hindu".

The most scathing of all his speeches were delivered in the Madras Presidency, where "untouchability" has remained in the past rampant and aggressive. In Malabar and Travancore there are not only outcaste people

who cannot be touched, but others who can never even be approached, or looked on, without defilement. The Nayadis, for instance, have to keep more than two hundred yards distant from the main road, in order that even the sight of them shall not pollute They place a wretched rag on the ground and stand afar off, thus begging for alms

It is a fact to be mentioned with great shame and inward reproach by those of us who are members of the Christian Church that in Travancore the Church itself is not free from these very evils, and therefore it has hitherto failed It has even in the past been an interested partner, and has countenanced "untouchability" in practice This Church was established in the south-west of India over fifteen hundred years ago by Syrian Christians It has gradually become a silent sanctioner and approver of "untouchability" To my own great shame and confusion of face, during a visit to Kottayam, a place which had long been the centre of this ancient form of Christianity, I tried to obtain a gathering of Christians to meet with the pariahs at a simple meal in the so-called "untouchable" quarter But only three persons of my own Christian faith joined with me, although I had met many hundreds during the day, and this simple meal with the pariahs had been made widely known One of those who joined me was the Principal of the Mar Thoma Seminary, another was a young Roman Catholic padre, and a third was a devoted young enthusiast of the Syrian Christian Church who was a follower of Mahatma Gandhi There were also with me two who were Hindus

Things are better now The Union College at Alwaye,

where members of the Syrian Church are conducting liberal higher education, and other Christian institutions are rapidly taking away this shame and reproach from the younger generation. The same might also be written concerning many noble Hindu educational establishments, but alike among Hindus and Christians, along that Malabar coast, the evil is still terribly prevalent, and sights may still be seen even to-day that would shock human hearts.

Mahatma Gandhi's Madras speeches echo this note of horror which I have been obliged to strike in my comments concerning both Hindu and Christian practice above. He writes as follows —

"Nowhere is the 'untouchable' so cruelly treated as in the Madras Presidency. His very shadow defiles the Brahmins. He may not even pass through Brahmin streets. Non-Brahmins treat him no better. Between the two the Panchama, as he is called in these parts, is ground to atoms. Yet Madras is a land of mighty temples and religious devotion. The people with big Caste marks on their foreheads, with their long locks and their bare, clean bodies, look like *rishis*.¹ But their religion seems almost to be exhausted in these outward observances."

In spite of this Satanic treatment of our own kith and kin by the Caste people in this part of India, I retain my faith in these Southern people. I have told them at all their huge meetings, in no uncertain terms, that there can be no Swaraj without the removal of the curse from our midst. I have told them that our being treated as social lepers in practically the whole world is due to

¹ Saintly sages

our having treated a fifth of our own race as such Non-Co-operation is a plea for a change of heart, not merely in the English, but equally in ourselves! Indeed, I expect the change first in us, and then as a matter of course in the English. A nation that can throw away an agelong curse in a year, that can shed the drink-habit as we shed our garments, that can return to its original industry and suddenly utilize its spare hours to manufacture sixty crores' worth of cloth, will be a transformed nation Its transformation must react upon the world If this can be accomplished, as I have faith it may, it must constitute even for the scoffer a convincing demonstration of God's grace And so I say that, if India can become transformed in this wise, no power on earth can deny India's right to establish Swaraj

¶In spite of all the clouds that are thickening on the Indian horizon, I make bold to prophesy that the moment India has repented of her treatment of the 'untouchables' and has boycotted foreign cloth, that moment India will be hailed by the very English officials who seem to have hardened their hearts as a free and brave nation! This transformation cannot take place by any elaborately planned mechanical action, but it can take place if God's grace is with us Who can deny that God is working a wonderful change in the hearts of every one of us?"

He was not, however, content with a single onslaught in the South of India, but returned to the attack again and again He had himself suffered terribly in South Africa, along with the whole Indian community, many of whom were High-Caste Hindus, owing to the treatment meted out to them by Christian people Though

in India he refers very seldom to this in detail, yet it is not possible for me to forget the things which I have seen out there.

How can I ever forget, for instance, the shock of horror and dismay which I had on first landing in South Africa in 1913? The Archdeacon kindly met me on the wharf and asked me to preach in his church. It was the First Sunday after Christmas, and I preached a Christmas sermon of "peace and good will to all mankind". I had no idea whatever that anything had happened while I was preaching that sermon; but Mr Gandhi had expressed to my friend and companion, Mr W. W. Pearson, of Manchester, that he himself would like to hear me preach. Mr Pearson had taken him to the church door, and he had been refused an entrance even after all the circumstances of the request had been courteously explained and it was known who was being refused. Mr. Gandhi was thus a pariah in South Africa to that European Christian congregation. Therefore I would again explain that the title "Our Shame and Theirs" has been used by me in this special sense, for we in the West must feel that the shame has to be shared by Christians as well as Hindus.

Let me recall in conclusion the noble struggle undertaken at Vykom, in Travancore, under Mr Gandhi's direction, while he was lying on a bed of sickness far away at Juhu, near Bombay. It represented the turning-point in the campaign against "untouchability". I was present during the Vykom struggle and can write of it as an eye-witness.

Vykom is a little village with a large temple at its centre. The main highway through the village, an

important thoroughfare, passes on either side of this temple, which divides the road itself at that point There are very many "untouchables" among the village population in this low-lying part of the country, but since the Brahmins' houses are close to the temple these crowds of "untouchables", from time immemorial, have been refused the right to pass through the Brahmins' quarters by the side of the temple along the main road They have been obliged instead to make a long circuit A young Syrian Christian, a follower of Mr Gandhi, who was by profession a barrister, named George Joseph, had first determined to offer passive resistance against this inhuman prohibition He took with him along the forbidden road an "untouchable" They were both beaten by the Brahmins for polluting the road Then the police intervened When George Joseph again made the attempt in company with a pariah he was arrested by the State police

Immediately, in accordance with the plan of campaign, the whole company, who were with their leader, offered passive resistance in the same manner and were arrested also, until the State prisons were unable to bear the burden Then the second phase in the struggle began The police were instructed to make a cordon across the road They were to allow only High-Caste people to pass, but to stop all "untouchables". Thereupon the passive resisters stood before the barrier in an attitude of prayer along with the "untouchables" day after day for many weeks All the while the moral conscience of the Brahmins and of the State officials was being appealed to in various ways The whole struggle was carried on without a single act of violence and in a deeply religious manner

The crisis came when this part of the country became flooded with water during the monsoon. The water on the road reached as high as the waists of the passive resisters. The military police were allowed by the State to moor boats across the road, and to stand in them while on guard. The plight of the passive resisters became more and more pitiable, but they endured these hardships bravely and never gave way for a single hour. In the end, after many months of such endurance, the State was able at last, with the consent of the Brahmins, to open the road. The Vykom struggle was won, not for that one road only, but for the Brahmin quarters elsewhere. Since that time many similar Passive Resistance campaigns have shown the effectiveness of this method of overcoming one evil without stirring up fresh evils of bitterness in their turn.¹

¹ See Appendix VI

PART II

THE HISTORICAL SETTING

CHAPTER X

A CONFESSION OF FAITH, 1909

A DOCUMENT of exceptional interest has been published in a volume of Mahatma Gandhi's writings by my friend, the Hon G A Natesan, Madras, to which he had asked me to contribute the preface many years ago. The document is called *A Confession of Faith*, 1909. It represents Mr Gandhi's leading ideas during the period of his life when the influence of Tolstoy was peculiarly strong upon him. It was the epoch of his correspondence with Tolstoy,¹ and also of his own distribution to the world, with Tolstoy's permission, of a very remarkable letter by Tolstoy to a Hindu correspondent. He asked specially for this permission because Tolstoy's religious writings for years had come to him as a great light in the midst of his own personal religious problems. He called the agricultural settlement for Europeans and Indians, which he himself founded as a Tolstoyan at Lawley, "near to Johannesburg, "Tolstoy Farm". His own account of it will be given later.²

While the *Confession of Faith* as a document thus represented his own views almost exactly twenty years ago, it must not be imagined that he has stood still ever since. His personality is far too dynamic for that. At the same time, it is clear that the economic side of his programme had already, under Tolstoy's influence, assumed a very distinct character, with certain marks of permanence. On the two issues of Ahimsa (Non-Violence) and

¹ See Chapter VI
² See Chapter VII

Khaddar (home-spun and home-woven cloth) he had already established his own position.

Perhaps the greatest change in his attitude towards big issues since has been that taken in the year 1920, when he at last reluctantly came to the conclusion that, for the time being, he must non-co-operate with the British Empire, because it had begun to symbolize for him the spirit of Violence. But even in this matter he has always refused to join with the party representing complete and final severance, regarding an inner change of heart among the British as still possible.

With regard to the place of machinery in human life, it would appear to me—from some of his more recent utterances—that he might be ready in certain directions to modify some of the things that he put down in 1909. Again, in opening ten years ago the Tibbia Medical School and Hospital at Delhi, he clearly showed that there is a side of modern Western medical science with which he would be in sympathy. This was also symbolized by his entire willingness to undergo an operation for appendicitis at Colonel Maddock's hands in the Sassoon Hospital, Poona, in 1924. I was with him at that time, and his praise and gratitude for the skill and loving-kindness of both his nurse and his doctor came from the depth of his heart.

Therefore, while every word of this Confession of Faith in 1909 must not be taken as still holding good for his actual belief in 1929, yet it shows the germinal thought twenty years ago, out of which practically all his more recent activities have sprung. Thus it has become an historical record of great value to the biographer. It should be observed that what Mr. Gandhi

has given with such brevity and conciseness in this *Confession of Faith*, 1909, can be found expanded at far greater length in his pamphlet called *Indian Home Rule*, which was written at the same period. He still allows that book to be published, as an expression of his own views during that creative period of his life when he was in the midst of his South African struggle.

His revolt against "modern civilization" was probably at its height during this year 1909. He was prepared to follow Count Tolstoy to the extreme point in practice. It is right also to state that he has never altered his own radical opposition to our modern ways since he wrote this *Confession*, nor has he modified his own personal habits of life or accommodated them to the new age. He still whole-heartedly believes in a rural civilization as the one ideal for mankind, and enjoins upon his followers the obligation of sticking closely to the soil, and thus labouring to earn their daily bread.

When the greatest crisis of all came in his life, during the Non-Co-operation Movement, and he was brought up for trial before the judge, he affirmed his own trade and occupation to be that of "a farmer and a weaver". In this manner, and in his daily dress and daily manual toil, he still deliberately regards the peasant's life as the most wholesome occupation for mankind. He still rejects the city life with all its mechanical contrivances and artificial ways of living as essentially immoral.

Looking at all that has happened in the interval since 1909, his words quoted in his *Confession of Faith* concerning Germany and England as "living in the Hall of Death" are remarkable. They should give us pause, and make us put the searching question to ourselves.

whether we are not in Europe still "living in the Hall of Death" in the year 1929

His *Confession of Faith*, 1909, runs as follows:—

- "(1) There is no impassable barrier between East and West
- (2) There is no such thing as Western or European Civilization; but there is a modern form of Civilization which is purely material
- (3) The people of Europe, before they were touched with modern civilization, had much in common with the people of the East
- (4) It is not the British people who rule India, but modern civilization rules India through its railways, telegraph, telephone, etc
- (5) Bombay, Calcutta, and other chief cities are the real plague-spots of Modern India
- (6) If British rule were replaced to-morrow by Indian rule based on modern methods, India would be none the better, except that she would be able then to retain some of the money that is drained away to England
- (7) East and West can only really meet when the West has thrown overboard modern civilization almost in its entirety. They can also seemingly meet when the East has also adopted modern civilization. But that meeting would be an armed truce, even as it is between Germany and England, both of which nations are living in the "Hall of Death", in order to avoid being devoured the one by the other.

- (8) It is simply impertinence for any man, or any body of men, to begin, or to contemplate, reform of the whole world To attempt to do so by means of highly artificial and speedy locomotion, is to attempt the impossible
- (9) Increase of material comforts, it may be generally laid down, does not in any way whatsoever conduce to moral growth
- (10) Medical science is the concentrated essence of black magic. Quackery is infinitely preferable to what passes for high medical skill
- (11) Hospitals are the instruments that the Devil has been using for his own purpose, in order to keep his hold on his kingdom They perpetuate vice, misery, degradation, and real slavery I was entirely off the track when I considered that I should receive a medical training It would be sinful for me in any way whatsoever to take part in the abominations that go on in the hospitals¹ If there were no hospitals for venereal diseases, or even for consumptives, we should have less consumption and less sexual vice amongst us
- (12) India's salvation consists in unlearning what she has learnt during the past fifty years The railways, telegraphs, hospitals, lawyers, doctors, and such like have all to go, and the so-called upper classes have to learn to live consciously, religiously, and deliberately the simple peasant life, knowing it to be a life giving true happiness
- (13) India should wear no machine-made clothing,

¹ He refers here especially to venereal disease.

whether it comes out of European mills or Indian mills.

(14) England can help India to do this, and then she will have justified her hold on India. There seem to be many in England to-day who think likewise.

(15) There was true wisdom in the sages of old having so regulated society as to limit the material conditions of the people. the rude plough of perhaps five thousand years ago is the plough of the husbandman to-day. Therein lies salvation. People live long under such conditions, in comparative peace, much greater than Europe has enjoyed after having taken up modern activity, and I feel that every enlightened man, certainly every Englishman, may, if he chooses, learn this truth and act according to it

It is the true spirit of passive resistance that has brought me to the above almost definite conclusions As a passive resister I am unconcerned whether such a gigantic reformation (shall I call it?) can be brought about among people who find their satisfaction from the present mad rush If I realize the truth of it I should rejoice in following it, and therefore I could not wait until the whole body of people had commenced

All of us who think likewise have to take the necessary step; and the rest, if we are in the right, must follow. The theory is there, our practice will have to approach it as much as possible Living in the midst of the rush, we may not be able to shake ourselves free from all

A CONFESSION OF FAITH, 1909

taint Every time I get into a railway car, or use a motor-bus, I know that I am doing violence to my sense of what is right

I do not fear the logical result on that basis. When there was no rapid locomotion teachers and preachers went on foot, braving all dangers, not for recruiting their health, but for the sake of humanity Then were Benares and other places of pilgrimage holy cities, whereas to-day they are an abomination ”

CHAPTER XI

PASSIVE RESISTANCE IN SOUTH AFRICA

THE ONE THING which is likely to cause the name of Mahatma Gandhi to stand out distinct in the history of South Africa is the weapon of Passive Resistance This singular weapon, by the sheer force of his own genius, he first forged and hammered until he had made it fit for his own use, and then afterwards employed it for moral warfare with marvellous effect Throughout his prolonged struggle on behalf of his own countrymen in South Africa, when General Smuts was his opponent, he used this weapon and none other When he began the struggle he found the name of India so sunk in public estimation that he himself and all his companions were commonly called "coolies" even by men of education like General Botha Within twenty-three years he raised this name of India to such a moral height that he left South Africa amid the generous farewells of Europeans, who expressed their deep respect for him and his compatriots

Many had engaged in Passive Resistance before Mr Gandhi, but those who had done so had been too isolated in their methods to reap fruitful results They had only made a personal impression The secret of Gandhi was this he was the first to organize corporate moral resistance, and to obtain at last in South Africa, through rigid discipline, a firmly united community ready to go to any lengths of suffering as a body for the sake of conscience Perhaps it would be true to say that since the days of the early Christian Church no such effective

acts of Passive Resistance have been organized as those which Mahatma Gandhi inspired

Already the unique importance of this Passive Resistance struggle is being recognized, and the name of Gandhi as the leader of that struggle is rising in public estimation Professor Gilbert Murray, in a very striking article called "The Life of the Soul", which was first published in the *Hibbert Journal*, has called attention to him as a modern genius of world significance Since that article was written, in every part of the civilized world his name has become almost a household word, and oppressed nationalities in every continent have begun to look to him as their champion

Therefore it is obviously of great importance to understand, as far as possible from Mahatma Gandhi's own lips, the main motives that have urged him forward in this unique moral direction with such singular spiritual power These had been published in a small and comparatively unknown volume by the Rev J J Doke, Baptist minister in Johannesburg, who was one of Mr Gandhi's closest friends The record is given of a conversation concerning the way in which Passive Resistance, or Soul-Force, became a living inspiration to Mr Gandhi himself Mr Doke writes as follows —

One day I questioned Mr Gandhi concerning the source from whence he derived his original idea

"I remember", he told me, "how one verse of a Gujarati poem, which I learned at school as a child, clung to me In substance it was this

'If a man gives you a drink of water and you give him a drink in return, that is nothing'

'Real beauty consists in doing good against evil'

"Even as a child this verse had a powerful influence over me

and I tried to carry it out in practice Then came the Sermon on the Mount '

"But", said I, "surely the Bhagavad Gita came first?"

"No", he replied "Of course I knew the Bhagavad Gita in Sanskrit tolerably well, but I had not made its teaching in that particular a study It was the New Testament which really awakened me to the rightness and value of Passive Resistance

"When I read in the Sermon on the Mount such passages as 'Resist not him that is evil, but whosoever smiteth thee on thy right cheek, turn to him the other also,' and 'Love your enemies, pray for them that persecute you, that ye may be sons of your Father which is in heaven', I was simply overjoyed, and found my own opinion confirmed where I least expected it The Bhagavad Gita deepened the impression, and Tolstoy's *The Kingdom of God Is Within You* gave it a permanent form

"I do not like the term 'Passive Resistance' It fails to convey all I mean It describes a method, but gives no hint of the system of which it is only a part Real beauty—and that is my aim—is in doing good against evil

"Still I adopt the phrase because it is well known and easily understood, and because at the present time the great majority of my people can only grasp that idea Indeed, to me the ideas that underlie the Gujarati hymn I have quoted and the Sermon on the Mount should in time revolutionize the whole of life

"Passive Resistance is an all-sided sword it can be used anyhow it blesses him who uses it, and also him against whom it is used, without drawing a drop of blood It produces far-reaching results It never rusts and cannot be stolen The sword of Passive Resistance does not require a scabbard, and one cannot be forcibly dispossessed of it

"Some years ago, when I began to take an active part in the public life of Natal, the adoption of this method occurred to me as the best course to pursue if petitions should ultimately fail, but in the unorganized condition of our Indian community at that time the attempt to practise it seemed useless

"In Johannesburg, however, when the Asiatic Registration Act was introduced, the Indian community was so deeply stirred

PASSIVE RESISTANCE IN SOUTH AFRICA

and so knit together in a common determination to resist it that the moment seemed opportune Some action they were determined to take, and it seemed best for the Colony, and also right in itself, that their action should not take a riotous form but that of Passive Resistance They had no vote in Parliament, no hope of obtaining redress No one would listen to their complaints The Christian Churches were indifferent

"So I proposed, this pathway of suffering, and after much discussion it was adopted In September 1906 there was a large gathering of Indians in the Old Empire Theatre, when the position was thoroughly faced Then, under the inspiration of deep feeling and on the proposal of one of our leading men, they swore a solemn oath committing themselves to Passive Resistance

"As I have said, Passive Resistance was from the first a misnomer But the expression had been accepted as it was popular The idea was more completely and better expressed by the term 'Soul-Force' As such it was as old as the human race

"Jesus Christ, Daniel, and Socrates represented the purest form of Passive Resistance, or Soul-Force All these teachers counted their bodies as nothing in comparison with their souls

"Tolstoy was the best and brightest modern exponent of the doctrine He not only expounded it but lived according to it In India the doctrine was understood and commonly practised long before it came into vogue in Europe It is easy to see that Soul-Force is infinitely superior to body-force If people, in order to secure redress of wrongs, resort only to Soul-Force, much of the present suffering would be avoided There is no such thing as failure in the use of this kind of force

"'Resist not evil' means that evil is not to be repelled by evil but by good, in other words, physical force is to be opposed not by its like but by Soul-Force The same idea was expressed in Indian philosophy by the expression, 'freedom from injury to every living thing' It is quite plain that Passive Resistance thus understood is infinitely superior to physical force, and that it requires greater courage than the latter

"The only condition for a successful use of this force is a recognition of the existence of the soul as apart from the body, and its

permanent and superior nature, and this recognition must amount to a living faith and not to a mere intellectual-grasp

"The struggle in the Transvaal is not without its interest for India. We are engaged in raising men who will give a good account of themselves in any part of the world. We have undertaken the struggle on the following assumptions

"(a) Passive Resistance is always infinitely superior to physical force

"(b) There is no inherent barrier between Europeans and Indians anywhere

"(c) Whatever may have been the motives of the British rulers in India there is a desire on the part of the British Nation at large to see that justice is done. It would be a calamity to break the connection between the British people and the people of India. If we are treated as free men, whether in India or elsewhere, the connection between the British people and the people of India will not only be mutually beneficial, but is calculated to be of enormous advantage to the world religiously, and therefore socially and politically. In my opinion each nation is the complement of the other.

"The methods adopted in order to secure relief are equally pure and simple. Violence in any shape or form is entirely eschewed. Self-suffering is the only true and effective means of securing lasting reforms. The Passive Resisters endeavour to meet and conquer hatred by love. They oppose the brute or physical force by Soul-Force. They hold that loyalty to an earthly sovereign or an earthly constitution is subordinate to loyalty to God and His constitution.

"In interpreting God's constitution through their conscience they admit that they may possibly be wrong. If they are wrong they alone suffer and the established order of things continues.

"In the process 2,500 Indians, or nearly one-half of the resident Indian population, or one-fifth of the possible Indian population of the Transvaal, have suffered imprisonment, carrying with it terrible hardships. Some of them have gone to gaol again and again. Many families have been impoverished."

It was at the time when this conversation with the Rev J Doke occurred that Mr Gandhi received a letter from Count Tolstoy in Russia which encouraged him in his own singular struggle and cheered him in times of spiritual loneliness So important is this letter, that I shall not abbreviate it, and I would specially ask that it should be read with care by those who wish to understand Mr. Gandhi; for he regarded himself at this time as Tolstoy's disciple, and tried literally to follow the method of life that he recommended Tolstoy wrote to Mr Gandhi this letter —

I received your journal and was pleased to learn all contained therein concerning the passive resisters, and I felt like telling you all the thoughts which that reading called up to me

The longer I live, and especially now, when I vividly feel the nearness of death, I want to tell others what I feel so particularly clearly and what to my mind is of great importance, namely, that which is called "Passive Resistance", but which is in reality nothing else than the teaching of love uncorrupted by false interpretations That love, which is the striving for the union of human souls and the activity derived from it, is the highest and only law of human life, and in the depth of his soul every human being (as we most clearly see in children) feels and knows this, he knows this until he is entangled by the false teachings of the world This law was proclaimed by all—by the Indian as by the Chinese, Hebrew, Greek, and Roman sages of the world I think this law was most clearly expressed by Christ, who plainly said, "In love alone is all the law and the prophets"

But, foreseeing the corruption to which this law of love may be subject, he straightforwardly pointed out the danger of its corruption, which is natural to people who live in worldly interests—the danger, much, which justifies the defence of these interests by the use of force, or, as he said, "with blows to answer blows, by force to take back things usurped," etc He knew, as every sensible man must know, that the use of force is incompatible with love as the

fundamental law of life, that as soon as violence is permitted, in whichever case it may be, the insufficiency of the law of love is acknowledged, and by this the very law of love is denied. The whole Christian civilization, so brilliant outwardly, grew up on this self-evident and strange misunderstanding and contradiction, sometimes conscious but mostly unconscious

In reality, as soon as force was admitted into love, there was no more love, there could be no love as the law of life, and as there was no law of love there was no law at all except violence, i.e. the power of the strongest. So lived Christian humanity for nineteen centuries. It is true that in all times people were guided by violence in arranging their lives.

The difference between the Christian nations and all other nations is only that in the Christian world the law of love was expressed clearly and definitely, whereas it was not so expressed in any other religious teaching, and that the people of the Christian world have solemnly accepted this law, whilst at the same time they have permitted violence and built their lives on violence, and that is why the whole life of the Christian peoples is a continuous contradiction between that which they profess and the principles on which they order their lives—a contradiction between love which has been accepted as the law of life and violence which is recognized and praised, being acknowledged even as a necessity in different phases of life, such as the power of rulers, courts, and armies. This contradiction always grew with the development of the people of the Christian world, and lately it reached the ultimate stage.

The question now evidently stands thus either to admit that we do not recognize any Christian teaching at all, arranging our lives only by power of the stronger, or that all our compulsory taxes, court and police establishments, but mainly our armies, must be abolished.

This year, in spring, at a Scripture examination in a girls' high school at Moscow, the teacher and the bishop present asked the girls questions on the Commandments, and especially on the Sixth, "Thou shalt not kill". After a correct answer the bishop generally put another question, whether killing was always in all

cases forbidden by God's law, and the unhappy young ladies were forced by previous instruction to answer, "Not always"—that killing was permitted in war and in execution of criminals Still, when one of these unfortunate young ladies (what I am telling is not an invention, but a fact told me by an eye-witness), after her first examination was asked the usual question, if killing were always sinful, she became agitated, and, blushing, decisively answered, "Always", and to all the usual sophisms of the bishop she answered with decided conviction that killing was always forbidden in the Old Testament and not only killing was forbidden by Christ but even every wrong against a brother Notwithstanding all his grandeur and art of speech, the bishop became silent and the girl remained victorious

Yes, we can talk in our newspapers of the progress of aviation, of complicated diplomatic relations, of different clubs and conventions, of unions of different kinds, of so-called productions of art, and keep silent about what the young lady said But it cannot be passed over in silence, because it is felt, more or less dimly, but always felt, by every man in the Christian world Socialism, Communism, Anarchism, Salvation Army, increasing crime, unemployment, the growing insane luxury of the rich and misery of the poor, the alarmingly increasing number of suicides—all these are the signs of that internal contradiction which must be solved and cannot remain unsolved And they must be solved in the sense of acknowledging the law of love and denying violence

Therefore your activity in the Transvaal, as it seems to us at this end of the world, is the most essential work, the most important of all the work now being done in the world, wherein not only the nations of the Christian, but of all the world, will unavoidably take part

It will easily be understood how these words from the aged Russian prophet and seer encouraged Mr Gandhi in his undertakings He pressed forward in Natal also after the Passive Resistance in the Transvaal (to which Tolstoy refers) was ended Just before his departure from South Africa he addressed the following words of

encouragement to his old Passive Resistance companions and helpers in Natal.—

"I shall soon be far from Phoenix, if not actually in the Motherland, and I would leave behind me my innermost thoughts

The term 'Passive Resistance' does not fit the activity of the Indian Community during the past eight years Its equivalent in the vernacular, rendered into English, means Truth-Force I think Tolstoy called it also Soul-Force, or Love-Force, and so it is Carried out to its utmost limit this force is independent of pecuniary or other material assistance Violence is the negation of this great spiritual force, which can only be cultivated or wielded by those who will entirely eschew violence. It is a force that may be used by individuals as well as by communities It may be used as well in political as in domestic affairs Its universal applicability is a demonstration of its permanence and invincibility It can be used alike by men, women, and children.

It is impossible for those who consider themselves to be weak to apply this force Only those who realize that there is something in man which is superior to the brute nature in him, and that the latter always yields to it, can effectively be passive resisters This force is to violence what light is to darkness

In politics its use is based upon the immutable maxim that government of the people is possible only so long as they consent either consciously or unconsciously to be governed We did not want to be governed by the Asiatic Act of 1907 of the Transvaal, and it had to yield before this mighty force. Two courses were open to us. (i) to use violence when we were called upon to submit to the

PASSIVE RESISTANCE IN SOUTH AFRICA

Act, or (ii) to suffer the penalties prescribed under the Act, and thus to draw out and exhibit the force of the soul within us, for a period long enough to appeal to the sympathetic chord in the governors or the law-makers. We have taken long to achieve what we set about striving for. That was because our Passive Resistance was not of the most complete type All passive resisters do not understand the full value of the force, nor have we men who always from soul-conviction refrain from violence

The use of this force requires the adoption of poverty, in the sense that we must be indifferent whether we have the wherewithal to feed or clothe ourselves During the past struggle all passive resisters were not prepared to go that length Some again were only passive resisters so-called. They came without any conviction, often with mixed motives, less often with impure motives Some even, while engaged in the struggle, would have resorted to violence except for most vigilant supervision Thus it was that the struggle became prolonged, for the exercise of the purest Soul-Force in its perfect form brings about instantaneous relief For this, prolonged training of the individual soul is an absolute necessity, so that a perfect passive resister has to be almost, if not entirely, a perfect man

We cannot all suddenly become such men, but the greater the spirit of Passive Resistance in us the better men we shall become Its use, therefore, is indisputable, and it is a force which, if it became universal, would revolutionize social ideals, do away with despotisms, and destroy the ever-growing militarism under which the nations of the West are groaning and are being almost crushed to death, and which promises to overwhelm even the nations of the East

If the past struggle has produced even a few Indians who would dedicate themselves to the task of becoming passive resisters as nearly perfect as possible, they would not only have served themselves in the truest sense of the term, but they would also have served humanity at large.

Thus viewed, Passive Resistance is the noblest and the best education. It should come, not after the ordinary literary education of children, it should precede it. It will not be denied that a child, before it begins to write its alphabet and to gain worldly knowledge, should know what the soul is, what truth is, what love is, what powers are latent in the soul. It should be an essential of real education that a child should learn that, in the struggle of life, it can easily conquer hate by love, untruth by truth, violence by self-suffering. It was because I felt the force of this truth that I endeavoured to train the children at Tolstoy Farm, and then at Phoenix, along these lines, and one of the reasons for my departure to India is still further to realize, as I already do in part, my own imperfection as a passive resister, and then to try to perfect myself, for I believe that it is in India that the nearest approach to perfection is most possible."

Most of the later passages quoted in this chapter may be found in the "Golden Number" of *Indian Opinion*, which was published at Phoenix in 1914, at the end of the Passive Resistance struggle, and was edited by Mr. H. S. L. Polak. He had come out from England in 1903, and had joined Mr. Gandhi a year later at Johannesburg, coming gradually to hold his ideals. Mr. Polak threw himself heart and soul into the Indian cause, and shared with his wife a high moral enthusiasm for humanity, which has never grown dim. He lived from time to time

at Phoenix Ashram, and took his part in the discipline of the place. He twice toured India to explain to the Government and the people the grievances under which the South African Indians laboured and their methods of Passive Resistance to secure redress. Under the guidance of the late Mr. G. K. Gokhale, the President-Founder of the Servants of India Society, he had helped to arouse nation-wide interest in the welfare of Indians overseas, a later symptom of which was the abolition of the system of indentured labour emigration.¹

Mr Polak went to prison with Mr Gandhi in 1913, during the final stage of the Passive Resistance struggle. Though he had arranged to return to England with his family after the struggle had terminated with the Gandhi-Smuts Agreement of 1914, he stayed on in South Africa, at Mr Gandhi's request, to watch, on behalf of the Indian community, the operation of the Agreement and to consolidate the Indian position, for more than two years after Mr. Gandhi's return to India, thus performing a difficult and invaluable service.

Throughout the whole of Mahatma Gandhi's later career in India itself the ideas which are here set down may be shown to be the moving and compelling thoughts in all his actions. His mind had become rough-hewn during the South African struggle, until it had acquired a courageous outlook upon every human situation, which made him always choose the heroic path. Two lines of a Gujarati poem became very dear to him, and he would frequently sing them at his morning and evening prayers:

The pathway of the Lord can only be trodden by heroic souls;
The cowards shrink from it.

¹ See Chapter XIII, p. 223.

CHAPTER XII

TOLSTOY FARM

IN ORDER TO UNDERSTAND in a practical manner the spiritual forces which lay beneath the Passive Resistance campaign in South Africa it is necessary to study the history of the two Ashrams which Mahatma Gandhi himself founded at different times while he was living in that country. The former was called Phoenix, and was situated fourteen miles away from Durban; the second was named Tolstoy Farm, at Lawley, near Johannesburg. These two centres of discipline in hardihood and fearlessness played an increasingly important part during the different Passive Resistance struggles in South Africa.

The chief weakness of the later Non-Co-operation Movement in India was this—that it had not been prepared for on a scale commensurate with its very widely extended range over the whole of India. The Indian people were unused to such moral discipline as Mahatma Gandhi demanded. The Ashram at Sabarmati, near Ahmedabad, which he had founded on his return to India from South Africa, was not sufficient to supply leaders for every part of the vast area of the struggle. This lack of adequate leadership more than anything else led to the final outbreak of violence in Bombay and Chauri Chaura which will be described later.

We have from Mr Gandhi's own pen a deeply interesting description of his attempt to found his Ashram at Lawley, called Tolstoy Farm, in collaboration with an architect, Mr. H Kallenbach, who had become his

follower The account which follows has historical interest because it shows to what length Mr. Gandhi was prepared to go in the doctrine of Ahimsa, or Non-Violence For at Tolstoy Farm he allowed snakes infesting human habitations to be killed

This logical weakness, that he has always recognized between theory and practice, and has referred to again and again in the columns of *Young India*, has never been wholly circumvented It has distressed him more than anything else, as the chapter on Ahimsa in this volume will have shown¹

It will be seen from his own account of the founding of Tolstoy Farm that his intention was to provide a home of religious discipline and moral training from whence he would be able to carry on the Passive Resistance struggle

He writes thus about it —

"My ideas about Satyagraha or Soul-Force had now matured, and I had realized its universality as well as its excellence, I was therefore perfectly at ease The book *Indian Home Rule* was written in order to demonstrate the sublimity of Satyagraha, and that book is a true measure of my faith in its efficacy I was perfectly indifferent to the numerical strength of the fighters on our side.

But I was not free from anxiety on the score of finance It was, indeed, hard to prosecute a long-protracted struggle without funds I did not realize then as clearly as I do now that a struggle can be carried on without funds, that money very often spoils a righteous fight, and that God never gives a Satyagrahi, or passive resister,

¹ See Chapter VII

anything beyond his strict needs. But I had faith in God, who did not even then desert me, but raised me from the slough of despondency. For as I set my foot in Cape Town I received a cable from England that Sir Ratanji Jamshedji Tata had given £4,000 to the Satyagraha funds. This sum amply sufficed for our immediate needs and we forged ahead.

But this, or even the largest possible gift of money, could not by itself help forward a Satyagraha struggle—a fight on behalf of Truth consisting chiefly in self-purification and self-reliance. A Satyagraha struggle is impossible without capital in the shape of character. As a splendid palace deserted by its inmates looks a ruin, so does a man without character. No one could tell how long the struggle would last. On the one hand, there were the Boer Generals determined not to yield even one inch of ground, and, on the other hand, there was a handful of Satyagrahis pledged to fight unto death or victory. It was like a war between ants and the elephant, who could crush thousands of them under each one of his feet. Fighting meant imprisonment or deportation for them. But what about their families in the meanwhile? There was only one solution for this difficulty, namely, that all the families should be kept at one place, and should become members of a sort of co-operative commonwealth. Thus there would be no scope for fraud, nor would there be injustice to any. Public funds would be largely saved, and the families of Satyagrahis would be trained to live a new and simple life in harmony with one another. Indians belonging to various provinces and professing divers faiths would have an opportunity of living together.

But where was the place suitable for a settlement of this nature? To live in a city would have been like straining at a gnat and swallowing a camel. The house-rent alone would perhaps amount to the same sum as the food bill, and it would not be easy to live a simple life amidst the varied distractions of a city. It was therefore clear that the place selected should be neither too far from nor too near a city.

The place required, then, must be in the Transvaal, and that not too near Johannesburg. Mr Kallenbach bought a farm of about 1,100 acres, and gave the use of it to Satyagrahis free of any rent or charge. Upon the farm there were nearly one thousand fruit-bearing trees and a small house at the foot of a hill with accommodation for half a dozen persons. Water was supplied from two wells as well as a spring. The nearest railway station, Lawley, was about a mile from the farm and Johannesburg twenty-one miles. We decided to build houses upon this farm and to invite the families of Satyagrahis to settle there.

The settlers hailed from Gujarat, South India and North India, and there were Hindus, Mussalmans, Parsees, and Christians among them. About forty of them were young men, two or three old men, five women, and twenty to thirty children, of whom four or five were girls.

The Christian and other women were meat-eaters. Mr Kallenbach and I thought it desirable to exclude meat from the farm. But how would we ask people who had no scruples in the matter, and who were coming over here in their days of adversity, to give up meat even temporarily? I did not take long clearly to visualize my

duty in these circumstances. If the Christians and Mussalmans asked for beef, that too must be provided for them. To refuse them admission to the farm was absolutely out of the question.

But where love is, there God is also. The Mussalman friends had already granted me permission to have a purely vegetarian kitchen. I had now to approach Christian sisters whose husbands or sons were in jail. I had often come in such intimate contact with the Christian friends who were now in jail, and who had on like occasions consented to having a vegetarian dietary, but this was the first time that I had to deal at close quarters with their families in their absence. I represented to the sisters the difficulty of housing accommodation as well as of finance, and my own deep-rooted sentiment in the matter. At the same time I assured them that even beef would be provided for them if they wanted. The sisters kindly consented not to have meat, and the cooking department was placed in their charge. With another man I was detailed to assist them. My presence acted as a check upon petty bickerings. The food was to be the simplest possible. The time as well as the number of meals was fixed up. There was to be one single kitchen and all were to dine in a single row. Every one was to see to the cleaning of his own dish and other things. Satyagrahis lived on Tolstoy Farm for a long time, but neither the women nor the men ever asked for meat. Drink, smoking, etc., were, of course, totally prohibited.

A school was indispensable for the youngsters and the children. This was the most difficult of our tasks, and we never achieved complete success in this matter.

till the very last The burden of the teaching work was largely borne by Mr Kallenbach and myself The school could be held only after noon, when both of us were thoroughly exhausted by our morning labour, and so were our pupils The teachers therefore would often be dozing as well as the taught We would sprinkle water on the eyes, and by playing with the children try to pull them up and to pull up ourselves, but sometimes in vain The body peremptorily demanded rest and would not take a denial But this was only one and the least of our many difficulties, for the classes were conducted in spite of these dozings

But this teaching experiment was not fruitless The children were saved from the infection of intolerance, and learnt to view one another's religions and customs with a large-hearted charity They learnt how to live together like blood-brothers They imbibed the lessons of mutual service, courtesy, and industry And from what little I know about the later activities of some of the children on Tolstoy Farm I am certain that the education which they received there has not been in vain Even if imperfect it was a thoughtful and religious experiment, and among the sweetest reminiscences of Tolstoy Farm the reminiscences of this teaching experiment are no less sweet than the rest

The fast of Ramzan arrived There were Mussalman youngsters among us, and we felt we must encourage them to keep the fast We arranged for them to have meals in the evening There was no meat, of course, nor did anyone ask for it To keep the Mussalman friends company the rest of us had only one meal a day, in the evening As a rule we finished our evening meal before

sunset; so the only difference was that the others finished their supper about when the Mussalman boys commenced theirs. These boys were so courteous that they did not put anyone to extra trouble, although they were observing fasts, and the fact that the non-Muslim children supported them in the matter of fasting left a good impression on all. I do not remember that there ever was a quarrel, much less a split, between the Hindu and the Mussalman boys on the score of religion. On the other hand, I know that, although stanch in their own beliefs, they all treated one another with respect and assisted one another in their respective religious observances.

Gokhale arrived in South Africa while we were still living on the farm. I will place here on record a half-sweet, half-bitter reminiscence.

There was no cot on the farm, but we borrowed one for Gokhale. There was no room where he could enjoy full privacy. For sitting accommodation we had nothing beyond the benches in our school. Even so, how could we resist the temptation of bringing Gokhale in spite of his delicate health to the farm? And how could he help seeing it, either?

I was foolish enough to imagine that Gokhale would be able to put up with a night's discomfort, and to walk about a mile and a half from the station to the farm I had asked him beforehand, and he had agreed to everything without bestowing any thought upon it, thanks to his simplicity and overwhelming confidence in me. It rained that day, as Fate would have it, and I was not in a position suddenly to make any special arrangement. I have never forgotten the trouble to which I put Gokhale that day in my ignorant affection. The

hardship was too much for him to bear and he caught a chill We could not take him to the kitchen and dining-hall He had been put up in Mr Kallenbach's room His dinner would get cold while we brought it from the kitchen to his room I prepared special soup, and Kotwal prepared special bread for him, but these could not be taken to him hot We managed as best we could

Gokhale uttered not a syllable, but I understood from his face what a folly I had committed When he came to know that all of us slept on the floor, he removed the cot which had been brought for him, and had his own bed also spread on the floor That whole night was a night of repentance for me.

As the reminiscences of Tolstoy Farm would be incomplete without an account of Gokhale's visit thereto, so would they be if I omitted to say something about the character and conduct of Mr. Kallenbach. It was really a wonder how he lived on Tolstoy Farm among our people as if he were one of us. Gokhale was not the man to be attracted by ordinary things But even he felt strongly drawn to the revolutionary change in Kallenbach's life. Kallenbach had been brought up in the lap of luxury, and had never known what privation was In fact, indulgence had been his religion He had had his fill of all the pleasures of life, and he had never hesitated to secure for his comfort everything that money could buy.

It was no commonplace for such a man to live, move, and have his being on Tolstoy Farm, and to become one with the Indian settlers This was an agreeable surprise for the Indians Some Europeans classed Kallenbach as either a fool or a lunatic, while others honoured

him for his spirit of renunciation Kallenbach never felt his renunciation to be painful, in fact, he enjoyed it even more than he had enjoyed the pleasures of life before He would be transported with rapture while describing the bliss of a simple life, and for a moment his hearers would be tempted to go in for it He mixed so lovingly with the young as well as the old that separation from him even for a short time left a clearly felt void in their lives

Mr. Kallenbach and I had frequent talks on religion, which usually centred on fundamentals like non-violence or love, truth, and the like When I said that it was a sin to kill snakes and such other animals, Mr. Kallenbach, too, was shocked to hear it, as well as my numerous other European friends But in the end he admitted the truth of that principle in the abstract At the very beginning of my intercourse with him Mr Kallenbach had seen the propriety of carrying out in practice every principle of which he was convinced intellectually, and therefore he had been able to effect momentous changes in his life without a moment's hesitation Now, if it was improper to kill serpents, we must cultivate their friendship, thought Mr Kallenbach He therefore first collected books on snakes, with a view to identify different species of reptiles He there read that not all snakes are poisonous, and some of them actually serve as protectors of field crops He taught us all to recognize different kinds of snakes, and at last tried to tame a huge cobra which was found on the farm

Mr Kallenbach fed it every day with his own hands in its cage. I gently argued with him 'Although you do all this', I said, 'in a friendly spirit, your friendliness

may not be quite clear to the cobra, especially as your kindness is not unalloyed with fear Neither you nor I have the courage to play with it, if it was free, and what we should really cultivate is courage of *that* stamp Therefore, though there is friendliness, there is not love in this act of taming the cobra Our behaviour should be such that the cobra can see through it. We see every day that all animals grasp at once whether the other party loves or fears them Again, you do not think the cobra to be venomous, and yet have imprisoned it in order to study its ways and habits This is a kind of self-indulgence for which there should be no room in the case of real friendship '

My argument appealed to Mr Kallenbach, but he could not bring himself all at once to release the cobra I did not exercise any pressure upon him I too was taking interest in the life of the cobra, and the children, of course, enjoyed it immensely No one was allowed to harass the cobra, which, however, was casting about for some means of escape Whether the door of the cage was inadvertently left open, or whether the cobra managed to open it, in a couple of days Mr Kallenbach found the cage empty as one morning he proceeded to call upon his friend Mr Kallenbach was glad of it, and so was I

As a result of these experiments we did not fear snakes as much as we otherwise might have done, but it must not be supposed that no one on the farm feared serpents, or that there was a total prohibition against killing them To have a conviction that there is violence or sin in a certain course of conduct is one thing, to have the power of acting up to that conviction is quite

another. A person who fears snakes and who is not ready to resign his own life cannot avoid killing snakes in cases of emergency. One day a snake was found in Mr Kallenbach's own room at such a place that it seemed impossible either to drive it away or to catch it. One of the students saw it, and, calling me there, asked me what was to be done. He wanted my permission to kill it. He could have killed it without such permission, but the settlers would not take such a step without consulting me. I saw that it was my duty to permit the student to kill the snake. Even as I am writing this I do not feel that I did anything wrong in granting the permission. I had not the courage to seize the serpent with the hand, or otherwise to remove the danger to the settlers; and I have not cultivated such courage to this day.

Such dangerous experiments could have their places only in a struggle of which self-purification was the very essence. Tolstoy Farm proved to be a centre of spiritual purification and penance for the final campaign. I have serious doubts as to whether the struggle could have been prosecuted for eight years, whether we could have secured larger funds, and whether the thousands of men who participated in the last phase of the struggle would have borne their share in it, if there had been no Tolstoy Farm. It was never placed in the limelight, yet it attracted public sympathy to itself. The Indians saw that the Tolstoy Farmers were doing what they themselves were not prepared to do, and what they looked upon in the light of hardship. The public confidence was a great asset to the movement when it was organized afresh on a large scale in 1913."

With regard to the Ashram at Phoenix, which is still being used to-day for the weekly publication of *Indian Opinion*, and also for furnishing a quiet retreat to any one who desires to lead a retired life in the country, I have recent personal recollections. Of all the places that I have visited in South Africa it was the one that reminded me most of all of Santiniketan Ashram in India. There is the same peaceful atmosphere, which has a spiritual background behind it. Just as Santiniketan was the house of prayer for Maharshi, the father of Rabindranath Tagore, and also for the poet himself, so Phoenix has been the scene of the spiritual strivings of Mahatma Gandhi at times when he went through some of the severest of all his struggles and disappointments in South Africa. Far beyond any other moments the times that I spent with him at Phoenix Ashram were precious to me for his sake.

In the passage from his writings that follows we are brought into the very midst of the greatest of all the Passive Resistance struggles in South Africa on behalf of the Indian indentured labourers on the Natal sugar plantations. It represents a crisis in the struggle when he was prepared to throw himself and every available resource at his command into the front of the battle. About this last struggle before he returned to India I can write from my own knowledge, because Mr Gokhale, who was very ill in India while it was proceeding, asked me to go over to Natal along with Mr W. W Pearson in order to take part in it. Therefore I was able to watch day by day the spirit in which it was carried on. When we arrived, Mr. Gandhi, Mr. Kallenbach, Mr Polak, and all the leaders had already been arrested and im-

prisoned, along with many of the Indian ladies. The three whom I have mentioned by name had, however, been subsequently released in order to carry on negotiations. Never at any time in the whole of my experience have I seen nobler men and women, suffering every hardship joyfully, than I did then. Specially I can remember the sight of the Indian ladies who had been to prison and had endured every kind of suffering. What impressed me most of all was the gentleness with which they bore everything and the kindness with which they spoke of their prison warders. It was impossible for me after that wonderful experience ever to doubt the spiritual power of Passive Resistance as a means of enabling moral character to develop. The only parallel that I could think of in past history—and it was a very close one—was the joy of the Early Christians when they suffered persecution for righteousness' sake.

Mr Gandhi writes as follows —

"We now decided to take a step which we had reserved till the last, and which in the event fully answered our expectations. I had contemplated sacrificing all the settlers in Phoenix at a critical period. That was to be my final offering to the God of Truth. The settlers at Phoenix were mostly my close co-workers and relations. The idea was to send all of them to jail with the exception of a few who would be required for the conduct of *Indian Opinion* and of children below sixteen. This was the maximum of sacrifice open to me in the circumstances.

I went to Phoenix and talked to the settlers about my plans. First of all I held a consultation with the sisters living there. I knew that the step of sending women to

jail was fraught with serious risk. Most of the sisters in Phoenix spoke Gujarati. They had not had the training or experience of the Transvaal sisters. Moreover, most of them were related to me, and might think of going to jail only on account of my influence with them. If afterwards they flinched at the time of actual trial or could not stand the jail, they might be led to apologize, thus not only giving me a deep shock, but also causing serious damage to the movement. I decided not to broach the subject to my wife, as she could not say 'No' to any proposal I made, and if she said 'Yes', I would not know what value to attach to her assent, and also because I knew that in a serious matter like this the husband should leave the wife to take what step she liked on her own initiative, and should not be offended at all even if she did not take any step whatever.

I talked to the other sisters, who readily fell in with my proposal, and expressed their readiness to go to jail. They assured me that they would complete their term in jail, come what might. My wife overheard my conversation with the sisters, and, addressing me, said —

'I am sorry that you are not telling me about this. What defect is there in me which disqualifies me for jail? I also wish to take the path to which you are inviting the others.'

'You know I am the last person to cause you pain', I replied. 'There is no question of my distrust in you. I would be only too glad if you went to jail, but it should not appear at all as if you went at my instance. In matters like this everyone should act relying solely upon one's own strength and courage. If I asked you, you might be inclined to go just for the sake of complying with my

request And then, if you began to tremble in the law-court or were terrified by hardships in jail, I could not find fault with you, but how would it stand with me? How could I then harbour you or look the world in the face? It is fears like these which have prevented me from asking you, too, to court jail '

'You may have nothing to do with me', she said, 'if, being unable to stand jail, I secure my release by an apology. If you can endure hardships and so can my boys, why cannot I? I am bound to join the struggle '

'Then I am bound to admit you to it', said I 'You know my conditions and you know my temperament Even now reconsider the matter, if you like; and if after mature thought you deliberately come to the conclusion not to join the movement, you are free to withdraw. And you must understand that there is nothing to be ashamed of in changing your decision even now.'

'I have nothing to think about', said she; 'I am fully determined.'

I suggested to the other settlers also that each should take his or her decision independently of all others Again and again, and in a variety of ways, I pressed this condition on their attention, that none should fall away whether the struggle was short or long, whether the Phoenix settlement flourished or faded, and whether he or she kept good health or fell ill in jail. All were ready "

It is one thing, however, to read words like those in cold print, and another thing to see these ladies, as I actually did, when they returned from prison with their health in many cases utterly broken down in the hard

prison life which they had been obliged to endure. Mrs. Gandhi suffered most of all, and when I saw her for the first time after her release it seemed to me that she would be unable to get strong again, owing to her shattered health.

Yet there can be no doubt whatever that it was the bravery of these frail, gentle women, who had been trained to endurance in Phoenix Ashram, and had gained from thence the religious devotion needed to carry them through such hardships without shrinking, that did more than anything else to bring this last and greatest Passive Resistance struggle in Natal to a successful end¹.

¹ In this struggle it was reckoned that nearly four thousand Indians courted imprisonment, including many women. Among Europeans who were with Mr Gandhi, Mr Kallenbach and Mr Polak both suffered the severe hardships of jail in the Transvaal and took their part in every indignity side by side with their Indian fellow-prisoners.

CHAPTER XIII

SATYAGRAHA IN INDIA

AS SOON AS the South African struggle was over Mr Gandhi sailed for Southampton, intending after a short stay in England to return to India. But on the very day when he landed in England war against Germany had been declared. He made up his mind with his usual promptness, and on that very day offered to enlist unconditionally for the whole duration of the War, in order to undertake ambulance work at the Front. He had gained distinction for this work in the Boer War, when he had carried the only son of Lord Roberts out of action at Spion Kop under fire, and had been publicly mentioned in dispatches.¹

His offer was accepted. He was placed in a responsible post with an Indian unit, but owing to over-exposure while on duty he was taken seriously ill with pleurisy and his life was in danger. When he had recovered he was ordered by the doctors to leave the cold North at once for the sunshine and warmth of India.

Soon after his arrival he was asked at a public dinner in Madras to propose the toast of "The British Empire", he gladly did so. The Hon Mr Corbet, Attorney-General of Madras, introduced him as one who had "laboured strenuously with absolute self-devotion for the consolidation of the British Empire". Mr Gandhi spoke as follows —

"During my three months' tour in India, as also in South Africa, I have been so often questioned how I,

¹ See Appendix VII

a determined opponent of modern civilization and an avowed patriot, could reconcile myself to loyalty for the British Empire, of which India was such a large part, how it was possible for me to find it consistent that India and England could work together for mutual benefit. It gives me the greatest pleasure this evening, at this great and important gathering, to re-declare my loyalty to this British Empire, and my loyalty is based upon very selfish grounds. As a passive resister I discovered that a passive resister has to make good his claim to Passive Resistance, no matter under what circumstances he finds himself, and I discovered that the British Empire had certain ideals with which I have fallen in love, and one of those ideals is that every subject of the British Empire has the freest scope possible for his energies and honour, and whatever he thinks is due to his conscience. I think that this is true of the British Empire as it is not true of any other Government (Applause) I feel, as you here perhaps know, that I am no lover of any Government, and I have more than once said that that Government is best which governs least, and I have found that it is possible for me to be governed least under the British Empire Hence my loyalty to the British Empire. (Loud applause)"

On many different occasions Mr. Gandhi declared himself in public as "a lover of the British Empire" because it stood for racial equality and gave freedom for the exercise of the rights of private conscience

During the next three years of the War he offered the Government of India again and again to organize ambulance work for Mesopotamia and lead out there

a picked body of Indian volunteers. But the Viceroy, while warmly thanking him, explained that his presence in India was of more importance than any help he could render at the Front. It should be noted that at this time he received the Kaiser-i-Hind Gold Medal for distinguished humanitarian service in the cause of the Empire. From time to time the Viceroy consulted him on vital questions during the War, and he gave the authorities his own unstinted support. Especially valued were his outspoken words against secret revolutionary conspiracies leading to assassination. As an absolute believer in Non-Violence his words in condemnation of such forms of violence carried great weight, because his own patriotism and service to his country could not be questioned.

But in such a vast country as India, with its countless millions of people, the evils connected with a highly centralized and bureaucratic administration soon became apparent. Mahatma Gandhi is a saint in politics, a rare combination. He could never bear to countenance injustice.

At first he regarded these evils as merely local. He was prepared to meet them with his own much cherished weapon of Passive Resistance. In this way he believed that he was performing a public duty to the British Empire of which he was an active member. He carried through each of these struggles with such cheerful good will and friendly candour that he soon won over his opponents. Above all, he "played the game", and never took an unfair advantage. On more than one occasion the Government officials, impressed by his utter sincerity, met him half-way. Thus certain very serious troubles were set right without any bad feeling being

engendered It is noticeable that he offered Passive Resistance to Indian mill-owners no less than to British officials He never appealed to racial bitterness.

Towards the end of the War he took one step on behalf of the British Empire, in his zeal and enthusiasm, which bewildered even his best friends. For he went recruiting among the villagers in order to enlist them to go and fight against the Turks and Germans. He defended his action by saying that it was better to fight than to shrink back as a coward; that it was mere cowardice which kept the villagers back and not moral principle at all Moral courage, he added, was far higher than physical courage but physical courage was to be preferred to cowardice

This recruiting campaign nearly cost him his life, for he became badly infected with dysentery, and at one time was on the point of death.

In the light of all this uncompromising and devoted service in India during the War his revolt against the whole system of Indian government in 1920 becomes all the more significant In his letters to Englishmen quoted below¹ he explains all this in detail Here it is only necessary to add that even at the height of the Non-Co-operation Movement he endeavoured personally to the utmost to maintain good will with the British people, and to explain that it was not the British people he was attacking but the system of British Administration He still eagerly looked forward to the time when that system should be changed so that he might again be able to co-operate as he had done before I can personally bear witness that never even the remotest trace of bitterness

¹ See Chapter XIV

against Englishmen as such ever entered his mind. On the contrary, his experience in South Africa, both with the British and the Dutch, made him quite positive in his own mind that they would respect his utter frankness of opposition, and also his good-humour, in the drastic struggle that he was carrying on against what he held to be the rottenness of their administration. When he called their Government "Satanic" many Englishmen smiled, and the word was quoted against him as a joke, but he was in deadly earnest in his condemnation. He did not merely talk he acted.

We have in a concise form an interesting account given by Mahatma Gandhi of the different earlier Passive Resistance struggles in India which led up to the All-India Non-Co-operation Movement. This passage is well worth studying in order to understand his mind I have enclosed in brackets some notes explaining in my own words what each struggle was about wherever Mr Gandhi's words do not make the matter clear He writes as follows —

"It was through the instrumentality of Bhai Motilal, the public-spirited and good tailor of Wadhwan, that I first became interested in the Viramgam question I had just arrived from England and was proceeding to Kathiawar in the year 1915 I was travelling third class At Wadhwan station Motilal came up to me with a small party He gave me some account of the hardships inflicted on the people at Viramgam, and said.

'Please do something to end this trouble (i.e the establishment of a new customs barrier between British India and the Kathiawar States) It will be doing an immense service to Kathiawar, the land of your birth'

There was an expression of both compassion and firmness in his eyes

'Are you ready to go to jail?' I asked

'We are ready to march to the gallows', was the quick reply

'Jail will do for me', I said 'But see that you do not leave me in the lurch'

'That only time can show', said Motilal

I reached Rajkot, obtained detailed information, and commenced correspondence with Government In speeches at different places I dropped a hint that the people should be ready to offer passive resistance at Viramgam if necessary These speeches were brought to the notice of Government In this they served Government and, unintentionally, served the people also Finally I had a talk with Lord Chelmsford on the matter He promised abolition of the customs barrier, and was as good as his word I know others also tried for this But I am strongly of opinion that the imminent possibility of Passive Resistance was the chief factor in obtaining the desired redress

Then came the anti-Indenture struggle (to prevent indentured Indian labour being recruited for the British Colonies) Great efforts were put forth to get indenture repealed There was a considerable public agitation The Bombay meeting fixed May 31, 1917, as the date from which onwards indentured labour should be stopped A deputation of ladies first waited upon the Viceroy in connection with this I cannot help mentioning here the name of the high-souled sister, Mrs Jiji Petit It was she who may be said to have organized this deputation Here, too, success came merely through preparedness for Satya-

graha, or Passive Resistance. But it is important to remember the distinction—that in this case public agitation was also necessary. The stopping of indentured labour was very much more important than the abolition of the Viramgam customs barrier. Lord Chelmsford committed a series of blunders, beginning with the passing of the Rowlatt Act. Still, I think he was a wise ruler. But what Viceroy can escape for long the influence of the permanent officials of the Civil Service?

The third in order came the Champaran struggle (undertaken in order to remedy the evils that had grown up connected with the indigo plantations). Here Satyagraha had actually to be offered. Mere preparedness for it did not suffice, as powerful vested interests were arrayed in opposition. The peace maintained by the people of Champaran deserves to be placed on record. I can bear witness to the perfect non-violence of the leaders in thought, word, and deed. Hence it was that this agelong abuse came to an end in six months.

The fourth struggle was that of the mill-hands of Ahmedabad against the mill-owners. Gujarat is perfectly familiar with its history. How peaceful the labourers were! As for the leaders, there can hardly be anything for me to say. Still I hold the victory in this case was not quite pure because the fast I had to observe¹ in order to sustain the labourers in their determination exercised indirect pressure upon the mill-owners. The fast was bound to influence them, as I enjoyed friendly relations with them. Still, the moral is clear.

¹ When he saw that the mill-labourers were breaking their word and preparing to go back to work without effecting their object, Mr. Gandhi declared that he would go on fasting until the object of the strike was obtained.

The fifth was the Khaira struggle (concerning the over-assessment of the land revenue by the Government in a time of scarcity). I cannot say that in this case all the local leaders of Satyagraha adhered to the pure truth. Peace was certainly maintained. The non-violence of the peasantry, however, was only superficial, like that of the mill-hands. So we came out of the struggle with bare honour. However, there was a great awakening among the people. But Khaira had not fully grasped the lesson of Non-Violence, the mill-hands had not understood the true meaning of peace. The people had, therefore, to suffer.

The sixth was in connection with the Rowlatt Act (This Act involved persons who might be innocent being kept in prison without open trial.) Therein our inherent shortcomings came to the surface. But the original foundation was well and truly laid. We admitted all our shortcomings. I had to confess my Himalayan blunder.¹ I had also to undertake a fast myself and invite others to do so. The Rowlatt Act was a dead-letter, even when it was promulgated, and that Black Act was finally repealed. This struggle taught us a great lesson.

The seventh was the Non-Co-operation struggle in order to right the Khilafat and the Punjab wrongs and to win Swaraj. It is still going on. And my confidence is unshaken that, if a single Satyagrahi holds out to the end, victory is absolutely certain.

This is the beauty of Satyagraha. It comes up to us, we have not to go out in search for it. There is a virtue inherent in the principle itself. A Dharmayuddha (war

¹ He refers to his ignorance that the masses were ever likely to become violent, if left to themselves.

of righteousness) in which there are no secrets to be guarded, no scope for cunning, and no place for untruth, comes unsought, and a man of religion is ever ready for it. A struggle which has to be previously planned is not a righteous struggle. In a righteous struggle God Himself plans the campaigns and conducts the battles. A war of righteousness can be waged only in the name of God, and it is only when the Satyagrahi feels quite helpless, when he is apparently on his last legs, and finds utter darkness all around him, that God comes to the rescue. God helps us when we feel ourselves humbler than the very dust under our feet. Only to the weak and helpless is divine succour vouchsafed!'

In order to understand the drastic manner in which he carried on these "wars of righteousness" the instance of the Khaira struggle may be more fully explained. Mr Gandhi asked me at that time to act as peacemaker and mediator, and therefore its facts are well known to me.

Under the land system of India the Government is the landlord over a great part of the country. The tenants are villagers holding tiny portions of land for which they pay rent to the Government. This rent is called "land revenue". The year 1917-18 had been an exceptionally bad one for the villagers, and they asked for "suspension of revenue" until the ensuing year, when it was hoped a better harvest might be reaped. Government granted "full suspension" for one village and "half suspension" for one hundred and three villages, but that was not regarded as sufficient. They asked for a fresh inquiry which would prove the justice of their own demand. The

Bombay Government remained adamant, and the peasants were threatened with immediate eviction unless they paid the rent Mr Gandhi called the peasants together, and the following vow of Passive Resistance was taken —

Knowing that the crops of our villages are less than four annas (i.e. 25 per cent), we had requested the Government to suspend the revenue collection till the ensuing year As, however, Government has not acceded to our prayer, we, the undersigned, hereby solemnly declare that we shall not pay the full or remaining revenue, but we will let the Government take such legal steps as they may think fit to collect the same, and we shall gladly suffer all the consequences of our refusal to pay We shall allow our lands to be confiscated, but we shall not of our own accord pay anything and thereby lose our self-respect and prove ourselves wrong If Government decide to suspend the second instalment of revenue throughout the district, those amongst us who are in a position to pay will pay the whole or the balance of the revenue as may be due The reason why those of us who have the money to pay still do not, is that if they do the poorer might in panic sell their things or borrow to pay and thereby suffer

Under the circumstances we believe it is the duty of those who are able to pay to protect the poor

In the end a compromise was reached without a fresh inquiry It is noticeable, however, that the Joint Parliamentary Committee on Indian Reform in 1919 reported that there was a flaw at this very point in the land-revenue system of India, and asserted that some constitutional method of revision of these land-revenue assessments was badly needed Yet even as late as last year, in spite of incessant demands from the different Legislative Councils in India for such constitutional revision procedure to be formulated, no action had yet been taken The result has been that Mr Gandhi was obliged to lead another Passive

Resistance movement in Bardoli in the year 1928, in order to obtain a revision of the land assessment in that district. This Bardoli struggle was also carried through with non-violence, and it has recently ended in a revision being granted.

It is not necessary to enter into details concerning the events in the Punjab under Martial Law in 1919. The "war mind" was still predominant, and the things that were done under Martial Law betrayed the war mentality. The shock to Mahatma Gandhi was overwhelming. I was with him in the Punjab in October of that year. He was so weak in health, after his prolonged dysentery, that he was obliged to lie down for the greater part of the day owing to physical exhaustion. Yet he carried on his inquiries into what had happened on the spot and came to know the worst. He was one of the authors of the *Congress Inquiry Report on the Punjab Disturbances*.

In spite of the deep humiliation and distress that the findings of this Inquiry caused him, he yet was able to recommend to the All-India National Congress at Amritsar in December 1919 that the Reforms should be accepted and worked in a constitutional manner. Only the magic of his own personality obtained a peaceful National Congress decision at such an embittered time as that.

But the final blow came in 1920. When the House of Lords passed a resolution refusing to condemn General Dyer, and the Hunter Commission Report wavered in its own condemnation of official action, when at the same time the Treaty of Sèvres was signed which shattered every hope of a generous treatment of Turkey at the conclusion of the War, then at last his cup of humili-

tion was full, and he made the great decision of his life to refuse to co-operate any longer with the British Government in India until both these wrongs were righted and Swaraj was obtained. This decision was carried, with his weight behind it, at a special meeting of the All-India National Congress which met at Calcutta in September 1920. The one added clause which his influence made unanimous was this—that the struggle should be carried on in a strictly non-violent manner.

It was called by the clumsy title Non-Violent Non-Co-operation.

CHAPTER XIV

"TO EVERY ENGLISHMAN"

NO ONE CAN UNDERSTAND Mahatma Gandhi's attitude towards Great Britain and the British Empire unless he has come to realize that "Amritsar" was the critical event which changed Mahatma Gandhi from a whole-hearted supporter into a pronounced opponent Of his attitude before that event took place he has written as follows —

"Hardly ever have I known anybody to cherish such loyalty as I did to the British Constitution I can see now that my love of truth was at the root of this loyalty. It has never been possible for me to simulate loyalty, or, for that matter, any other virtue The National Anthem used to be sung at every meeting that I attended in Natal I then felt that I must also join in the singing. Not that I was unaware of the defects in the British rule, but I thought that it was on the whole acceptable. In those days I believed that the British rule was on the whole beneficial to the ruled Never in my life did I exploit this loyalty, never did I seek to gain a selfish end by its means. It was for me more in the nature of an obligation, and I rendered it without expecting a reward.

I likewise taught the National Anthem to the children of my family Later on the text began to jar on me As my conception of Ahimsa went on maturing I became more vigilant about my thought and speech The lines in the National Anthem, 'Scatter her enemies'

and 'Frustate their knavish tricks', particularly jarred upon me I shared my feelings with Dr Booth,¹ who agreed that it ill became a believer in Ahimsa to sing those lines How could we assume that the so-called 'enemies' were 'knavish'? And because they were enemies, were they bound to be in the wrong? From God we could only ask for justice Dr Booth entirely endorsed my sentiments, and he composed a new anthem for his congregation "

In South Africa, over a period of more than twenty years, Mr Gandhi had received such racial treatment as would have turned anyone except a saint into a mood of bitterness leading to revolt, for inhuman wrongs had to be suffered every day under the cruelty of the colour bar with all its hateful implications

Yet in South Africa, as I well know from personal experience, he never for a moment lost heart or despaired of justice in the end He had constant struggles with General Smuts. He went willingly and even joyfully to prison But he never attacked the British Constitution, because he believed at that time that it was founded upon justice His faith always won its own reward in the end, and he came victorious out of every moral struggle At each step he gained a slight advance both in social and political freedom for his fellow-countrymen\Indeed, these moral victories at last assumed a world-wide importance At the close of the last and greatest Passive Resistance struggle in South Africa he explained his own stand-point at Johannesburg in his farewell words as follows —

¹ Dr Booth was the very kindly medical officer on the sugar plantation in Natal, who afterwards became ordained He became Vicar of St Barnabas, Cape Town, and was greatly loved by the Indian Community in South Africa

"It is my knowledge, right or wrong, of the British Constitution which has bound me to the British Empire Tear that Constitution to shreds and my loyalty will also be torn to shreds On the other hand, keep it intact and you hold me bound unrcservedly in this service. The choice has lain before us, who are Indians in South Africa, either to sunder ourselves from the British Empire or to struggle by means of Passive Resistance in order that the ideals of the British Constitution may be preserved—but only those ideals The theory of racial equality in the eyes of the law, once recognized, can never be departed from, and its principle must at all costs be maintained—the principle, that is to say, that in all the legal codes which bind the Empire together there shall be no racial taint, no racial distinction, no colour disability."

Even in India, as we have seen, after his return from South Africa, he led many moral struggles, of a character similar to those fought in the Transvaal and in Natal, with marked success—each campaign ending in a fresh victory for truth and justice, and also in increasing good will He had been able to set free the poor villagers of Champaran from long-established oppression, and also to wage a successful moral war against the system of indentured labour by which Indians were recruited for the colonial sugar plantations He also fought with the same moral weapons against his own countrymen, the mill-owners of Ahmedabad, when they were the oppressors of the Indian labourers

All this while, both in South Africa and in India, I have heard Mahatma Gandhi declare "If I did not

believe whole-heartedly that racial equality was a man's birthright within the British Empire I should be a rebel."

I do not think that he has ever departed for a moment from that position. If to-day, after being imprisoned afresh in India by those who have charge of Indian affairs, he were convinced that a change of heart had come about, and that racial equality was assured, he would be a "rebel" no longer. According to his own opinion the principles of the British Constitution still stand, but those who have administered them have acted unjustly.

If I interpret him rightly his own position at that time was this. He had lost faith in the British Administration in India—it was a Satanic Government. But he had not lost faith in the British Constitution itself. He still believed that India could remain within the British Commonwealth on the basis of racial equality, and that the principle of racial equality would come out triumphantly vindicated by the Indian struggle. He held himself to be the champion of that theory, and in this sense the upholder of the British Constitution. For that reason he has repeatedly maintained that the British connection with India should still be accepted, provided only that the full Dominion status granted to Canada, South Africa, and the Irish Free State should not be withheld from India itself.

But the patience of "Young India" had become nearly exhausted, and at the last All-India National Congress Mr Gandhi agreed to a compromise resolution whereby Passive Resistance should be reopened on January 1, 1930, if the British Government did not grant self-government to India before that date.

I have gone through all the words he employed at his trial, and on other solemn public occasions, in regard to this supreme issue on which so much depends. In spite of their terrible severity I do not think he has actually departed as yet from the position he had always adopted in his earlier speeches with regard to the rightness of the *theory* of the British Constitution. It is against the *practice* that he has continually rebelled, and his "rebellion" against "Amritsar", when there seemed to him to be no true sense of repentance in the British administration for what had been done, was the strongest of all.

How strong this feeling about "Amritsar" was may perhaps be understood by a brief quotation from the poet Rabindranath Tagore. In the year 1920, at Bombay, when he was too ill to be present at a meeting of protest, he sent me with a message to deliver in his name. It contained the following passages, which I have very slightly abbreviated:—

A great crime has been done in the name of the law in the Punjab. Such terrible eruptions of evil leave their legacy of the wreckage of ideals behind them. What happened in Jallianwala Bagh was itself a monstrous progeny of a monstrous war, which for four years had been defiling God's world with fire and poison, physical and moral. The immensity of the sin has bred callousness in the minds of those who have power in their hands, with no check of sympathy within or fear of resistance without. The cowardliness of the powerful, who owned no shame in using their machines of frightfulness upon the unarmed and unwarmed villagers, and inflicting humiliations upon their fellow-beings behind the screen of an indecent mockery of justice, has become only possible through the opportunity which the late War has given to man for constantly outraging his own higher nature, trampling truth and

honour underfoot The disruption of the basis of civilization will continue to produce a series of moral earthquakes, and men will have to be ready for still further sufferings That the balance will take a long time to be restored is clearly seen by the vengefulness ominously tinging red the atmosphere of the peace deliberations |

But we have no place in these orgies of triumphant powers rending to pieces the world according to their own purposes What most concerns us is to know that moral degradation not only pursues those inflicting indignities upon the helpless, but also their victims The dastardliness of cruel injustice confident of its impunity is ugly and mean, but the fear and impotent anger which they are apt to breed upon the minds of the weak are no less abject Brothers, when physical force in its arrogant faith in itself tries to crush the spirit of man, then comes the time for him to assert that his soul is indomitable | We shall refuse to own moral defeat by cherishing in our hearts foul dreams of retaliation The time has come for the victims to be the victors in the field of righteousness |

| When brother spills the blood of his brother and exults in his sin, giving it a high-sounding name, when he tries to keep the blood-stains fresh on the soil as a memorial of his anger then God in shame conceals it under the green grass and the sweet purity of His flowers Let us take our lesson from His hand, even when the smart of the pain and insult is still fresh—the lesson that all meanness, cruelty, and untruth are for the obscurity of oblivion, and only the Noble and True are for eternity |

It was in the midst of a moral struggle, starting with such indignation as this and eschewing all appeals to violence, that Mr Gandhi wrote his famous letter to the Viceroy which opened the Passive Resistance campaign among Hindus and Mussalmans alike called "Non-Violent Non-Co-operation". For to the "Punjab" wrong had more recently been added a further indictment called the "Khilafat wrong", which I have briefly explained in another chapter ¹

¹ See Chapter II, page 52

The letter to the Viceroy runs as follows:—

"It is not without a pang that I return the Kaisar-i-Hind Gold Medal granted to me by your predecessor for my humanitarian work in South Africa, for my services as officer-in-charge of the Indian Volunteer Ambulance Corps in 1906, and the Boer War Medal for my services as assistant superintendent of the Indian Volunteer Stretcher-bearer Corps during the Boer War of 1899-1900 I venture to return these medals in pursuance of the scheme of Non-Co-operation inaugurated to-day in connection with the Khilafat movement Valuable as these honours have been to me, I cannot wear them with an easy conscience so long as my Mussalman countrymen have to labour under a wrong done to their religious sentiment Events that have happened during the past month have confirmed me in the opinion that the Imperial Government has acted in the Khilafat matter in an unscrupulous, immoral, and unjust manner, and have been moving from wrong to wrong in order to defend their immorality I can retain neither respect nor affection for such a Government.

The attitude of the Imperial and Your Excellency's Governments on the Punjab question has given me additional cause for grave dissatisfaction I had the honour, as Your Excellency is aware, as one of the Congress commissioners to investigate the causes of the disorders in the Punjab during April of 1919. And it is my deliberate conviction that Sir Michael O'Dwyer was totally unfit to hold the office of Lieutenant-Governor of Punjab, and that his policy was primarily responsible for infuriating the mob at Amritsar. No doubt the mob

"TO EVERY ENGLISHMAN"

excesses were unpardonable incendiaryism, the murder of five innocent Englishmen, and the cowardly assault on Miss Sherwood were most deplorable and uncalled for But the punitive measures taken by General Dyer, Colonel Frank Johnson, Colonel O'Brien, Mr Bosworth Smith, Rai Shri Ram Sud, Mr Mallik Khan, and other officers were out of all proportion to the crime of the people, and amounted to wanton cruelty and inhumanity almost unparalleled in modern times Your Excellency's light-hearted treatment of the official crime, your exoneration of Sir Michael O'Dwyer, Mr Montagu's dispatch, and, above all, the shameful ignorance of the Punjab events and callous disregard of the feelings of Indians betrayed by the House of Lords, have filled me with the gravest misgivings regarding the future of the Empire, have estranged me completely from the present Government, and have disabled me from tendering, as I have hitherto whole-heartedly tendered, my loyal co-operation.

In my humble opinion the ordinary method of agitating by way of petitions, deputations, and the like is no remedy for moving to repentance a Government so hopelessly indifferent to the welfare of its charge as the Government of India has proved to be In European countries condonation of such grievous wrongs as the Khilafat and the Punjab would have resulted in a bloody revolution by the people They would have resisted at all cost national emasculation such as the said wrongs imply But half of India is too weak to offer violent resistance, and the other half is unwilling to do so I have, therefore, ventured to suggest the remedy of Non-Co-operation, which enables those who wish to do

so to dissociate themselves from the Government, and which, if it is unattended by violence and undertaken in an orderly manner, must compel it to retrace its steps and undo the wrongs committed. But whilst I shall pursue the policy of Non-Co-operation in so far as I can carry the people with me, I shall not lose hope that you will yet see your way to do justice. I therefore respectfully ask Your Excellency to summon a Conference of the recognized leaders of the people, and in consultation with them find a way that would placate the Mussalmans and do reparation to the unhappy Punjab."

When the appeal thus presented to the Viceroy had been made in vain, and Non-Co-operation with the Indian Government had been widely established, Mr Gandhi wrote on different occasions letters to the Englishmen residing in India, explaining the character of the movement and the reasons why he was unable to co-operate with a system which he regarded as evil. His first letter ran thus —

"Dear Friend,—

I wish that every Englishman may see this appeal, and give thoughtful attention to it.

Let me introduce myself to you. In my humble opinion no Indian has co-operated with the British Government more than I have for an unbroken period of twenty-nine years of public life in the face of circumstances that might well have turned any other man into a rebel. I ask you to believe me when I tell you that my co-operation was not based upon the fear of the punishments provided by your laws or any other selfish motives. It was free and voluntary co-operation, based on the belief

that the sum-total of the British Government was for the benefit of India I put my life in peril four times for the sake of the Empire at the time of the Boer War, when I was in charge of the Ambulance Corps whose work was mentioned in General Buller's dispatches, at the time of the Zulu Revolt in Natal, when I was in charge of a similar corps, at the time of the commencement of the late War, when I raised an ambulance corps, and as a result of the strenuous training had a severe attack of pleurisy, and, lastly, in fulfilment of my promise to Lord Chelmsford at the War Conference in Delhi, I threw myself in such an active recruiting campaign in Khaira District, involving long and trying marches, that I had an attack of dysentery which proved almost fatal I did all this in the full belief that acts such as mine must gain for my country an equal status in the Empire So last December I pleaded hard for a trustful co-operation I fully believed that Mr Lloyd George would redeem his promise to the Mussalmans, and that the revelations of the official atrocities in the Punjab would secure full reparation for the Punjabis But the treachery of Mr Lloyd George and its appreciation by you, and the condonation of the Punjab atrocities, have completely shattered my faith in the good intentions of the Government and the nation which is supporting it

But, though my faith in your good intentions is gone, I recognize your bravery, and I know that what you will not yield to justice and reason you will gladly yield to bravery

See what the British Empire means to India —

(i) Exploitation of India's resources for the benefit of Great Britain

- (2) An ever-increasing military expenditure and a Civil Service the most expensive in the world
- (3) Extravagant working of every Department in utter disregard of India's poverty.
- (4) Disarmament and therefore emasculation of a whole nation lest an armed nation might imperil the lives of a handful of you in our midst
- (5) Traffic in intoxicating drugs and liquors for the purpose of maintaining a top-heavy administration.
- (6) Progressively repressive legislation in order to suppress an ever-growing agitation seeking to express a nation's agony.
- (7) Degrading treatment of Indians residing in British Dominions
- (8) Total disregard of our feelings by glorifying the Punjab Administration and flouting the Muhammadan sentiment.

I know you would not mind if we could fight and wrest the sceptre from your hands. You know we are powerless to do that, for you have ensured our incapacity to fight in open and honourable battle. Bravery on the battlefield is thus impossible for us. Bravery of the soul still remains open to us."

His second letter begins in a somewhat similar manner. In it, however, he advanced an offer, which he repeated on several occasions as the struggle proceeded, that if only the British Administration would deal faithfully with the awful poverty of India by working towards the building up of home industries in the villages and abandoning the revenue they received from the drink and drugs traffic, then he on his part would look upon

"TO EVERY ENGLISHMAN"

these two acts as opening up a pathway for the renewal of co-operation. He wrote thus —

"Dear Friend,—

I cannot prove my honesty to you if you do not feel it. Some of my Indian friends charge me with camouflage when I say that we need *not* hate Englishmen while we *may* hate the system that they have established. I am trying to show them that one may detest the wickedness of a brother without hating him. Jesus denounced the wickedness of the Scribes and Pharisees, but he did not hate them. He did not enunciate this law of love for the man and hate for the evil in man for himself only, but he taught the doctrines for universal practice. Indeed, I find it in all the Scriptures of the world.

I claim to be a fairly accurate student of human nature and vivisector of my own failings. I have discovered that man is superior to the system he propounds. And so I feel that you as an individual are infinitely better than the system you have evolved as a corporation. Each one of my countrymen in Amritsar on that fateful April 10th was better than the crowd of which he was a member. He as a man would have declined to kill those innocent bank-managers. But in that crowd many a man forgot himself. Hence it is that an Englishman in office is different from an Englishman outside. Similarly an Englishman in India is different from an Englishman in England. Here in India you belong to a system that is vile beyond description. It is possible, therefore, for me to condemn the system in the strongest terms, without considering you to be bad and without imputing bad motives to every Englishman. You are as much

slaves of the system as we are. I want you, therefore, to reciprocate, and not to impute to me motives which you cannot read in the written word I give you the whole of my motive when I tell you that I am impatient to mend or end a system which has made India subservient to a handful of you, and which has made Englishmen feel secure only in the shadow of the forts and the guns that obtrude themselves on one's notice in India. It is a degrading spectacle for you and for us. Our corporate life is based on mutual distrust and fear. This, you will admit, is unmanly. A system that is responsible for such a state of things is necessarily Satanic. You should be able to live in India as an integral part of its people, and not always as foreign exploiters One thousand Indian lives against one English life is a doctrine of dark despair, and yet, believe me, it was enunciated in 1919 by the highest of you in the land

I almost feel tempted to invite you to join me in destroying a system that has dragged both you and us down But I feel that I cannot as yet do so. We have not shown ourselves earnest, self-sacrificing, and self-restrained enough for that consummation.

But I do ask you to help us in the boycott of foreign cloth and in the anti-drink campaign.

The Lancashire cloth, as English historians have shown, was forced upon India, and her own world-famed manufactures were deliberately and systematically ruined India is therefore at the mercy, not only of Lancashire, but also of Japan, France, and America Just see what this has meant to India We send out of India every year sixty crores (more or less) of rupees for cloth We grow enough cotton for our own cloth. Is it not madness

"TO EVERY ENGLISHMAN"

to send cotton outside India, and have it manufactured into cloth there and shipped to us? Was it right to reduce India to such a helpless state?

A hundred and fifty years ago we manufactured all our cloth. Our women spun fine yarn in their own cottages, and supplemented the earnings of their husbands. The village weavers wove that yarn. It was an indispensable part of national economy in a vast agricultural country like ours. It enabled us in a most natural manner to utilize our leisure. To-day our women have lost the cunning of their hands, and the enforced idleness of millions has impoverished the land. Many weavers have become sweepers. Some have taken to the profession of hired soldiers. Half the race of artistic weavers has died out, and the other half is weaving imported foreign yarn for want of finer hand-spun yarn.

You will perhaps now understand what boycott of foreign cloth means to India. It is not devised as a punishment. If the Government were to-day to redress the Khilafat and the Punjab wrongs, and consent to India attaining immediate Swaraj, the boycott movement must still continue. Swaraj means at the least the power to conserve Indian industries that are vital to the economic existence of the nation, and to prohibit such imports as may interfere with such existence. Agriculture and hand-spinning are the two lungs of the national body. They must be protected against consumption at any cost.

This matter does not admit of any waiting. The interests of the foreign manufacturers and the Indian importers cannot be considered, when the whole nation is starving for want of a large productive occupation ancillary to agriculture.

You will not mistake this for a movement of general boycott of foreign goods India does not wish to shut herself out of international commerce Things other than cloth which can be made better outside India, she must gratefully receive upon terms advantageous to the contracting parties. Nothing can be forced upon her But I do not wish to peep into the future I am certainly hoping that before long it will be possible for England to co-operate with India on equal terms Then will be the time for examining trade relations For the time being I bespeak your help in bringing about a boycott of foreign cloth

Of similar and equal importance is the campaign against drink The liquor shops are an insufferable curse imposed on society There was never so much awakening among the people as now upon this question. I admit that here, the Indian ministers can help more than you can But I would like you to speak out your mind clearly on that question Under every system of Government, as far as I can see, prohibition will be insisted on by the Nation You can assist the growth of the ever-rising agitation by throwing the weight of your influence on the side of the Nation ”

Mr Gandhi made a third appeal, through an interview, which he afterwards published —

“My attitude,” he said, “towards the English is one of utter friendliness and respect. I claim to be their friend, because it is contrary to my nature to distrust a single human being or to believe that any nation on earth is incapable of redemption I have respect for Englishmen, because I recognize their bravery, their spirit of sacrifice

for what they believe to be good for themselves, their cohesion, and their powers of vast organization. My hope about them is that they will at no distant date retrace their steps, revise their policy of exploitation of undisciplined and ill-organized races, and give tangible proof that India is an equal friend and partner in the British Commonwealth to come

Whether such an event will ever come to pass will largely depend upon our own conduct. That is to say, I have hope of England because I have hope of India. We will not for ever remain disorganized and imitative. Beneath the present disorganization, demoralization, and lack of initiative I can discover organization, moral strength, and initiative forming themselves. A time is coming when England will be glad of India's friendship, and India will disdain to reject the proffered hand because it has once despoiled her. I know that I have nothing to offer in proof of my hope. It is based on an immutable faith. And it is a poor faith that is based on proof commonly so-called."

Mr. Gandhi made his last appeal of all, before his imprisonment, in an article entitled, "Do I Hate Englishmen?" to which question he emphatically answered, "No". The article ends thus —

"By a long course of prayerful discipline I have ceased for over forty years to hate anybody. I know that this is a big claim. Nevertheless I make it in all humility. But I can and I do hate evil wherever it exists. I hate the system of Government that the British people have set up in India. I hate the ruthless exploitation of India.

even as I hate from the bottom of my heart the hideous system of untouchability for which millions of Hindus have made themselves responsible

But I do *not* hate the domineering Englishmen, as I refuse to hate the domineering Hindus I seek to reform them in all the loving ways that are open to us My non-co-operation has its root not in hatred but in love My personal religion peremptorily forbids me to hate anybody I learnt this simple yet grand doctrine when I was twelve years old through a school-book, and the conviction has persisted up to now It is daily growing on me, I beg, therefore, to assure every Englishman who might have misunderstood me that I shall never be guilty of hating Englishmen though I might have to fight them fiercely, even as I did in 1921 It will be a Non-Violent fight, it will be clean, it will be truthful

Mine is not an exclusive love I cannot love Mussalmans or Hindus and hate Englishmen For if I merely love Hindus and Mussalmans because their ways are on the whole pleasing to me, I shall soon begin to hate them when their ways displease me, as they may well do any moment A love that is based on the goodness of those you love is a mercenary affair; whereas, true love is self-effacing and demands no consideration. It is like that of a model Hindu wife, Sita, for instance, who loved her Rama even whilst he bid her pass through a raging fire. It was well with Sita, for she knew what she was doing She sacrificed herself out of her strength, not out of her weakness Love is the strongest force in the world which the world possesses, and yet it is the humblest imaginable":

“TO EVERY ENGLISHMAN”

One mistaken impression which led to altogether unwarranted bitterness in England was the refusal of Mr Gandhi, at the height of the Non-Co-operation Movement, to take any part in the official welcome to the Prince of Wales, who had been invited to come out to India by the Viceroy, Lord Reading

This unwise step of inviting the Prince to India, at such an unpropitious time, was taken against the advice of the best and wisest counsellors. But when it was done, Mr Gandhi immediately called for a boycott. The explanation that he publicly offered was not widely known in England. It runs as follows —

“I draw a sharp and fundamental distinction between boycotting the Prince and boycotting any welcome arranged for him. Personally I would extend the heartiest welcome to His Royal Highness, if he came without official patronage and the protecting wings of the Government of the day. Being the heir to a constitutional monarch, the Prince’s movements are regulated and dictated by the ministers, no matter how much the dictation may be concealed beneath diplomatically polite language. In suggesting the boycott, therefore, the promoters have suggested boycott of an insolent bureaucracy and dishonest ministers of His Majesty.”

You cannot have it both ways. It is true that under a constitutional monarchy, royalty is above politics, but you cannot send the Prince on a political visit for the purpose of making political capital out of him, and then complain against those who will not play your game. With the knowledge that India was bleeding at heart, the Government of India should have told His Majesty’s

Ministers that the moment was inopportune for sending the Prince I venture to submit that it is adding insult to injury to bring the Prince, and through his visit steal honours for a Government that deserves to be dismissed with disgrace I claim that I prove my loyalty by saying that India is too deeply in mourning to take part in and to welcome His Royal Highness, and that the Ministers and the Indian Government show their disloyalty by making the Prince a cat's-paw of their deep political game If they persist, it is the clear duty of India to have nothing to do with the visit ”

Even if Englishmen might doubt the expediency of the step taken by Mr Gandhi in boycotting the visit, no one can deny that he has fairly stated his case, and at this interval of time very few Englishmen would resent his action, when thus made clear as to its motive by one whose truthfulness is beyond question

Mr Gandhi has lived with Englishmen, both in England and South Africa, for nearly thirty years of his life He had also been in touch with them in India They have respected him, and he has respected them Mutual regard has thus led on to close friendships, which have now become an intimate part of his own life Thus he has become an altogether friendly and sympathetic judge of English character, and in his own estimates of individual Englishmen he is rarely mistaken He has a fine way of making lifelong friends, and he has always proved himself as loyal and devoted to them as they have been to him

As one of Nature's gentlemen, in his manners and habits of life, he never offends by saying or doing any-

thing that would be out of taste The outlook of the average Englishman, with its strong insularity, must often appear crude to him, but he tolerates with good-humour what is said and done, when the lack of manners is due to bad taste He upholds in his own character Cardinal Newman's great phrase "Hence it is that it is almost a definition of a gentleman, to say that he is one who never inflicts pain" For his courtesy, in every little act, is as unobtrusive as it is all-embracing

Of one thing he is certain, and he has often spoken to me about it, sometimes putting it in a very homely manner "An Englishman", he said once to me, "never respects you till you stand up to him Then he begins to like you He is afraid of nothing physical, but he is very mortally afraid of his own conscience, if ever you appeal to it and show him to be in the wrong He does not like to be rebuked for wrong-doing at first, but he will think over it, and it will get hold of him and hurt him till he does something to put it right "

It will be seen that the ultimate success in any Satyagraha Movement lies in just such an appeal to conscience If the average Englishman were *not* open to such an appeal, then it is possible that the whole programme of a Satyagraha struggle would have to be modified, and the moral attack made from another angle

It must be clear to anyone who has studied the record of Mr Gandhi's different struggles that his estimate of the effectiveness of the appeal to an Englishman's moral conscience has proved singularly correct In practically every instance where the moral cause was just, and where no violence occurred, he has won the battle Probably no single man living can point to such great

victories won in the cause of truth and justice as he can to-day. He places the credit of this very largely with the Englishman himself. It may even be questioned whether the simple fact of the humiliation and suffering he himself has undergone, owing to the repeated failure on the part of his followers to remain non-violent, has not done more to endear him to the hearts of English men and women who have heard the story than any further outward success would have done. This has been my own experience when lecturing in England on his work and personality.

CHAPTER XV

"THE GREAT SENTINEL"

THE SATYAGPAHA CAMPAIGN of 1919, after the passing of the Rowlatt Act, together with Mr. Gandhi's noble withdrawal when violence broke out, won Rabindranath Tagore's heartfelt admiration from Santiniketan. He had himself been through the same inner struggle, and had been sickened at the news which came "trickling through" from the Punjab under the Martial-Law regime, which had assumed its most ruthless form at Amritsar.

In a moment of supreme indignation, which brought his tortured spirit some relief, the Poet had given up his knighthood, and had written a memorable letter to the Viceroy explaining the reasons which compelled him to take such a step. This was his own personal act of non-co-operation with a Government which he was convinced had committed unpardonable wrongs. The news of this gesture of the Poet ran quickly through the world, and cleared the air as nothing else could possibly have done at that critical time. For very few who lived outside India knew what had been really taking place under the cover of Martial Law, and these things came as a great shock later, when General Dyer gave his evidence before the Commission, and defended his own actions at Amritsar on the ground of creating a "moral effect" in the Punjab.

Up to this point there had been hardly any divergence between the two spiritual leaders. Gandhi's noble appeal of soul-force against brute-force had won Tagore's entire approval. When, in earlier years at Santiniketan, I ha-

gone out with W. W Pearson to help in the Passive Resistance struggle in South Africa, we had carried the Poet's blessing with us. He had taken thus from his retirement, as far as he possibly could, his own part in the struggle. At one point, indeed, the Poet had diverged from Mahatma Gandhi, in the year 1918. He did not like him assuming an active part in the World War by recruiting Indian soldiers in the Khaira district who should fight with weapons of violence. He felt that Mr Gandhi in this action was compromising his own principles. Whatever others might do, it seemed to the Poet wrong that *he* should thus recruit for war purposes, but so very great was his admiration of his character as an heroic champion of soul-force that at one time in 1919, and also 1920, he was fully prepared to follow him and throw in his lot with him if he gave the word. In one of his letters written to me from abroad, he speaks of this in the clearest possible manner, and the Poet's fearless temperament, which had been evident when he surrendered his knighthood, as well as on other occasions, made such an offer as this immensely significant.

There is a letter written to Mahatma Gandhi at the beginning of the Passive Resistance struggle in April 1919 which makes the Poet's own position abundantly clear. It shows his whole-hearted sympathy with the principle of soul-force, and also with its practical application by a moral genius like Mahatma Gandhi. It contains the following passages —

"Power in all its forms is irrational, it is like the horse that drags the carriage blindfolded. The moral element in it is only represented in the man who drives the horse." Passive Resistance is a force which is not necessarily moral in itself, it can be used against truth as well

"THE GREAT SENTINEL"

as for it The danger inherent in all force grows stronger when it is likely to gain success, for then it becomes temptation

I know your teaching is to fight against evil by the help of good, but such a fight is for heroes and not for men led by impulses of the moment Evil on one side naturally begets evil on the other, injustice leading to violence and insult to vengefulness

In this crisis you, as a great leader of men, have stood among us to proclaim your faith in the ideal which you know to be that of India, the ideal which is both against the cowardliness of hidden revenge and the cowed submissiveness of the terror-stricken You have said, as Lord Buddha has done in his time and for all time to come

"Overcome anger by the power of non-anger, and evil by the power of good"

We must know that moral conquest does not insist on success, that failure does not deprive it of its own dignity and worth Those who believe in the spiritual life know that to stand against wrong, which has overwhelming material power behind it, guarantees a victory of active faith in the teeth of evident defeat!

I have always felt, and said accordingly, that the great gift of freedom can never come to a people through charity We must win it before we can own it And India's opportunity for winning it will come to her when she can prove that she is morally superior to the people who rule her by their right of conquest She must willingly accept her penance of suffering, which is the crown of the great Armed with her utter faith in goodness, she must stand unabashed before the arrogance that scoffs at the power of spirit

And you come to your motherland in the time of her need to remind her of her mission, to lead her in the true pith of conquest, to purge her present-day politics of that feebleness which imagines that it has gained its purpose when it struts in the borrowed feathers of diplomatic dishonesty

This is why I pray most fervently that nothing which tends to weaken our spiritual freedom may intrude into our marching line, that martyrdom for the cause of truth may never degenerate into fanaticism for mere verbal forms, descending into the self-deception that hides itself behind sacred names

The Poet attached to the letter two of his own Bengal poems, which he had himself translated into English —

Let me hold my head high in this faith, that Thou art our shelter,
that all fear is mean distrust of Thee.

Fear of man? But what man is there in this world, what king,
O King of Kings, that is Thy rival, who holdest me for all time and
in all truth?

What power is there in this world to rob me of freedom? For
do not Thy arms reach the captive through the dungeon walls,
bringing unfettered release to the soul?

And must I cling to this body in fear of death, as a miser to his
barren treasure? Has not this spirit of mine the eternal call to the
feast of everlasting life?

Let me know that all pain and death are shadows of the moment,
that the dark force which sweeps between me and Thy truth is
but the mist before the sunrise, that Thou alone art mine for ever
and greater than all pride of strength that dares to mock my manhood
with its menace

Give me the supreme courage of love, this is my prayer, the
courage to speak, to do, to suffer at Thy will, to leave all or be
left alone

Give me the supreme faith of love, this is my prayer, the faith
of the life in death, of the victory in defeat, of the power hidden
in the frailness of beauty, of the dignity of pain that accepts hurt,
but disdains to return it

It was necessary for Rabindranath Tagore to go to Europe and America during the year 1920 and the earlier part of the year 1921. He was therefore unable to be present in person at the beginning of the Non-Co-operation Movement. He did not witness, as I did, the amazing way in which vast multitudes of people—ordinary men and women, who had not been drilled, or disciplined, or strictly trained—learnt by instinct from

Mahatma Gandhi the ethics of Passive Resistance, and offered themselves for prison joyfully without any violence at first. The authorities, on their side, at this early stage acted with some consideration. Though women offered themselves for arrest and were arrested, there were singularly few imprisonments among them. But among the men and youths, the imprisonments soon mounted up to many thousands, and the brutal exercise of official power soon began to make its appearance. The heroism of those days, especially among the students, can never be forgotten by those who witnessed it personally.

Then a violent spirit began to enter the Movement from the side of the people, while at the same time violence increased against them. There were also acts of social tyranny against those who refused to participate in the Movement. Just as Non-Co-operation gathered power from numbers, so it gathered excitement. Where it swept the country—as I witnessed in East Bengal—this excitement broke all bounds. I had been with Mahatma Gandhi during the Passive Resistance days in Natal in 1913–14. But this appeared to me to be something entirely new and less spiritual.

When the Poet returned from Europe, at the very height of the vast Non-Co-operation Movement, and watched its effect upon the people of his own province Bengal, he was profoundly disappointed with what he saw in that part of India. He felt that the popular attitude had become one of wild excitement rather than of deep moral conviction. As he expressed it, in a remarkable phrase, it "shouted to him it did not 'sing'." What was still more evident to him in Bengal was a mere blind following rather than a spiritual leadership.

There was a strong outburst of long pent-up feelings, leading to violence of speech and action, rather than the sustained power of patient soul-force, ready to suffer in silence without striking a single blow, about which he had so often heard from us when we had returned from South Africa

A further divergence, which in course of time became more serious still, was the Poet's inability to take any active part in the Khaddar Movement along with Mahatma Gandhi, because it appeared to be put forward as a universal panacea for India's poverty, while the Poet regarded it only as an accessory method of rendering help. He could not understand Mr Gandhi's entire stress upon the manufacture of Khaddar alone, as though all other things were unimportant.

These were real differences of opinion, and whenever an opportunity offered, the two friends met together, and very long discussions took place at which I was privileged to be present. What seemed most evident on each of these occasions was a difference of temperament so wide that it was extremely difficult to arrive at a common intellectual understanding, though the moral ties of friendship remained entirely unbroken. Let me repeat, the Poet's belief in soul-force has always been fundamental. It colours all his own poems and his own personal outlook upon human life. But whenever the popular methods appeared to him to diverge from that high standard, he became pained and immediately expressed himself in writing. Mahatma Gandhi's description of him as "The Great Sentinel" was a masterly title, which once for all described his exact position in an admirably chosen phrase at that crisis.

A time came, a little later, when I was drawn, much against my own will, into the same controversy with regard to violent methods of action. For it seemed to me that Mahatma Gandhi was going much too far, and literally "playing with fire", when he himself took the lead in burning huge heaps of foreign clothes. Not only did it appear to me to carry with it a certain racial bitterness, which was foreign to his own pure nature, but also inevitably to lead on to further violence. Both in the public press and also by outward action, I felt bound to put forward my protest against this.

Therefore, as the Non-Co-operation Movement proceeded, the inherent defects in it became more pronounced. Its very popularity became its greatest hindrance. Mahatma Gandhi himself saw the dangers, and gave the warning again and again. But it was already too late. The excitement had gone too deep. The great masses of India had awakened to the sense of their own power without having received sufficient spiritual training to keep that power under control. There was nothing except his own personality, intense enough in its own inner quality, to retain a magnetic hold over the minds of the masses in their sudden awakening to their new acquisition of explosive freedom. He himself was able, for a time, amazingly to hold violence at bay. Along with his lieutenants he worked with superhuman energy in order to maintain control. But the excitement of the times, and the excessive strain caused by daily overwork, made the leaders themselves unaware of the pace at which the current was driving the frail boat of their national endeavour towards the rapids. There were two or three premonitory warnings, and then the crash came.

at Bombay, when violence raged day after day in the city almost unchecked, in spite of heroic efforts to prevent it

During all these last tumultuous days I had been called away from India to Kenya and South Africa, and had come back into the midst of the confusion at the end of the Bombay Riots Mahatma Gandhi had gone through his act of penance and self-purification His high courage had not left him, but he looked haggard and emaciated, as one who had just passed through the valley of the shadow of death Indeed, to die would have been to him, at such a time as this, an infinite release But it was not to happen¹

During the months that followed, his efforts toward creating Non-Violence in the atmosphere of Non-Co-operation were ever more incessant He wore himself out with tireless activity by day and with sleepless watch and prayer by night Only a spirit like the finest tempered steel could have stood such a test of endurance Then the second outbreak of violence came, at Chauri Chaura. His own tragic description of these things is given in the next chapter

At that hour of outward failure Mahatma Gandhi rose to the greatest spiritual height which he had ever reached in his whole career With a mighty effort, amid the angry cries and bitter words of his own followers, he called off the struggle He had declared in the first instance for Non-Violence as its essential feature, and he had kept his word Politically, it spelt desperate failure Morally, it was the greatest triumph he had ever won—the victory of the soul

¹ See Appendix VIII

"THE GREAT SENTINEL"

The different phases of this moral issue can be clearly traced. The answer to the Poet's objections, and to my own, which follows, is a very noble appeal. The cry of the souls of the countless millions of India's poor, in their unrelieved misery and stark want, runs through every word of it.

In the subsequent chapter, which relates his trial and imprisonment, it is noticeable that this cry of the poor people rings louder in his ears than the Punjab and Khilafat wrongs. These have gradually sunk into the background. The perpetual misery of a starving peasantry forms the gravest part of his indictment of the Administration.

The following is his first answer to the Poet.—

"The Bard of Santiniketan has contributed to the *Modern Review* a brilliant essay on the present movement. It is a series of word-pictures such as he alone can paint. It is an eloquent protest against authority, slave mentality, or whatever description one gives of blind acceptance of a passing mania, whether out of fear or hope. It is a welcome and wholesome reminder to all workers that we must not be impatient, we must not impose authority, no matter how great."

The Poet tells us summarily to reject anything and everything that does not appeal to our reason or heart. If we would gain Swaraj, we must stand for Truth as we know it at any cost. A reformer who is enraged because his message is not accepted must retire to the forest to learn how to watch, wait, and pray;

With all this we must heartily agree, and the Poet deserves the thanks of his countrymen for standing up

for Truth and Reason There is no doubt that our last state will be worse than our first, if we surrender our reason into somebody's keeping And I would feel extremely sorry to discover that the country had unthinkingly and blindly followed all I had said or done {I am quite conscious of the fact that blind surrender to love is often more mischievous than a forced surrender to the lash of the tyrant . . .}

It is good, therefore, that the Poet has invited all who are slavishly mimicking the call of the spinning-wheel boldly to declare their revolt His essay serves as a warning to us all who in our impatience are betrayed into intolerance, or even violence, against those who differ from us I regard the Poet as a Sentinel warning us against the approach of enemies called Bigotry, Lethargy, Intolerance, Ignorance, and other members of that brood

But whilst I agree with all that the Poet has said as to the necessity of watchfulness lest we cease to think, I must not be understood to endorse the proposition that there is any such blind obedience on a large scale in the country to-day I have again and again appealed to reason, and let me assure him that if happily the country has come to believe in the spinning-wheel as the giver of plenty, it has done so after laborious thinking I am not sure that even now educated India has assimilated the truth underlying the spinning-wheel He must not mistake the surface dirt for the substance underneath. Let him go deeper and see for himself whether it has been accepted from blind faith or from reasoned necessity

I do, indeed, ask the poet and sage to spin the wheel

as a sacrament. When there is war, the poet lays down the lyre, and the lawyer his law reports. The poet will sing the true note after the war is over; the lawyer will have occasion to go to his books when people have time to fight among themselves. When a house is on fire, all the inmates go out, and each one takes up a bucket to quench the fire. When all about me are dying for want of food, the only occupation permissible for me is to feed the hungry.

It is my conviction that India is a house on fire, because its manhood is being daily scorched; it is dying of hunger because it has no work to buy food with. Khulna is starving, not because the people cannot work, but because they have no work. The ceded districts are passing successively through a fourth famine. Orissa is a land suffering from chronic famines.

Our cities are not India. India lives in her seven hundred and fifty thousand villages. The cities live upon the villages. They do not bring their wealth from other countries. The city people are brokers and commission agents for the big houses of Europe, America, and Japan. The cities have co-operated with the latter in the bleeding process that has gone on for the past two hundred years. It is my belief, based on experience, that India is daily growing poorer. The circulation about her feet and legs has almost stopped. And if we do not take care, she will collapse altogether.

To a people famishing and idle the only acceptable form in which God can dare to appear is Work, and promise of food as wages. God created man to work for his food. Is it any wonder if India has become one vast prison? Hunger is the argument that is driving India

to the spinning-wheel The call of the spinning-wheel is the noblest of all because it is the call of love. And love is Swaraj. We must think of the millions who are to-day less than animals, who are almost in a dying state. The spinning-wheel is the reviving draught for millions of our dying countrymen and countrywomen.

'Why should I, who have no need to work for food, spin?' This may be the question asked. Because I am eating what does not belong to me. I am living on the spoliation of my countrymen. Trace the course of every coin that finds its way into your pocket, and you will realize the truth that I write.

Swaraj has no meaning for the millions, if they do not know how to employ their enforced idleness. The attainment of this Swaraj is possible within a short time, but it is so possible only by the revival of the spinning-wheel.

I claim that in losing the spinning-wheel we lost our left lung. We are therefore suffering from galloping consumption. The restoration of the wheel arrests the progress of the fell disease. There are certain things which all must do in all climes. The spinning-wheel is the thing which all must turn in the Indian climate, for the transition stage at any rate, and the vast majority must for all time.

It was our love of foreign cloth that ousted the wheel from its position of dignity. Therefore I consider it a sin to wear foreign cloth. I must confess that I do not draw a sharp distinction between economics and ethics. Economics that hurt the moral well-being of an individual or a nation are immoral, and therefore sinful. Thus the economics that permit one country to prey upon another

are immoral. It is sinful to eat American wheat and let my neighbour, the grain-dealer, starve for want of custom. It is sinful to buy and use articles made by sweated labour. Similarly, it is sinful for me to wear the latest finery of Regent Street when I know that if I had but worn the things woven by the neighbouring spinners and weavers, that would have clothed me, and fed and clothed them at the same time. On the knowledge of my sin bursting upon me, I must consign the foreign garments to the flames and thus purify myself, and thenceforth rest content with the rough homespun cloth made by my neighbours. On knowing that my neighbours may not, having given up the occupation, take kindly to the spinning-wheel, I must take it up myself and thus make it popular.

In burning my foreign clothes I burn my shame. I must refuse to insult the naked by giving them clothes they do not need, instead of giving them work which they sorely need. I will not commit the sin of becoming their patron.

Nor is the scheme of Non-Co-operation or Swadeshi an exclusive doctrine. My modesty has prevented me from declaring from the house-top that the message of Non-Co-operation, Non-Violence and Swadeshi is a message to the world. It must fall flat if it does not bear fruit in the soil where it has been delivered.

At the present moment, India has nothing to share with the world, save her degradation, pauperism, and plagues. Is it her ancient Scriptures that we should send to the world? Well, they are printed in many editions, and an incredulous and idolatrous world refuses to look at them, because we, the heirs and custodians, do not live

by them Before, therefore, I can think of sharing with the world, I must possess Our Non-Co-operation is neither with the English nor with the West. It is with the system which the English have established, with the material civilization and its attendant greed and exploitation of the weak. Our Non-Co-operation is a retirement within ourselves, a refusal to co-operate with the English administrators on their own terms We say to them, 'Come and co-operate with us on our terms, and it will be well for us, for you, and the world '

We must refuse to be lifted off our feet. A drowning man cannot save others. In order to be fit to save others, we must try to save ourselves Indian nationalism is not exclusive, nor aggressive, nor destructive It is health-giving, religious, and therefore humanitarian. India must learn to live before she can aspire to die for humanity. The mice which helplessly find themselves between the cat's teeth acquire no merit from their enforced sacrifice

True to his poetical instinct, the Poet lives for the morrow, and would have us do likewise. He presents to our admiring gaze the beautiful picture of the birds early in the morning singing hymns of praise as they soar into the sky These birds had their day's food, and soared with rested wings in whose veins new blood had circulated during the previous night But I have had the pain of watching birds, who for want of strength could not be coaxed even into a flutter of their wings The human bird under the Indian sky gets up weaker than when he pretended to retire. For millions, it is an eternal vigil or an eternal trance It is an indescribably painful state which has to be experienced to be realized I have found it impossible to soothe suffering patients with a

"THE GREAT SENTINEL"

song from Kabir [The hungry millions ask for one poem, invigorating food They cannot be given it They must earn it And they can earn only by the sweat of their brow.]

Mr Gandhi's next article in answer to the Poet's own misgivings concerning the Non-Co-operative Movement runs thus —

"The Poet of Asia is fast becoming the poet of the world Increasing prestige has brought to him increasing responsibility His greatest service to India must be his poetic interpretation of India's message to the world The Poet is therefore sincerely anxious that India should deliver no false or feeble message in her own name He is naturally jealous of his country's reputation He says he has striven hard to find himself in tune with the present movement He confesses that he is baffled He can find nothing for his lyre in the din and the bustle of Non-Co-operation

In three forceful letters he has endeavoured to give expression to his misgivings, and he has come to the conclusion that Non-Co-operation is not dignified enough for the India of his vision, that it is a doctrine of negation and despair. He fears that it is a doctrine of separation, exclusiveness, narrowness, and negation.

No Indian can feel anything but pride in the Poet's exquisite jealousy of India's honour It is good that he should have sent to us his misgivings in language at once beautiful and clear.

No Indian can feel anything but pride in the Poet's doubts I may fail to convince him or the reader who may have been touched by his eloquence, but I would

like to assure him and India that Non-Co-operation in conception is not any of the things he fears, and he need have no cause to be ashamed of his country for having adopted Non-Co-operation. If in actual application it appears in the end to have failed, it will be no more the fault of the doctrine than it would be of Truth if those who claim to apply it in practice do not appear to succeed. Non-Co-operation may have come in advance of its time. India and the world must then wait; but there is no choice for India, save between violence and Non-Co-operation.

Nor need the Poet fear that Non-Co-operation is intended to erect a Chinese wall between India and the West. On the contrary, Non-Co-operation is intended to pave the way to real honourable and voluntary co-operation based on mutual respect and trust. The present struggle is being waged against compulsory co-operation, against one-sided combination, against the armed imposition of modern methods of exploitation masquerading under the name of civilization.

Non-Co-operation is a protest against an unwitting and unwilling participation in evil.

The Poet's concern is largely about the students. He is of opinion that they should not have been called upon to give up Government schools before they had other schools to go to. Here I must differ from him. I have never been able to make a fetish of literary training. My experience has proved that by itself it adds not an inch to one's moral height. I am firmly of opinion that the Government schools have unmanned us, rendered us helpless and Godless. They have filled us with discontent, have made us despondent. They have made

“THE GREAT SENTINEL”

us what we were intended to become—clerks and interpreters

A Government builds its prestige upon the apparently voluntary association of the governed. And if it was wrong to co-operate with the Government in keeping us slaves, we were bound to begin with those institutions in which our association appeared to be most voluntary. {The youths of a nation are its hope I hold that, as soon as we discovered that the system of Government was wholly or mainly evil, it became sinful for us to associate our children with it}

But the Poet's protest against the calling out of the boys is really a corollary to his objection to the very doctrine of Non-Co-operation. He had a horror of everything Negative. His whole soul seems to rebel against the negative commandments of religion. I must give his objection in his own inimitable language. He writes —

‘R——, in support of the present movement, has often said to me that passion for rejection is a stronger power in the beginning than the acceptance of an ideal. Though I know it to be a fact, I cannot take it as a truth. Brahmanavida in India has for its object Mukti (emancipation), while Buddhism has Nirvana (extinction). Mukti draws our attention to the positive, and Nirvana to the negative side of truth. Therefore Buddha emphasized the fact of Dukkha (misery), which had to be avoided, and Brahmanavida emphasized the fact of Ananda (joy), which had to be attained.’

In these and kindred passages the reader will find the key to the Poet's mentality. In my humble opinion, rejection is as much an ideal as the acceptance of a thing. All great religions teach that two opposite forces

act upon us and that the human endeavour consists in a series of eternal rejections and acceptances Non-Co-operation with evil is as much a duty as co-operation with good I venture to suggest that the Poet has done an unconscious injustice to Buddhism in describing Nirvana as merely a negative state. I make bold to say that Mukti, emancipation, is as much a negative state as Nirvana. Emancipation from, or extinction of, the bondage of the flesh leads to Ananda (eternal bliss)

I therefore think that the Poet has been unnecessarily alarmed at the negative aspect of Non-Co-operation We had lost the power of saying 'no' It had become disloyal, almost sacrilegious, to say 'no' to the Government. This deliberate refusal to co-operate is like the necessary weeding process that a cultivator has to resort to before he sows Weeding is as necessary to agriculture as sowing, Indeed, even whilst the crops are growing, the weeding fork, as every husbandman knows, is an instrument of almost daily use

- The nation's Non-Co-operation is an invitation to the Government to co-operate with it on its own terms, as is every nation's right and every good Government's duty. Non-Co-operation is the nation's notice that it is no longer satisfied to be in tutelage The nation has taken to the harmless (for it) natural and religious doctrine of Non-Co-operation in the place of the unnatural and irreligious doctrine of violence And if India is ever to attain the Swaraj of the Poet's dream, she will do so only by Non-Violent Non-Co-operation Let him deliver his message of peace to the world, and feel confident that India, through her Non-Co-operation, if she remains true to her pledge, will have exemplified

“THE GREAT SENTINEL”

his message Non-Co-operation is intended to give the very meaning to patriotism that the Poet is yearning after ‘An India prostrate at the feet of Europe can give no hope to humanity An India awakened and free has a message of peace and good will to give to a groaning world’;

After thus explaining in full, with quotations from either side, this difference of opinion between the two greatest spiritual leaders of India, wherein the larger issues of the whole Non-Co-operation Movement were discussed and matters of world-wide moment were debated, it is with considerable hesitation that I have decided to complete the present chapter with the incident of the “Burning of Foreign Cloth”, wherein I was all too painfully involved But it seems absolutely necessary to do so, because the excited encouragement of repeated actions of this kind by Mr Gandhi himself in all parts of the country, and especially in Bombay, soon led on to further violence For instance, the crowd which gathered to the Burning of Foreign Cloth on one occasion, in Bombay, was so great that it was estimated at over a hundred thousand, and a wave of rowdyism from that time forward began to run through the city Minor acts of assault against those who were wearing foreign dress frequently occurred

In other ways also a conflagration of human passion was kindled Personally, I had taken an active part at the outset in Non-Violent Non-Co-operation, believing that it was a righteous cause in face of the crying evils done in the Punjab Thus I was forced into the position of publicly protesting against what appeared to me a

breach of the spirit of Non-Violence when it became vividly apparent.

But the Burning of Foreign Cloth went on unchecked until the events at Bombay and Chauri Chaura, which will be mentioned in the next chapter, brought to a sudden and disastrous conclusion this phase of the struggle.

In answer to my own protest and to almost innumerable private letters, Mahatma Gandhi wrote the following article in *Young India* —

"The reader will, I am sure, appreciate my sharing with him the following beautiful and pathetic letter from Mr. Andrews. He writes to me—

'I know that your Burning of Foreign Cloth is with the idea of helping the poor, but I feel that you have gone wrong. There is a subtle appeal to racial feeling in that word "foreign" which day by day appears to need checking and not fomenting. The picture of your lighting that great pile, including delicate fabrics, shocked me intensely. We seem to be losing sight of the great, outside world to which we belong and concentrating selfishly on India, and this must (I fear) lead back to the old, bad, selfish nationalism. If so, we get into the vicious circle from which Europe is trying so desperately to escape. But I cannot argue it out. I can only say again that it shocked me, and seemed to me a form of violence, and yet I know how violence is abhorrent to you. I do not at all like this question of foreign cloth being made into a religion.'

'I was supremely happy when you were dealing giant blows at the fundamental moral evils—drunkenness, drug-taking, untouchability, race arrogance, etc., and when

you were, with such wonderful and beautiful tenderness, dealing with the hideous vice of prostitution. But lighting bonfires of foreign cloth and telling people that it is a religious sin to wear it, destroying in the fire the noble handiwork of one's own fellow men and women, of one's brothers and sisters abroad, saying it would be "defiling" to use it—I cannot tell you how different all this appears to me! Do you know I almost fear now to wear the Khaddar that you have given me, lest I should appear to be judging other people, as a Pharisee would, saying, "I am holier than thou!" I never felt like this before

'You know how, when anything that you do hurts me, I must cry out to you, and this has hurt me'

This is his letter.

It is so like him Whenever he feels hurt over anything I have done—and this is by no means the first of such occasions—he deluges me with letters without waiting for an answer. For it is love speaking to love, not arguing And so it has been over the burning of foreign clothes

I remain just as convinced as ever of the necessity of burning There is no emphasis, in the process, of race feeling I would have done precisely the same thing in sacred and select family or friendly circles In all I do or advise, the infallible test I apply is, whether the particular action will hold good in regard to the dearest and the nearest The teaching of the faith I hold dear is unmistakable and unequivocal in the matter I must be the same to friend and foe And it is this conviction which makes me so sure of so many of my acts which often puzzle friends

I remember having thrown into the sea a pair of beautiful field-glasses, because they were a constant bone of contention between a dear friend and myself. He felt the hesitation at first, but he saw the right of the destruction of a beautiful and costly thing, a present withal from a friend. Experience shows that the richest gifts must be destroyed without compensation and hesitation if they hinder one's moral progress. Will it not be held a sacred duty to consign to the flames most precious heirlooms, if they are plague infected? I can remember having broken to bits, when a young man, the loved bangles of my own dear wife, because they were a matter of difference between us. And if I remember aright, they were a gift from her mother. I did it, not out of hate, but out of love—ignorant, I now see in my ripe age. The destruction helped us and brought us nearer.

If the emphasis were on all foreign *things*, it would be racial, parochial, and wicked. The emphasis is on all foreign *cloth*. The restriction makes all the difference in the world. I do not want to shut out English lever watches or the beautiful Japanese lacquer work. But I must destroy all the choicest wines of Europe, even though they might have been prepared and preserved with all the most exquisite care and attention. Satan's snares are most subtly laid, and they are the most tempting, when the dividing line between right and wrong is so thin as to be imperceptible. But the line is there all the same, rigid and inflexible. Any crossing of it may mean certain death.

India is racial to-day. It is with the utmost effort that I find it possible to keep under check the evil passions

of the people The general body of the people are filled with ill will, because they are weak and hopelessly ignorant of the way to shed their weakness I am transferring the ill will from men to things Love of foreign cloth has brought foreign domination, pauperism, and what is worst, shame to many a home. The reader may not know, that not long ago hundreds of 'untouchable' weavers of Kathiawar, having found their calling gone, became sweepers for the Bombay municipality And the life of these men has become so difficult that many lose their children and become physical and moral wrecks, some are helpless witnesses of the shame of their daughters and even their wives The reader may not know that many women of this class in Gujarat, for want of domestic occupation having taken to work on public roads, under pressure of one sort or another, are obliged to sell their honour The reader may not know that the proud weavers of the Punjab, for want of occupation, not many years ago, took to the sword, and were instrumental in killing the proud and innocent Arabs at the bidding of their officers, not for the sake of their country, but for the sake of their livelihood It is difficult to make a successful appeal to those deluded hirelings and wean them from their murderous profession

Is it now any wonder if I consider it a sin to touch foreign cloth? Will it not be a sin for a man with a very delicate digestive apparatus to eat rich foods? Must he not destroy them or give them away? I know what I would do with rich foods, if I had a son lying in bed who must not eat them, but would still gladly have them In order to wean him from the hankering, I would,

though able to digest them myself, refrain from eating them and destroy them in his presence, so that the sin of eating may be borne home to him

If destruction of foreign cloth be a sound proposition from the highest moral standpoint, the possibility of a rise in the price of Swadeshi cloth need not frighten us. Destruction is the quickest method of stimulating production. By one supreme effort and swift destruction, India has to be awakened from her torpor and enforced idleness. Here is what Mr. Allen, the author of the *Assam Gazetteer*, wrote in 1905 of Kamrup —

'Of recent years, the use of imported clothing has been coming into favour, an innovation which has little to recommend it, as the time formerly spent at the loom is not, as a rule, assigned to any other useful occupation.'

The Assamese, to whom I have spoken, realize the truth of these words to their cost. Foreign cloth to India is like foreign matter to the body. The destruction of the former is as necessary for the health of India as the latter for the health of the body.

Nor need we be afraid, by evolving the fullest Swadeshi spirit, of developing a spirit of narrowness and exclusiveness. We must protect our own bodies from disruption through indulgence, before we would protect the sanctity of others. India is to-day nothing but a dead mass movable at the will of another. Let her become alive by self-purification, and she will be a boon to herself and mankind. Let her be carelessly self-indulgent, aggressive, grasping, and if she rises, she will do so only to destroy and be a curse to herself and mankind.

And for a firm believer in Swadeshi there need be no Pharisaical self-satisfaction in wearing home-spun | A

"THE GREAT SENTINEL"

Pharisee is a patron of virtue, The wearer of home-spun, from a Swadeshi standpoint, is like a man making use of his lungs 'A natural and obligatory act has got to be performed, whether others do it out of impure motives or refrain altogether ';

CHAPTER XVI

THE BOMBAY RIOTS

PROBABLY NO GREATER shock has ever come in recent years to any national leader, in the midst of an heroic struggle, than that from which Mahatma Gandhi suffered at Bombay in 1921. For, contrary to all his confidence and almost triumphant expectation of a peaceful boycott, the Bombay labourers suddenly broke out into madness, in the mill area, at the time of the Prince of Wales's visit, and thus ruined at one blow the non-violent aspect of the Non-Co-operation Movement.

The shock of this untoward event was all the more intense for Mr Gandhi himself, because he was actually present on the spot in Bombay, impotent and helpless. Even the magic of his personality, which had wrought such wonders at other times, could not assuage the violence of the crowds. He had arranged beforehand on the day of the Prince's arrival a bonfire of foreign clothes, expecting thereby to be able to attract the crowd to another centre. But the masses of the labouring people, down in the mill area, were so entirely out of hand and impatient of all control in the general excitement of those days, that they left the bonfire on one side, and started an orgy of inflamed and maddening rioting instead, killing innocent passers-by and burning liquor shops to the ground. This violence raged for some days before it was stopped. It ended at last chiefly owing to the vow of Mr Gandhi to fast until it ceased. This fast inspired the magnificently courageous efforts of his followers as

they worked with desperate earnestness to prevent the riots from spreading still farther

But while now, on looking back, it is not so hard to understand the course of events which led up to the outbreak, yet at the time it seemed impossible for Mahatma Gandhi himself to get knowledge beforehand of the heat of passion that was smouldering beneath the surface ready to break out into a blaze

The spiritual exaltation of those days—when he was almost hourly expecting the crisis to happen whereby Swaraj would be obtained—had lifted him above these lower mists of human passion. The enthusiasm of his followers, whereby he was surrounded, was quite unique. Things of great moment were being put right in a day, such as in ordinary times would have taken generations to accomplish. For instance, a single visit of Mahatma Gandhi to Assam, at the height of the Non-Co-operation Movement, had carried the sensitive and patriotic Assamese people forward on a wave of public enthusiasm, which had swept away bad opium habits of half a century of addiction. Still more wonderful was the fact that, after this wave of enthusiasm subsided, the old vicious opium addiction did not return. The same might have been recorded about liquor evils, and prostitution, and un-touchability, and other vices elsewhere. Thus it seemed literally true, in those wonderful and inspiring days, that the age of miracles had returned. Old abuses were being swept away, not by the advent of a military power, but by the gentle dictatorship of a saint.

Therefore the sudden and unexpected blow was bitter indeed, when the whole fabric of this peaceful moral reform was shattered, owing to the mad events of mob

violence, first at Bombay and afterwards at Chauri Chaura.

But if the shock to Mahatma Gandhi was terrible in its intensity, and almost paralysing at first in its sudden onset, his recovery from it was noble. For his act of repentance and self-humiliation was full of moral courage and atoning sacrifice. Such a public repentance as he insisted on in person was not made easy for him by some of the most ardent of his own followers, who had come to him from a distance. They pressed him not to acknowledge such abasement, and despised him for his weakness. But they had not been able to visualize the power of evil let loose, as Mahatma Gandhi had done, and they had but little spiritual insight.

But God had been merciful—so Mr. Gandhi wrote in pathetic words of deepest contrition. The Divine Providence had brought him face to face with the evil that was still deep-seated in his own heart, rendering him powerless. For the mob had not ceased from its violence, even when he had gone out into its very midst. He had been brushed aside. Thus he had been rendered defenceless by his own people, and his cause had been betrayed from within. But out of his very helplessness he had called unto God for deliverance and the divine answer had come to his cry.

Greater, therefore, in the spiritual world had been this moral victory over himself than could have been wrought by any outward success of Non-Co-operation.

As the Poet, Rabindranath Tagore, on another occasion, had said —

"My Master has bidden me sit by the wayside,

And sing the Song of the Defeated.

For she is the Bride whom He woos in secret

At no moment in his whole career did Mahatma Gandhi rise higher than during those days before his final imprisonment. He had to meet the angry reproaches of his own followers, and to be told to his face that he was wrecking the whole Non-Co-operation Movement at the climax of its greatest power. From the purely political aspect, that was probably true. But in the spiritual realm there is a transvaluation of all such values.

While Mahatma Gandhi himself rose high to meet a great occasion, the same could not be said of the British Administration in India. For it seized this exact moment, when the Movement was in confusion, for striking a blow at the leader. *From a worldly point of view, it was a diplomatic stroke, but it had no chivalry in it.*

That note of chivalry, however, was truly given from the official side, even in those dark days when human passions were running very high, by the action of the presiding judge at the trial. The whole account was related to me by some of Mahatma Gandhi's most intimate followers, who were present in the court. Just at the point when the closing scene had become almost too tragically painful to endure, the judge with dignity of tone and perfectly chosen words gave his verdict acknowledging the saintly nobility of the prisoner. Mahatma Gandhi's courteous thanks in return brought the whole trial to an end.

It is a true and genuine pleasure to me to be able to go on to record from my own personal experience, as well as that of others, how at a still later critical moment Colonel Maddock, the good physician, performed with delicate skill, amid great difficulties, the surgical operation which saved Mahatma Gandhi's life.

The tender care of the matron and nurse of the Sassoon Hospital, Poona, where the operation was performed, can also never be forgotten. Such deeds of love helped to take away much of the bitterness of those days, and the whole of India rejoiced, along with multitudes in other lands, when the prisoner was released in 1924, after two years' imprisonment, instead of six.

The present chapter will record his writings after the Bombay riots, and also after those at Chauri Chaura. The former runs thus:—

"The reputation of Bombay, the hope of my dreams, was being stained yesterday even whilst in my simplicity I was congratulating the citizens upon their Non-Violence in the face of provocation. The Prince's visit itself and the circumstances attending the ceremonials arranged, and public money wasted for the manufacture of a welcome to His Royal Highness, constituted an unbearable provocation. And yet Bombay had remained self-restrained. This, I thought, was a matter for congratulation. The burning of the pile of foreign cloth was an eloquent counter-demonstration to the interested official demonstration."

Little did I know that, at the very time when the Prince was passing through the decorated route and the pile of foreign cloth was burning, in another part of the city the mill-hands were in criminal disobedience, forcibly depriving those that were wearing foreign caps of their head-dresses and pelting inoffensive Europeans. As the day went up, the fury of the mob, now intoxicated with its initial success, rose also. They burnt tramcars and a motor, smashed liquor shops and burnt two

I heard of the outbreak at about one o'clock, and motored with some friends to the area of disturbance, and heard the most painful and humiliating story of molestation of Parsee sisters. No one from among a crowd of over fifteen hundred who had surrounded my car denied the charge, as a Parsee with hot rage and quivering lips was with the greatest deliberation relating the story. An elderly Parsee gentleman said, 'Please save us from this mob-rule'. This news of the rough handling of Parsee sisters pierced me like a dart Yes, some Parsees had joined the welcome They had a right to hold their own view, free of molestation. There can be no coercion in Swaraj. The Moplah fanatic, who forcibly converts a Hindu, believes that he is acquiring religious merit A Non-Co-operator, or his associate, who uses coercion has no apology whatsoever for his criminality.

As I reached the Two Tanks, I found a liquor shop smashed, two policemen badly wounded and lying unconscious on cots without anybody caring for them. I alighted Immediately the crowd surrounded me and yelled, 'Mahatma Gandhi ki jai' ¹ That sound usually grates on my ears, but it had never grated so much as it did yesterday when the crowd, unmindful of the two sick brethren, choked me with the shout at the top of their voices I rebuked them and they were silent Water was brought for the two wounded men I requested two of my companions and some of the crowd to take the dying policemen to the hospital Then I proceeded to the scene a little farther up, where I saw a fire rising There were two tramcars which were burnt by the crowd. On returning, I witnessed a burning motor-car.

¹ Victory to Mahatma Gandhi

I appealed to the crowd to disperse, told them that they had damaged the cause of the Khilafat, the Punjab, and Swaraj I returned sick at heart and in a chastened mood

At about five, a few brave Sindhi young men came to report that in Bhindi Bazaar the crowd was molesting every passer-by who had a foreign cap, and even seriously beating him if he refused to give up his cap. A brave old Parsee who defied the crowd, and would not give up his hat, was badly handled Maulana Azad Sobhani and I went to Bindhi Bazaar and reasoned with the crowd, telling them that they were denying their religion by hurting innocent men The crowd made a show of dispersing The police were there, but they were exceedingly restrained We went farther. On retracing our steps, we found to our horror a liquor shop on fire Even the fire brigade was obstructed in its work. Thanks to the efforts of Pandit Nekiram Shaista and others, the inmates of the shop were able to come out

The crowd did not consist of hooligans only, or boys It was not an unintelligent crowd They were not all mill-hands It was essentially a mixed crowd, unprepared and unwilling to listen to anybody For the moment it had lost its head And it was not a single crowd, but several crowds, numbering not less than twenty thousand. It was bent upon mischief and destruction

I heard that there was firing resulting in deaths, and that in Anglo-Indian quarters every one of the volunteers came in for hard beating, if he did not put off his home-spun cap or shirt I heard that many were seriously injured I am writing this in the midst of six Hindu and Mussalman workers, who have just come in with

THE BOMBAY RIOTS

broken heads and bleeding, and one with a broken nasal bone and other lacerated wounds They went to Parel, led by Maulanas Azad Sobhani and Moazzam Ali, to pacify the mill-hands, who, it was reported, were holding up tramcars there The workers, however, were unable to proceed to their destination They returned with their bleeding wounds to speak for themselves

Non-Co-operators cannot escape liability It is true that Non-Co-operators were ceaselessly remonstrating everywhere with the people at considerable risk to themselves, to arrest or stop the mischief, but that is not enough for launching out on Civil Disobedience, or to discharge us from liability for the violence that has taken place We claim to have established a peaceful atmosphere, to have attained by our Non-Violence sufficient control over the people to keep their violence under check. We have failed when we ought to have succeeded For yesterday was a day of our trial We were, under our pledge, bound to protect the person of the Prince from any harm or insult. And we broke that pledge, inasmuch as any one of us insulted or injured a single European or any other who took part in the welcome to the Prince They were as much entitled to take part in the welcome as we were to refrain Nor can I shirk from my own personal responsibility. I am more instrumental than any other in bringing into being the spirit of revolt I find myself not fully capable of controlling and disciplining that spirit I must do penance for it For me the struggle is essentially religious I believe in fasting and prayer, and I propose henceforth to observe, every Monday, a twenty-four hours' fast till Swaraj is attained "

Within less than four months from the date of the Bombay riots the second great act of violence took place at Chauri Chaura. The mob, led by those who shouted aloud the name of Mahatma Gandhi, hacked to death a number of policemen, and burnt them along with the police station. The tragedy came just when Mahatma Gandhi had sent an ultimatum to the Viceroy threatening civil disobedience in Bardoli. When the news about Chauri Chaura reached him, he called off immediately the civil disobedience in Bardoli and faced his enraged followers. He wrote thus —

"God had been abundantly kind to me. He had warned me the third time that there is not as yet in India that truthful and Non-Violent atmosphere which alone can justify mass disobedience described as civil, gentle, truthful, humble, knowing, wilful yet loving, never criminal and hateful.

God warned me in 1919, when the Rowlatt Act agitation was started I retraced my steps, called it a Himalayan miscalculation, humbled myself before God and man, and stopped not merely mass Civil Disobedience, but even my own, which I know was intended to be civil and Non-Violent.

The next time it was through the events of Bombay that God gave a terrific warning. He made me eyewitness of the deeds of the Bombay mob on November 17th. The humiliation was greater than in 1919. But it did me good. I am sure that the nation gained by the stopping of Civil Disobedience. India stood for Truth and Non-Violence by the suspension.

But the bitterest humiliation was still to come Madras

THE BOMBAY RIOTS

did give the warning, yet I heeded it not. But God spoke clearly through Chauri Chaura. I understand that the constables who were so brutally hacked to death had given much provocation. They had even gone back upon the word, just given by the Inspector, that they would not be molested, but when the procession had passed, the stragglers were interfered with and abused by the constables. The former cried out for help. The mob returned. The constables opened fire. The little ammunition they had was exhausted, and they retired to the police station for safety. The mob, my informant tells me, therefore set fire to the station. The self-imprisoned constables had to come out for dear life, and as they did so they were hacked to pieces and the mangled remains were thrown into the raging flames.

It is claimed that no Non-Co-operation volunteer had a hand in the brutality, and that the mob had not only the immediate provocation, but also general knowledge of the high-handed tyranny of the police in that district. No provocation can possibly justify the brutal murder of men who had been rendered defenceless and had virtually thrown themselves on the mercy of the mob. And when India claims to be Non-Violent, and hopes to mount the throne of Liberty through Non-Violent means, mob-violence even in answer to grave provocation is a bad augury.

Chauri Chaura is after all an aggravated symptom. I have never imagined that there has been no violence, mental or physical, in the places where repression is going on. Only I have believed that the repression is out of all proportion to the insignificant popular violence in the areas of repression. The determined holding of

meetings in prohibited areas I do not call violence. The violence I am referring to is the throwing of brickbats or intimidation and coercion practised in stray cases. As a matter of fact, in Civil Disobedience there should be no excitement Civil Disobedience is a preparation for mute suffering Its effect is marvellous, though unperceived and gentle But I regarded a certain amount of excitement as inevitable, a certain amount of unintended violence even pardonable, i.e. I did not consider Civil Disobedience impossible in somewhat imperfect conditions. Under perfect conditions, disobedience when civil is hardly felt But the present movement is admittedly a dangerous experiment under fairly adverse conditions

The tragedy of Chauri Chaura is really the index-finger It shows the way India may easily go if drastic precautions be not taken. We dare not enter the Kingdom of Liberty with mere lip homage to Truth and Non-Violence.

Suspension of mass Civil Disobedience and subsidence of excitement are necessary for further progress, indeed, indispensable to prevent further retrogression I hope, therefore, that by suspension every Congressman or woman will not only not feel disappointed, but he or she will feel relieved of the burden of unreality and of national sin.

Let the opponent glory in our humiliation, or so-called defeat !It is better to be charged with cowardice and weakness than to be guilty of denial of our oath and sin against God It is a million times better to appear untrue before the world than to be untrue to ourselves.

And so, for me, the suspension of mass Civil Dis-

obedience and other minor activities that were calculated to keep up excitement is not enough penance for my having been the instrument, howsoever involuntary, of the brutal violence by the people at Chauri Chaura

I must undergo personal cleansing. I must become a fitter instrument, able to register the slightest variation in the moral atmosphere about me. My prayers must have much deeper truth and humility about them than they evidence. And for me there is nothing so helpful and cleansing as a fast accompanied by the necessary mental co-operation.

I know that the mental attitude is everything. Just as a prayer may be merely a mechanical intonation as of a bird, so a fast may be a mere mechanical torture of the flesh. Such mechanical contrivances are valueless for the purpose intended.

But a fast undertaken for fuller self-expression, for attainment of the spirit's supremacy over the flesh, is a most powerful factor in one's evolution. After deep consideration, therefore, I am imposing on myself a five days' continuous fast, permitting myself water. It commenced on Sunday evening, it ends on Friday evening. This is the least I must do.

I have taken into consideration the All-India Congress Committee meeting in front of me. I have in mind the anxious pain which even the five days' fast will cause many friends, but I can no longer postpone the penance, nor lessen it.

I urge co-workers not to copy my example. The motive in their case will be lacking. They are not the originators of Civil Disobedience. I am in the unhappy position of a surgeon proved skilless to deal with an admittedly

dangerous case I must either abdicate or acquire greater skill

I hope that the workers will leave no stone unturned to find out the evil-doers, and urge them to deliver themselves into custody. But whether the murderers accept my advice or not, I would like them to know that they have seriously interfered with Swaraj operations. I would like them to know, too, that this Movement is not a cloak or a preparation for violence. I would, at any rate, suffer every humiliation, every torture, absolute ostracism, and death itself, to prevent the Movement from becoming violent or a precursor of violence. I make my penance public, also, because I am now denying myself the opportunity of sharing their lot with the prisoners.

Non-Violent Non-Co-operators can only succeed when they have succeeded in attaining control over the hooligans of India, in other words, when the latter also have learnt patriotically or religiously to refrain from their violent activities, at least whilst the campaign of Non-Co-operation is going on. The tragedy at Chauri Chaura, therefore, roused me thoroughly.

'But what about your manifesto to the Viceroy and your rejoinder to his reply?' spoke the voice of Satan. (It was the bitterest cup of humiliation to drink.) 'Surely it is cowardly to withdraw the next day after pompous threats to the Government and promises to the people of Bardoli.' Thus Satan's invitation was to deny Truth and therefore Religion, to deny God Himself. I put my doubts and troubles before the Working Committee and other associates whom I found near me. They did not all agree with me at first. Some of them probably do not even now agree with me. But never has a man been

blessed, perhaps, with colleagues as considerate and forgiving as I have. They understood my difficulty, and patiently followed my argument. The result is before the public in the shape of the resolutions of the Working Committee. The drastic reversal of practically the whole of the aggressive programme may be politically unsound and unwise, but there is no doubt that it is religiously sound, and I venture to assure the doubters that the country will have gained by my humiliation and confession of error.

"The only virtue I want to claim is Truth and Non-Violence. I lay no claim to superhuman powers. I want none. I wear the same corrupt flesh that the weakest of my fellow-beings wears, and am therefore as liable to err as any. My services have many limitations, but God has up to now blessed them in spite of the imperfections."

"For confession of error is like a broom that sweeps away dirt and leaves the surface cleaner than before; I feel stronger for my confession. And the cause must prosper for the retracing. 'Never has man reached his destination by persistence in deviation from the straight path.'

The immediate consequence of this act of Mahatma Gandhi was profound dismay, except among his closest followers, who knew his mind most nearly. There was a depression all over the country which could everywhere be felt. When I went in and out of the villages at this time, I found that the discouragement had penetrated the country as well as the cities. It was at this moment, as I have related, that the Government of India struck its blow. On the charge that certain articles published in *Young India* had caused disaffection, Mahatma Gandhi was arrested.

CHAPTER XVII

TRIAL AND IMPRISONMENT

THE TRIAL

ALL THAT MAHATMA GANDHI had tried to say so often in such a simple manner is borne out by the words uttered at his trial, when he was arrested and charged with causing "disaffection"

He pleaded guilty, because he believed that an Administration which had done such harm was no longer worthy of affection. In his statement, the most noticeable thing is this, that in the course of the two years during which the Non-Co-operation Movement had been in progress the gravamen of his charge against the Administration had shifted from the two wrongs done in the Punjab and towards the Mussalmans to the one indictment of the oppression of the poor.

At one time, in 1921, when the Non-Co-operation Movement was at its height and its success was by no means unlikely, Mr Gandhi declared publicly that if the Administration would honestly take up the "poverty" question and put its heart into the spread of home-spinning and home-weaving, while at the same time bringing to an end the scandalous drink and drugs traffic, he would regard this as a sign of a change of heart. Again and again this was brought to the notice of the administrators, but nothing came of it.

The trial itself was noteworthy, both for the dignity of the prisoner at the bar and also for the noble utterance of the judge who delivered the sentence. Much of

the bitterness at the time was taken away from men's minds owing to the judge's speech. Each day, during the arrest and trial, I was living with the railway workmen who had gone on strike at Tundla. It was impossible for me to attend the trial in the midst of public duties. Mahatma Gandhi wrote to me telling me on his account not to neglect my work, and that he himself was as happy as a bird¹. In his long written instructions to Hakim Ajmal Khan before he was shut up in prison, he referred mainly to his own heart-longing for Hindu-Muslim Unity². After his release in 1924 it was the outbreak of violence between these two religions that caused him the acutest suffering of all, and led to his prolonged fast at Delhi. His words at the Trial were these —

"Before I read this statement, I would like to state that I entirely endorse the learned Advocate-General's remarks in connection with my humble self. It is the most painful duty with me, but I have to discharge that duty knowing the responsibility that rests upon my shoulders, and I wish to endorse all the blame that the learned Advocate-General has thrown on my shoulders in connection with the Bombay, Madras, and Chauri Chaura occurrences. Thinking over these deeply and sleeping over them, night after night, it is impossible for me to dissociate myself from the diabolical crimes of Chauri Chaura, or the mad outrages of Bombay. He is quite right when he says that, as a man of responsibility, a man having received a fair share of education, having had a fair share of experience of this world, I should

¹ See Appendix IX

² See Appendix X.

have known the consequences of every one of my acts I know that I was playing with fire I ran the risk, and if I was set free, I would still do the same. I have felt it this morning, that I would have failed in my duty if I did not say what I said here just now

I wanted to avoid violence; I want to avoid violence [Non-Violence is the first article of my faith It is also the last article of my creed] But I had to make my choice I had either to submit to a system which I considered had done an irreparable harm to my country, or incur the risk of the mad fury of my people bursting forth, when they understood the truth from my lips. I know that my people have sometimes gone mad I am deeply sorry for it, and I am therefore here to submit, not to a light penalty, but to the highest penalty. I do not ask for mercy I do not plead any extenuating act I am here, therefore, to invite and cheerfully submit to the highest penalty that can be inflicted upon me for what in law is a deliberate crime, and what appears to me to be the highest duty of a citizen The only course open to you, the Judge, is, as I am just going to say in my statement, either to resign your post or inflict on me the severest penalty, if you believe that the system and law you are assisting to administer are good for the people I do not expect that kind of conversion, but by the time I have finished with my statement, you will perhaps have a glimpse of what is raging within my breast to run this maddest risk which a sane man can run

I owe it, perhaps, to the Indian public and to the public in England that I should explain why from a stanch Loyalist and Co-operator I have become an uncom-

promising disaffectionist and Non-Co-operator To the Court, too, I should say why I plead guilty to the charge of promoting disaffection towards the Government established by law in India

My public life began in 1893, in South Africa, in troubled weather My first contact with British authority in that country was not of a happy character I discovered that as a man and an Indian I had no rights More correctly, I discovered that I had no rights as a man, because I was an Indian

But I was not baffled I thought that this treatment of Indians was an excrescence upon a system that was intrinsically and mainly good I gave the Government my voluntary and hearty co-operation, criticizing it freely where I felt it was faulty, but never wishing its destruction

Consequently, when the existence of the Empire was threatened in 1899 by the Boer challenge, I offered my services to it, raised a volunteer ambulance corps, and served at several actions that took place for the relief of Ladysmith Similarly in 1906, at the time of the Zulu revolt, I raised a stretcher-bearing party and served till the end of the 'rebellion' On both these occasions I received medals, and was even mentioned in dispatches For my work in South Africa I was given by Lord Hardinge a Kaiser-i-Hind Gold Medal When the War broke out in 1914 between England and Germany, I raised a volunteer ambulance corps in London consisting of the then resident Indians in London, chiefly students Its work was acknowledged by the authorities to be valuable Lastly, in India, when a special appeal was made at the War Conference in Delhi in 1918 by Lord

Chelmsford for recruits, I struggled at the cost of my health to raise a corps in Khaira, and the response was being made when the hostilities ceased and orders were received that no more recruits were wanted. In all these efforts at service I was actuated by the belief that it was possible by such service to gain a status of full equality in the Empire for my countrymen.

The first shock came in the shape of the Rowlatt Act, a law designed to rob the people of all real freedom. I felt called upon to lead an intensive agitation against it. Then followed the Punjab horrors, beginning with the massacre at Jallianwala Bagh and culminating in crawling orders, public floggings, and other indescribable humiliations. I discovered, too, that the plighted word of the Prime Minister to the Mussalmans of India, regarding the integrity of Turkey and the holy places of Islam, was not likely to be fulfilled. But in spite of forebodings and the grave warnings of friends, at the Amritsar Congress in 1919 I fought for Co-operation and for working the Montagu-Chelmsford reforms, hoping that the Prime Minister would redeem his promise to the Indian Mussalmans, that the Punjab wound would be healed, and that the reforms, inadequate and unsatisfactory though they were, marked a new era of hope in the life of India.

But all that hope was shattered. The Khilafat promise was not to be redeemed. The Punjab crime was whitewashed, and most of the culprits went, not only unpunished, but remained in service, continued to draw pensions from the Indian revenues, and in some cases were even rewarded. I saw, too, that not only did the reforms not mark a change of heart, but they were only

a method of further draining India of her wealth and of prolonging her servitude.

I came reluctantly to the conclusion that the British connection had made India more helpless than she ever was before, politically and economically. A disarmed India has no power of resistance against any aggressor if she wanted to engage in an armed conflict with him. So much is this the case that some of our best men consider that India must take generations before she can achieve the Dominion status. She has become so poor that she has little power of resisting famines.

Before the British advent, India spun and wove, in her millions of cottages, just the supplement she needed for adding to her meagre agricultural resources. This cottage industry, so vital for India's existence, has been ruined by incredibly heartless and inhuman processes, as described by English witnesses.

Little do town-dwellers know how the semi-starved masses of India are slowly sinking to lifelessness. Little do they know that their miserable comfort represents the brokerage they get for the work they do for the foreign exploiter, that the profits and the brokerage are sucked from the masses. Little do they realize that the Government established by law in British India is carried on for this exploitation of the masses. No sophistry, no jugglery in figures, can explain away the evidence that the skeletons in many villages present to the naked eye. I have no doubt whatsoever that both England and the town-dwellers of India will have to answer, if there is a God above, for this crime against humanity, which is perhaps unequalled in history.

The law itself in this country has been used to serve

the foreign exploiter My unbiased examination of the Punjab Martial Law cases has led me to believe that at least 95 per cent of convictions were wholly bad My experience of political cases in India leads me to the conclusion that in nine out of every ten the condemned men were totally innocent Their crime consisted in their love of their country In ninety-nine cases out of a hundred, justice has been denied to Indians as against Europeans in the Courts of India.

This is not an exaggerated picture. It is the experience of almost every Indian who has had anything to do with such cases In my opinion, the administration of the law is thus prostituted, consciously or unconsciously, for the benefit of the exploiter.

The greater misfortune is that the Englishmen and their Indian associates in the administration of the country do not know that they are engaged in the crime I have attempted to describe. I am satisfied that many Englishmen and Indian officials honestly believe that they are administering one of the best systems devised in the world, and that India is making steady, though slow, progress They do not know that a subtle but effective system of terrorism, together with an organized display of force on the one hand, and the deprivation of all powers of retaliation or self-defence on the other, have emasculated the people and induced in them the habit of simulation This awful habit has added to the ignorance and the self-deception of the administrators

Section 124, A, under which I am happily charged, is perhaps the prince among the political sections of the Indian Penal Code designed to suppress the liberty of

the citizen Affection cannot be manufactured or regulated by law If one has no affection for a person or system, one should be free to give the fullest expression to his disaffection, so long as he does not contemplate, promote, or incite to violence But the section under which Mr Banker and I are charged is one under which mere promotion of disaffection is a crime. I have studied some of the cases tried under it, and I know that some of the most loved of India's patriots have been convicted under it I consider it a privilege, therefore, to be charged under that section

I have endeavoured to give in their briefest outline the reasons for my disaffection I have no personal ill will against any single administrator, much less can I have any disaffection towards the King's person But I hold it to be a virtue to be disaffected towards a Government which in its totality has done more harm to India than any previous system India is less manly under the British rule than she ever was before Holding such a belief, I consider it to be a sin to have affection for the system And it has been a precious privilege for me to be able to write what I have in various articles tendered in evidence against me

In fact, I believe that I have rendered a service to India and England by showing in Non-Co-operation the way out of the unnatural state in which both are living In my humble opinion, Non-Co-operation with evil is as much a duty as is Co-operation with good But in the past, Non-Co-operation has been deliberately expressed in violence to the evil-doer I am endeavouring to show to my countrymen that violent Non-Co-operation only multiplies evil, and that as evil can only be sustained by

violence, withdrawal of support of evil requires complete abstention from violence

"Non-Violence implies voluntary submission to the penalty for Non-Co-operation with evil. I am here, therefore, to invite and submit cheerfully to the highest penalty that can be inflicted upon me for what in law is a deliberate crime, and what appears to me to be the highest duty of a citizen. The only course open to you, the Judge, is either to resign your post and thus dissociate yourself from evil, if you feel that the law you are called upon to administer is an evil, and that in reality I am innocent, or to inflict on me the severest penalty, if you believe that the system and the law you are assisting to administer are good for the people of this country, and that my activity is therefore injurious to the common weal."

The Judge then gave his full judgment as follows —

Mr Gandhi, you have made my task easy in one way by pleading guilty to the charge. Nevertheless, what remains, namely, the determination of a just sentence, is perhaps as difficult a proposition as a judge in this country could have to face. The law is no respecter of persons. Nevertheless, it will be impossible to ignore the fact that you are in a different category from any person I have ever tried or am likely to have to try. It would be impossible to ignore the fact that, in the eyes of millions of your countrymen, you are a great patriot and a great leader. Even those who differ from you in politics look upon you as a man of high ideals and of noble and of even saintly life.

I have to deal with you in one character only. It is not my duty and I do not presume to judge or criticize you in any other character. It is my duty to judge you as a man subject to the law, who by his own admission has broken the law and committed what to an ordinary man must be a grave offence against the State. I do not forget that you have constantly preached against violence and that you

TRIAL AND IMPRISONMENT

have on many occasions, as I am willing to believe, done much to prevent violence. But having regard to the nature of your political teaching, and the nature of many of those to whom it was addressed, how you could have continued to believe that violence would not be the inevitable consequence it passes my capacity to understand.

There are probably few people in India who do not sincerely regret that you should have made it impossible for any Government to leave you at liberty. But if is so I am trying to balance what is due to you against what appears to me to be necessary to the interest of the public, and I propose in passing sentence to follow the precedent of a case in many respects similar to this case that was decided some twelve years ago, I mean the case against Bal Gangadhar Tilak under the same section. The sentence that was passed upon him as it finally stood was a sentence of simple imprisonment for six years. You will not consider it unreasonable, I think, that you should be classed with Mr. Tilak, i.e. a sentence of two years' simple imprisonment on each count of the charge, six years in all, which I feel it my duty to pass upon you. And I should like to say in doing so that if the course of events in India should make it possible for the Government to reduce the period and release you, no one will be better pleased than I.

Mr. Gandhi said in reply.—

"I would say one word. Since you have done me the honour of recalling the trial of the late Lokamanya Bal Gangadhar Tilak, I just want to say that I consider it to be the proudest privilege and honour to be associated with his name. So far as the sentence itself is concerned, I certainly consider that it is as light as any judge would inflict on me, and so far as the whole proceedings are concerned, I must say that I could not have expected greater courtesy."

It may be well to give some notes from my own personal experience before closing the chapter. Mahatma Gandhi, since his release from his imprisonment, has won the

heart of "every Englishman in India", and, I would add, of every Englishwoman also, by his supreme honesty and goodness. He has also won them by his good-humour, which comes out almost unconsciously in everything he writes. When he groans, for instance, beneath the weary weight of his "Mahatmaship", and when he tries in vain to forbid the unruly crowd of devotees touching his feet, or waking him up in the middle of the night to have a *darshan*,¹ he wins the sympathy at once of every English layman. Again, in his absolutely fearless utterances against child-marriage, child-widowhood, and untouchability, he gains their whole-hearted respect Still further is this the case when, after receiving a laudatory illuminated address from the aldermen of some municipal corporation, he himself suggests in reply that they might go with him at once and spend the rest of the morning visiting the municipal latrines, and inspecting the dwellings of the municipal "untouchable" staff His passion for sanitation and cleanliness, going down to the smallest practical details, also wins him high approval. It is noteworthy that even Miss Mayo, in her book *Mother India*, which Mr Gandhi humorously called a "drain inspector's report", has very little to bring against him.

To turn to more general subjects, it is his usual custom on no account to refuse any request made to him by the missionaries, or officials, or English merchants, to meet them personally in order to expound his views Such requests are now very frequent when he goes out on a tour, and his utter friendliness and courtesy break down every reserve He is the most perfect host to those

¹ A religious gaze, which is supposed to be propitious

who visit him in his own Ashram, himself attending to them night and morning He is also an admirable guest, whenever he accepts an invitation to visit others in his turn Every missionary's heart in India is drawn towards him, and he has some of his own dearest English friends among them. Above all, everywhere and on every occasion, little children are his favourite companions At Sabarmati he never starts out walking without his own Ashram children going with him, holding his hands, and talking and laughing all the way They are always a merry party In every house he enters, it is the same thing The children find him out at once, and never wish to leave him. He has shown, as few have done, that a saint can be absurdly human and amazingly practical in common life He has absolutely no consciousness whatever of any dignity or prestige The poorest and the lowliest are naturally at ease with him on all occasions, and the "untouchables" everywhere immediately claim him as their own.

One incident that Mahatma Gandhi tells in his *Autobiography* may well close this chapter. It refers to the time when he was well known throughout the British Army at the Front, during the Boer War, on account of his intrepidity in rescuing the wounded and his untiring self-sacrifice. He was coming with his Indian stretcher corps towards Chieveley Camp, where Lieutenant Roberts, the son of Lord Roberts, had been carried with a mortal wound Mr Gandhi's stretcher corps had brought the wounded officer from the field of action He writes as follows.—

"It was a sultry day, and everyone was thirsting for

MAHATMA GANDHI'S IDEAS

water. There was a tiny brook on the way where we could slake our thirst. But who was to drink first? We had proposed to come in after the British soldiers had finished. But they would not begin first, and urged us to do so, and for a while this kindly competition went on for giving precedence to one another."

CHAPTER XVIII

THE FAST AT DELHI

OF ALL THE ACTS in Mahatma Gandhi's eventful life perhaps the most revealing is the "Great Fast" at Delhi, for this is the name that has been often given to it by Indians themselves

The fast began towards the end of September 1924, and lasted for twenty-one days. It was undertaken at a time when he had only just recovered from a very serious illness and operation. With remarkable foresight, he had laid all stress in his letter to Hakim Ajmal Khan, just before his imprisonment, on Hindu-Muslim Unity.¹ It was in order to uphold and sustain this unity that he undertook this fast.

For during the years 1922 to 1924 the storm-clouds gathered thick on the horizon, and the hurricane broke at last in all its fury of communal religious dissension during the summer of 1924. Acts of violence were committed almost every day, which showed that the masses of illiterate Hindus and Mussalmans had started irrational and fanatical disputes.

During the whole time of Mahatma Gandhi's convalescence at Juhu, after his operation, it was my own great privilege to be with him.² His mind was bent on getting well enough to go to the north, where the trouble was most rapidly increasing, in order to relieve the tension before it became too late to do so.

But when at last he was able to go to the spot, the worst had already happened. In one place after another

¹ See Appendix X

² See Appendix XI

serious riots, accompanied with bloodshed, had broken out, and the most painful and humiliating news of all had come from Kohat, on the north-west frontier.

It was at this time, shortly after reaching Delhi, that the current of events seemed to have passed altogether beyond human control. The conflagration had been kindled, and the fires of religious hate were burning fiercely. Mahatma Gandhi spent night and day in sleepless watch and prayer, asking for Divine aid. At last he felt that he had received from God the clear guidance through the darkness that enabled him to go forward.

He determined, in spite of his weakness after very serious illness, to undertake a twenty-one days' fast as an act of penance on behalf of the sins and infirmities of his own people. He stated, in the letter which he published, that the fast was a matter between himself and God, for his own self-purification. Nevertheless, it could not possibly be kept from the public, and the simple news of it at once brought to an end the fatal riots which had been so frequent before. It also roused, as nothing else had done, the National leaders to deal with this one problem as a matter of life and death for India as a nation.

On the second day of the fast, when I reached Delhi from Santiniketan, Mahatma Gandhi told me personally the whole story of the origin of the fast as I have tried to explain it above. He declared fully to me that the mental agony which he had suffered, before the decision came about the fast, had been no longer bearable. The relief, on the other hand, after the light had broken in upon his mind, in the midst of prayer, had cleared away all doubts and hesitation, and he had received the assur-

THE FAST AT DELHI

ance that the duty which had been given him to perform had come from God

In answer to my earnest and anxious inquiries as to whether he intended to go on, even if the strain became too intense for his frail body to bear, he replied that his faith in God was so firmly rooted that he knew this would never happen If he found that no further endurance was physically possible, he would agree to take food With that promise we were obliged to be content

On the twelfth day the crisis came Both the doctors considered that his life was in immediate danger, and pressed him to take food. I was asked to accompany them, in order to persuade him to do so It was his day of silence, and he wrote on a slate his reply to our anxious entreaty in these words "Have faith in God" When we pressed him still further, he wrote again "You have forgotten the power of prayer" We remained intensely anxious all that night, which was a night of storm and thunder at the close of the monsoon But the next morning he showed no more signs of weakening, and he remained bright and radiant to the end, when the twenty-one days were completed. It is true that others have fasted for a longer period than this, but rarely has such a thing been done with a body so weak and emaciated with illness as his had been before the fast began

He allowed me to edit his own weekly paper, *Young India*, during the whole of this period The extracts which I am taking for this chapter are somewhat scattered, but together they may give to the Western reader something of the scene with its remarkable associations Written as they were in the time of crisis itself, they

carry with them their own atmosphere of vivid sentiment and emotion

In the first place, Mr. Gandhi thus described his own attitude towards prayer and his reasons for keeping the fast —

"No act of mine is done without prayer Man is a fallible being He can never be sure of his steps What he may regard as answer to prayer may be an echo of his pride. For infallible guidance man has to have a perfectly innocent heart, incapable of evil. I can lay no such claim Mine is a struggling, striving, erring, imperfect soul. But I can rise only by experimenting upon myself and others I believe in the absolute oneness of God, and therefore also of humanity. What though we have bodies? We have but one soul The rays of the sun are many through refraction But they have the same source. I cannot, therefore, detach myself from the wickedest soul, nor may I be denied identity with the most virtuous Whether, therefore, I will or not, I must involve in my own experiment the whole of my kind Nor can I do without experiment. Life is but an endless series of experiments.

I knew that Non-Co-operation was a dangerous experiment. Non-Co-operation in itself is unnatural, vicious, and sinful. But Non-Violent Non-Co-operation, I am convinced, is a sacred duty at times I have proved it in many cases But there was every possibility of mistake in its application to large masses But desperate diseases call for desperate remedies Non-Violent Non-Co-operation was the only alternative to anarchy and worse Since it was to be Non-Violent, I had to put my life in the scales

THE FAST AT DELHI

The fact that Hindus and Mussalmans, who were only two years ago apparently working together as friends, are now fighting like cats and dogs in some places, shows conclusively that the Non-Co-operation they offered was not Non-Violent I saw the symptoms in Bombay, Chauri Chaura, and in a host of minor cases I did penance then; it had its effect But this Hindu-Muslim tension was unthinkable It became unbearable on hearing of the Kohat tragedy On the eve of my departure from Sabarmati for Delhi, Sarojini Devi¹ wrote to me that speeches and homilies on peace would not do I must find out an effective remedy. She was right in saddling the responsibility upon me. Had I not been instrumental in bringing into being the vast energy of the people? I must find the remedy if the energy proved self-destructive I wrote to say that I should find it only by plodding Empty prayer is as sounding brass or a tinkling cymbal I little knew then that the remedy was to be this prolonged fast And yet I know that the fast is not prolonged enough for quenching the agony of my soul Have I erred, have I been impatient, have I compromised with evil? I may have done all these things or none of them All I know is what I see before me If real Non-Violence and Truth had been practised by the people who are now fighting, the gory duelling that is now going on would have been impossible My responsibility is clearly somewhere

I was violently shaken by the riots at Amethi, Sambhar, and Gulbarga. I had read the reports about Amethi and Sambhar prepared by Hindu and Mussalman friends I had learnt the joint findings of Hindu and Mussalman

¹ The poetess, Sarojini Naidu

friends who went to Gulbarga I was writhing in deep pain, and yet I had no remedy. The news of Kohat set the smouldering mass aflame. Something had got to be done. I passed two nights in restlessness and pain. On Wednesday I knew the remedy—I must do penance. In the Ashram at the time of morning prayer we ask Shiva, God of Mercy, to forgive our sins knowingly or unknowingly committed. My penance is the prayer of a bleeding heart for forgiveness for sins unwittingly committed.

It is a warning to the Hindus and Mussalmans who have professed to love me. If they have loved me truly, and if I have been deserving of their love, they will do penance with me for the grave sin of denying God in their hearts. [To revile one another's religion, to make reckless statements, to utter untruth, to break the heads of innocent men, to desecrate temples or mosques, is a denial of God.] The world is watching—some with glee and some with sorrow—the dog-fight that is proceeding in our midst. We have listened to Satan Religion—call it by what name you like—is made of sterner stuff. [The penance of Hindus and Mussalmans is not fasting but retracing their steps. It is true penance for a Mussalman to harbour no ill for his Hindu brother, and an equally true penance for a Hindu to harbour none for his Mussalman brother.]

I ask of no Hindu or Mussalman to surrender an iota of his religious principle. Only let him be sure that it is religion. But I do ask of every Hindu and Mussalman not to fight for an earthly gain. I should be deeply hurt if my fast made either community surrender on a matter of principle. My fast is a matter between God and myself."

THE FAST AT DELHI

During the fast itself I copied out for my own satisfaction the Gujarati hymns which seemed to give him most joy at such a time of spiritual strain combined with bodily weakness. These hymns are sung by simple village peasants in his own province. They are well known among the poor. The following translations will give some idea of their religious devotional setting.—

I

The way of the Lord is for heroes, it is not meant for cowards
Offer first your life and your all, then take the name of the Lord

He only tastes of the Divine Cup who gives up his son, his wife, his wealth, and his own life

For verily he who seeks for pearls must dive to the bottom of the sea, endangering his very existence

Death he regards as naught, he forgets all the miseries of mind and body

He who stands on the shore, fearing to take the plunge, attains naught

The pathway of love is the ordeal of fire. The shrinkers turn away from it

Those who take the plunge into the fire attain eternal bliss

Those who stand afar off, looking on, are scorched by the flames

Love is a priceless thing, only to be won at the cost of death

Those who live to die, these attain, for they have shed all thoughts of self

Those heroic souls who are rapt in the love of the Lord, they are the true lovers

II

So long as the truth is not known by thee all thy austerities are of no avail, even as untimely showers that serve no purpose

Of what avail are ablution and ceremonial and almsgiving?

M A H A T M A G A N D H I ' S I D E A S

Of what avail are the Sadhu's equipments—his ashes smeared all over his body and his matted locks?

Of what avail are penances and pilgrimages, the counting of beads, the mark on the forehead, the drinking of Ganges water?

Of what avail are the knowledge of the Vedas, the grammarian's rules, and all the arts? Of what avail are philosophic erudition and a knowledge of letters?

All these things are devices which merely satisfy the outer man
So long as the truth is not known by thee thy life is fruitlessly thrown away, says Narasinha

III

He is my captive, I have purchased Him Oh! I have purchased Him!

Some say He is too light, others say He is too heavy I have weighed Him well, and know that I have full measure

Some say He is too cheap, others say He is too dear Some say He is priceless Oh! I have paid my full price

I paid my full price on the streets of Brindaban whilst He was at play with Radha

No one knows how I have secured Him He knows For, says Mira, He has only kept the pledge He gave me in my previous birth

He alone is mine, naught else I have left my father and mother, my kith and kin, in company with Sadhus have I lost all sense of shame, for He alone is mine

I fled and sought refuge with the saints and wept to see the world I wept tears of love, and watered the Tree of Immortality Now He alone is mine

On the way I met two good men, who alike had gone mad after Him I kept them over my head Him I kept in my heart Now He alone is mine

I went to the root of things, and found nothing but Him alone Now He alone is mine

The news is abroad Everyone knows that Mira is His bond-slave and He is her Lord What was destined has come to pass Now He alone is mine.

IV

God is the helper of the helpless and the strength of the weak
He stood by the side of the saints in their hour of trial

So long as the Lord of Elephants trusted in his own strength he
was defeated

The moment he forgot his own strength, and in his weakness
called upon the Lord, God was at hand to help him—even before
His name was half-uttered

Draupadi in her helplessness called upon the Lord

Dushasana was worsted in his effort to unclothe her, for the
Lord became her clothing Try as one may the power of asceticism,
or physical or temporal might, a man is bound to fail

Verily the strength of the defeated is the name of the Lord

V

 Lord, forbid it that I should cast my eyes on things that bring
evil thoughts Far better that I were blind

Lord, forbid it that I should foul my lips with any words stained
with filth Far better that they were sealed

Lord, forbid it that I should hear any word of injury to another,
or listen to a word of contempt Far better that I were deaf

Lord, forbid it that I should look with lust on those who should
be sisters to me Far better that I were dead

Lord, let Tuka flee from all this world of sense to find eternal
peace in Thee

VI

 It is devotion to the Lord that makes the world dear

Not to be found in Paradise, the saints who went there covet to
be born again on this earth that they may fulfil their devotion to
the Lord

God's men seek not freedom from birth and death, they ask to
be born again and again, that they may serve and pray and praise
and see the Lord face to face

The house where Mahatma Gandhi was resting was outside the city, at the foot of that famous Ridge which was used by the attacking forces during the Siege of Delhi. The room where he lay faced this Ridge, and there was a balcony on its southern side where he was carried each day into the sunshine, when the weather was propitious. The side of the Ridge on which the house was situated had become a part of the club-life of Delhi, for a famous golf course formed the great attraction. The Pillar of Asoka, with its beautiful edict of toleration engraven on stone standing out against the sky, was ever present to our eyes. It reminded us how 2,500 years ago, owing to the Buddhist teaching, mankind had been more tolerant in religion, and more humane to man and beast, than they had become in modern times. The edict itself was often quoted during the Unity Conference which was being held in sympathy with Mahatma Gandhi's own longings for peace and good will between Hindus and Mussalmans. The Metropolitan Bishop of Calcutta, Dr Foss Westcott, had laid aside every other duty in order to be present at this Conference, and his visit had been a benediction.

In order to picture the scene as it passed before our eyes day by day during those deeply painful hours of watching and waiting, I have ventured to quote the editorial written towards the end of the fast. Owing to the absorbing interest of everything in those days that had to do with the fast it was very widely quoted at the time. It was as follows —

At the foot of the Ridge at Delhi, on the farther side away from the city, is a house called *Dil-khush*, or Heart's Joy, where Mahatma Gandhi had been keeping his fast. Above the house stands

out the historic Ridge itself with its crumbling ruins telling of many battles in days gone by A "Mutiny Memorial" stands at its highest point

From the terrace on the upper story of Dil-khush there can be seen ruined buttresses and walls, and not far away from them Asoka's Pillar points its finger to the sky In the darkness of the night these landmarks stand out in the starlight and against the moon Between the Ridge and the house below, where Mahatma Gandhi lies in silence day by day, suffering and exhausted, lines of motor-cars in the Delhi season block the road each afternoon, while the golfers play their rounds of golf

Mahatma Gandhi had called me to the terrace one afternoon Some musicians had come, and he wished me to hear the music It was one of his worst days, his weakness was extreme A boy was singing softly at the far end of the terrace As I passed in order to sit down and listen to the music, I could not but take note how drawn the face of the sufferer was with pain The sight renewed my anxiety, and at first I hardly listened to the music The sun was setting in the west, and shafts of light were pouring from it, piercing the open glades where the golfers were busily playing their rounds of golf The rocks and ruins on the hill-top were flushed with crimson and gold

At last the beauty of the sky arrested me and soothed my inner fears, and then, as I looked towards the Ridge, there appeared to come before my imagination the whole story of the past That Pillar, with its edict of toleration and non-violence, brought to my mind the Buddhist Age and the saintly King Asoka The people of the land in those days were kindly and tolerant towards man and beast It was an age of peace

But those fortress ruins with the Mutiny Memorial told me of another chapter in human history, filled with bloodshed and bitter strife On that evening the sun was setting peacefully in the west, but all through the previous night the Ridge had been lashed by rain and tempest, and the winds had fiercely raged The thunder had rolled along its sides and echoed in its rocks and hollows, and the jagged lightning had played against its summit Even so, in Indian history the calm beauty of those peaceful days of King

Asoka had been followed by the storm-swept days of war. Last of all, in the Mutiny the Ridge had been stained with human blood and scarred by shot and shell.

Below the summit of the Ridge, in the open spaces where the modern golf links had been made, I watched the golfers come and go. The clubs were swung and the balls were hit, muscular men and women marched forward, while little boys carried their golf clubs behind. Physical activity was there in every limb—physical and temporal power.

Instinctively my gaze turned back to the frail, wasted, tortured spirit on the terrace by my side, bearing the sins and sorrows of his people. With a rush of emotion there came to memory the passage from the Book of Lamentations—"Is it nothing to you, all ye that pass by? Behold and see, if there is any sorrow like unto my sorrow." And in that hour of vision I knew more deeply, in my own personal life, the meaning of the Cross.

The last scene of all was full of deepest interest and moment in every detail. Here again I will quote the editorial which I wrote at the end of the fast, describing in order what happened —

In the evening of the day before the fast was broken Mahatma Gandhi was wonderfully bright and cheerful. Many of his most intimate friends came to see him as he lay upon his bed on the open roof of the house, which was flooded by the moonlight. It was only four days before the full moon.

The time came for evening prayers. As usual he called everyone who was in the house, including the Congress volunteers in attendance, to join him in the evening worship. The passage from the Bhagavad Gita, which is recited every night at Sabarmati Ashram, was said in unison. It tells about the complete conquest of the soul over the body's senses and appetites. At its close it speaks of the blessed peace in the heart of the one who conquers. As I looked at that bright face before me I could well understand the meaning of the words that were being recited.

After the Gita one of Kabir's hymns was sung by Balkrishna.

THE FAST AT DELHI

Later on the same evening I asked for a translation, and I was told that Kabir in his hymn sings as a penitent to God, calling himself the chief of sinners In God alone is his refuge From experience I had learnt that hymns in this mood gave him most pleasure of all during his penance and fast A very wonderful exposition of the Katha Upanishad followed by Vinoba, then a long silence The friends parted one by one and he was left alone Before four o'clock in the morning of the next day we were called for the morning prayers There was no moon and it was very dark A chill breeze was blowing from the east The morning star was shining in a clear open sky above the Ridge The phantom shapes of trees that rustled in the wind could be seen from the open room where we were all seated He was wrapped warm in a dark shawl, and I asked him whether he had slept well He replied, "Yes, very well indeed!" It was a happiness to notice at once that his voice was stronger than the morning before, instead of weaker It would be difficult to describe the emotion of that silence which followed on this last day of the long fast, as we sat there waiting for all the household to assemble We were all remembering that the final day had come All the windows of the room where he was resting were open, and I sat gazing now at the figure reclining darkly upon the bed and now out at the stars

The hymn that was sung at this special morning worship was one that was a great favourite with Mahatmaji It is in Gujarati What it says is this "The way to God is only meant for heroes it is not meant for cowards There must be self-abandonment to the full Only those who are ready to give up all for His sake can attain As the diver dives down into the sea for pearls, even so heroic souls dive deep in their search for God"

After the prayers the early morning hours passed very quietly indeed, but before eight o'clock a very large number of visitors had begun to arrive Some went away again after being allowed to see him, others stayed on, waiting till the fast was broken At about 10 a.m. he called for me and said "Can you remember the words of my favourite Christian hymn?" I said "Yes, shall I sing it to you now?"

"Not now," he answered, "but I have in my mind that when I break my fast we might have a little ceremony, expressing religious unity I should like the Imam Sahib to recite the opening verses of the Quran Then I would like you to sing the Christian hymn, you know the one I mean It begins,

'When I survey the wondrous Cross
On which the Prince of Glory died'—

and it ends,

'Love so amazing, so divine,
Demands my soul, my life, my all'

And then, last of all, I should like Vinoba to recite from the Upanishads, and Balkrishna to sing the Vaishnava hymn describing the true Vaishnava"

When I had gone downstairs I told Krishnadas about the arrangements He was very ill that day and I knew that it would give him great happiness to be able to keep the ceremony in spirit with us, though he could not be there in body before noon All the leaders and friends had assembled The ladies also were present who had loved to do him service As the time drew near I went upstairs again, and he asked me to see to it personally that every one should be allowed to be present, including the servants of the house Before this, quite early in the day, I had brought up the sweeper to see him, who had been serving us very faithfully, and he had spoken to him some very kindly words and had given him a smile of gratitude for the services he had rendered

Now, at last, the midday hour had come and the fast was to be broken The doctors were called first by themselves, and he gave them the most touching words of thanks for all their love and devotion to him Hakim Ajmal Khan was called, who had also cheered and helped him through his fast as a doctor and friend Maulana Mahomed Ali, his most tender and loving host, followed, and without any further order all went quietly into his room and greeted him with affection and sat down The ladies who were present sat near the bedside Swami Shraddhananda sat at the foot of the bed with his eyes closed in prayer Pandit Motilal Nehru, Deshbandhu Chittaranjan Das, Maulana Abul Kalam Azad, the

All Brothers, were all seated together near the bed with many others

The Imam Sahib, who had been one of his closest companions in South Africa and at Sabarmati Ashram, recited the wonderful Arabic opening words of the Quran, chanting its majestic language, which tells of God the Compassionate and Merciful, the Creator and Sustainer of the universe and the Helper of mankind. It ends with the prayer for His help to be guided in the path of righteousness and not in the way of sinners. After this, as had been arranged, the Christian hymn was sung. I quote the last two verses

"See from His head, His hands, His feet,
Sorrow and love flow mingling down,
Did e'er such love and sorrow meet
Or thorns compose so rich a crown?"

Were the whole realm of Nature mine
That were an offering far too small,
Love so amazing, so divine,
Demands my soul, my life, my all "

Then followed some very beautiful passages from the Upanishads, which were recited by Vinoba. Three of the slokas may be translated thus —

"Those alone can realize the Divine Light within who have purified themselves through the constant practice of truth, self-discipline, meditation, and continence

By ceaseless pursuit of truth the Rishi, of old attained their goal, even the supreme Truth

Let not my words belie my thoughts, nor my thoughts belie my words Let the Divine Light always shine before me Let not my knowledge fail me I shall always say what is right and speak the truth "

After the "Om, Shanti, Shanti" had been uttered with the deepest reverence, Balkrishna began to sing. He sang the song of the true Vaishnava "He is the true Vaishnava who knows and feels another's woes as his own. Ever ready to serve, he never

MAHATMA GANDHI'S IDEAS

boasts He bows to everyone and despises no one, keeping his thought, word, and deed pure Blessed is the mother of such an one He reverences every woman as his mother He keeps an equal mind and does not stain his lips with falsehood, nor does he touch another's wealth No bonds of attachment can hold him Ever in tune with Ramanama,¹ his body possesses in itself all places of pilgrimage Free from greed and deceit, passion and anger, this is the true Vaishnava ”

It was strangely beautiful to think, almost aloud, as each of these passages was uttered, how appropriate they were, how the ideal had been so nearly reached, along the hard pathway of suffering, by the one who was lying there about to break his fast Everyone felt their appropriateness and hearts were drawn together

Before the actual breaking of the fast Mahatma Gandhi turned to his friends He spoke to them, and as he spoke his emotion was so deep that in his bodily weakness his voice could hardly be heard except by those who were nearest of all to him He told them how for thirty years Hindu-Muslim unity had been his chief concern, and he had not yet succeeded in achieving it He did not know what was the will of God, but on this day he would beseech them to promise to lay down their lives if necessary for the cause. The Hindus must be able to offer their worship with perfect freedom in their temples, and the Mussalmans be able to say their prayers with perfect freedom in their mosques If this elementary freedom of worship could not everywhere be secured, then neither Hinduism nor Islam had any meaning

Hakim Ajmal Khan and Maulana Abul Kalam Azad renewed their solemn pledge and promise on behalf of the Mussalman community

Then Dr Ansari brought forward some orange-juice and Mahatma Gandhi drank it So the fast was broken The joy and thankfulness of those who were present cannot adequately be described Throughout it all, as congratulations poured in upon him, he lay there unmoved, quietly resting Soon the room was left empty Mahatma Gandhi remained in silence, and the great strain of the breaking of the fast was over

¹ The name of the Divine King

For the time being, owing to his vicarious suffering, a pause came in the rioting between Hindus and Mussalmans, and the relief experienced all over India was very great. It seemed at first as if the end had been obtained for which he had fasted and prayed. Indeed, it may be truly stated that from this moment onward the violence of the storm of mutual distrust and bitterness had become abated. But evils that are centuries old cannot altogether be overcome by a single act. It is not surprising, therefore, that the thunder-clouds of passion rolled back again, and after a little more than a year the rioting broke out afresh. Those in that upper room at the conclusion of the fast, who had pledged themselves to give up even life itself if the call came, have in the main kept their word. Hakim Ajmal Khan and Swami Shraddhananda, who were present in the room and took the pledge, have already, each in his own way, answered the call for sacrifice which Mahatma Gandhi made. Others who are still living have made sacrifices almost equally great. The problem of Hindu-Muslim Unity has thus been carried a long way farther towards solution by Mahatma Gandhi's heroic act of faith.

CHAPTER XIX

THE WOMEN'S MOVEMENT IN INDIA

AMONG ALL THE different interests which occupy Mahatma Gandhi's attention in India, very few can be compared with his earnest support of the Indian Women's Movement. He holds absolutely the view that men and women are equal, and he has never swerved for a moment in any direction with regard to this fundamental principle of equality between the sexes. When I have been working among the villagers both in India and also in other parts of the world where Indians have settled, it has been easy for me to outline the main programme of Mahatma Gandhi with regard to reform in India by holding up my hand with its five fingers and one single wrist uniting them, and explaining the five points in his own Indian programme with its own central unity as follows. First of all there comes the removal of "untouchability". Secondly, he emphasizes the need for complete prohibition of alcohol and drugs if India is to be free and self-respecting. Thirdly, at the centre of the hand I point to the principle of equality between man and woman as both fundamental and central to Mahatma Gandhi's view of life. The two other aspects are Hindu-Muslim unity and home-spinning in the villages. The uniting factor which binds this five-point programme is the ultimate principle of Ahimsa or Non-Violence, which Mahatma Gandhi regards as the absolute necessity for any united attempt at moral and spiritual progress as a nation. This doctrine of Ahimsa represents, as it were, the wrist which holds the five fingers of the hand together.

In this chapter I propose to give some of Mahatma Gandhi's most distinctive utterances with regard to the rights of women in India. If there is a disproportion in what follows with regard to the evils which have come to India in the towns through the results of immorality, the reason for this is that Mahatma Gandhi more than anyone else in modern times has been the one heroic and chivalrous personality who, like Josephine Butler in England, has dwelt fearlessly and directly with this painful and difficult subject. It must not be thought that this social evil is more widespread in India than in other countries. Probably it is far less wide in actual extent because the main population of India, numbering 90 per cent in all, is a village population where this social evil has hardly yet penetrated. The towns of India, numbering only 10 per cent of the population, have, it is true, this form of immorality accentuated, but modern India through its greatest leaders is determined to deal directly with it, and the social life of India itself, if the present moral enthusiasm continues, is likely to witness a great advance in social and moral hygiene. Nevertheless, the actual condition of such great modern cities as Calcutta and Bombay is a blot on civilization in this respect, and the evil there has gone so deep that it will require the greatest courage and spiritual strength to deal with it effectively.

Recently, in books which have been written by writers from the West, the picture of Indian womanhood as it exists to-day has sometimes been grossly exaggerated. The fact has been overlooked that womanhood necessarily in a tropical country begins three or four years earlier than in a cooler climate. This vital human factor must

always be taken into account when considering statistics concerning early marriage and child-birth in India At the same time no one has made a sterner protest against child marriage and child widowhood than Mahatma Gandhi himself, and some of the passages which follow will give his own opinions on the subject

At the present time one of the subjects which is most closely occupying his attention is the Purdah system Here from the very first he has been in favour of the complete abolition of Purdah at the earliest possible moment He has spoken about its evil effects with unsparing denunciation, and he stands out in Modern India as the champion of free and equal womanhood.

In his own Ashram he has practised in every way that which he has preached in public Women there at Sabarmati have equal rights in every respect with men There is no observance of any Purdah There is no child marriage They vote on all important matters along with the men of the Ashram Co-education is practised from first to last in the school, and the children are brought up together as brothers and sisters It would be difficult to find in the East a freer and a fuller life for young girls than that which can be seen and witnessed every day at Sabarmati It needs to be added that in all that I have related concerning these things Mahatma Gandhi and the poet Tagore are absolutely one in holding the same principle of free and equal womanhood in India The following passages may be taken as typical of Mahatma Gandhi's dealing with this central subject He writes as follows —

"Shrimati Saraladevi of Katak writes 'Don't you admit

that the treatment of women in India is as bad a disease as untouchability itself?

I am unable to subscribe that the treatment of women is a 'disease as bad as untouchability' Shrimati Saraladevi has grossly exaggerated the evil A cause can only lose by exaggeration At the same time I have no difficulty about subscribing to the proposition that in order to fit ourselves for true Swaraj men must cultivate much greater respect than they have for woman and her purity Mr Andrews has struck a much truer note when he tells us in burning language that we dare not gloat over the shame of our fallen sisters

All of us men must hang our heads in shame so long as there is a single woman whom we dedicate to our passion I would far rather see the race of man extinct than that we should become less than beasts by making the noblest of God's creation the object of our lust But this is not a problem merely for India, it is a world problem And if I preach against the modern artificial life of sensual enjoyment, and ask men and women to go back to the simple life epitomized in the spinning-wheel, I do so because I know that, without an intelligent return to simplicity, there is no escape from our descent to a state lower than brutality

I passionately desire the utmost freedom for our women I detest child marriages I shudder to see a child-widow, and shiver with rage when a husband, just widowed, with brutal indifference contracts another marriage. I deplore the criminal indifference of parents who keep their daughters utterly ignorant and illiterate, and bring them up only for the purpose of marrying them off to some young man of means

Notwithstanding all this grief and rage I realize the difficulty of the problem. Women must have votes and an equal legal status. But the problem does not end there, it only commences at the point where women begin to affect the political deliberations of the nation.

To illustrate what I mean, let me relate the description a valued Mussalman friend gave me of a talk he had with a noted feminist in London. He was attending a women's meeting. A lady friend was surprised to find a Mussalman at such a meeting. She inquired how he found himself there. The friend said he had two major and two minor reasons for so doing. His father died when he was an infant. He owed all he was in life to his mother. Then he was married to a woman who was a real partner in life, and he had no sons, but four daughters all minors, in whom as a father he was deeply interested. Was it any wonder that he was a feminist?

He went on to say that Mussalmans were accused of indifference to women. There never was a grosser libel uttered. The law of Islam gave equal rights to women. He thought that man for his lust had degraded woman. Instead of adoring the soul within her he had set about adoring her body, and he had succeeded so well in his design that woman to-day did not know that she had begun to hug her bodily adornment, which was almost a sign of her slavery. He added, with his voice almost choked if it was not so, how could it be that the fallen sisters delighted most in the embellishment of the body? Had we (men) not crushed the very soul out of them? No, he said, regaining self-possession, he wanted not only mechanical freedom for woman; he wanted also to break down the shackles that bound her of her own will.

And so he had intended to bring up his daughters to an independent calling

I need not pursue the ennobling conversation any farther I want my fair correspondent to ponder over the central idea of the Mussalman friend's discourse and tackle the problem Woman must cease to consider herself the object of man's passion The remedy is more in her hands than man's She must refuse to adorn herself for men, including her husband, if she will be an equal partner with man I cannot imagine Sita ever wasting a single moment on pleasing Rama by physical charms

Of all the addresses I received in the south the most touching was one on behalf of the Devadasis—a euphemism for prostitutes It was prepared and brought by people who belong to the clan from which these unfortunate sisters are drawn I understood from the deputation that brought the address that reform from within was going on, but that the rate of progress was still slow. The gentleman who led the deputation told me that the public in general was apathetic to the reform The first shock I received was at Cocanada, and I did not mince matters when I spoke to the men of that place The second was at Barisal, where I met a large number of these unfortunate sisters Whether they be known as Devadasis or by any other name, the problem is the same It is a matter of bitter shame and sorrow, of deep humiliation, that a number of women have to sell their chastity for man's lust [Man, the law-giver, will have to pay a dreadful penalty for the degradation he has imposed upon the so-called weaker sex! When woman, freed from man's snares, rises to the full height and rebels

against man's legislation and institutions designed by him, her rebellion, no doubt non-violent, will be none the less effective. Let the Indian man ponder over the fate of the thousands of sisters who are destined to a life of shame for his unlawful and immoral indulgence! The pity of it is that the vast majority of the men who visit these pestilential haunts are married men, and therefore commit a double sin. They sin against their wives to whom they have sworn allegiance, and they sin against the sisters whose purity they are bound to guard with as much jealousy as that of their own blood-sisters. It is an evil which cannot last for a single day if we men of India realize our own dignity.

I ask every young man, married or unmarried, to contemplate the implications of what I have written. I cannot write all I have learnt about this social disease, this moral leprosy. Let his imagination fill in the rest, and then let him recoil with horror and shame from the sin if he has himself been guilty of it. And let every pure man, wherever he is, do what he can to purify his neighbourhood.

The first occasion I had of meeting those women who earn their livelihood out of their shame was at Cocanada in the Andhra province. There it was a few moments' interview with only half a dozen of them. The second occasion was at Barisal. Over one hundred of them met by appointment. They had sent a letter in advance asking for an interview, and telling me that they had become members of the Congress and subscribed to the Tilak Swaraj Fund, but could not understand my advice not to seek office in the various Congress Committees. They wound up by saying that they wished to seek my

THE WOMEN'S MOVEMENT IN INDIA

advice as to their future welfare The gentleman who handed me the letter did so with great hesitation, not knowing whether I would be offended or pleased with the receipt of the letter. I put him at ease by assuring him that it was my duty to serve these sisters if I could in any way

For me the two hours I passed with these sisters is a treasured memory They represent the shame of the men of Barisal, and the sooner Barisal gets rid of it the better for its great name And what is true of Barisal is true, I fear, of every city I mention Barisal, therefore, as an illustration The credit of having thought of serving these sisters belongs to some young men of Barisal Let me hope that Barisal will soon be able to claim the credit, too, of having eradicated the evil

Of all the evils for which man has made himself responsible, none is so degrading, so shocking, or so brutal as his abuse of the *better* half of humanity; to me —the female sex—*not* the weaker sex, for it is the nobler of the two It is, even to-day, the embodiment of sacrifice, silent suffering, humility, faith, and knowledge. [A woman's intuition has often proved truer than man's arrogant assumption of superior knowledge]

Let us not delude ourselves into the belief that this gambling in vice has a place in our evolution because it is rampant, and in some cases even State-regulated, in civilized Europe Let us not also perpetuate the vice on the strength of Indian precedents We should cease to grow the moment we cease to discriminate between virtue and vice, and slavishly copy the past which we do not fully know We are proud heirs to all that was noblest and best in the bygone age We must not dis-

honour our heritage by multiplying past errors. In a self-respecting India is not every woman's virtue as much every man's concern as his own sister's? |Swaraj means ability to regard every inhabitant of India as our own brother or sister :

And so, as a man, I hung my head in shame before these hundred sisters. Some were elderly, most were between twenty and thirty, and two or three were girls below twelve. Between them all they told me they had six girls and four boys, the eldest of whom was married to one of their own class. The girls were to be brought up to the same life as themselves, unless something else was possible. That these women should have considered their lot to be beyond repair was like a stab in the living flesh. And yet they were intelligent and modest. Their talk was dignified, their answers were clean and straight; and for the moment their determination was as firm as that of any Satyagrahi. Eleven of them promised to give up their present life and take to spinning and weaving from the following day if they received a helping hand. The others said they would take time to think, for they did not wish to deceive me

Here is work for the citizens of Barisal, here is work for all true servants of India, men as well as women. Before these unfortunate sisters could be weaned from their degradation two conditions have to be fulfilled. We men must learn to control our passions, and these women should be found a calling that would enable them to earn an honourable living. The movement of Non-Co-operation is nothing if it does not purify us and restrain our evil passions. And there is no occupation but spinning and weaving which all can take up without

overcrowding These sisters, the vast majority of them, need not think of marriage They agreed that they could not They must therefore become the true *Sannyasins*¹ of India Having no cares of life but of service, they can spin and weave to their hearts' content.

But what I would like you, young men around me, to do is that you should have a touch of chivalry about you. If you have that, I have a great suggestion to offer I hope the majority of you are still unmarried Therefore I want you to make this sacred resolve, that you are not going to marry a girl who is not a widow, you will seek out a widow-girl, and if you cannot get a widow-girl you are not going to marry at all. Make that determination, announce it to the world, announce it to your parents, if you have them, or to your sisters. I call them widow-girls by way of correction because I believe that a child ten or fifteen years old, who was no consenting party to the so-called marriage, who, having married, having never lived with the so-called husband, is suddenly declared to be a widow, is not a widow. It is an abuse of the term, abuse of language, and a sacrilege

The word "widow" in Hinduism has a sacred odour about it I am a worshipper of a true widow like the late Mrs Ramabai Ranade, who knew what it was to be a widow But a child nine years old knows nothing of what a husband should be If it is not true that there are such child-widows in the Presidency, then my case falls to the ground. But if there are such child-widows, it becomes your sacred duty to make the determination to marry a girl-widow, if you want to rid ourselves of this curse. I am superstitious enough to believe that all such sins

¹ Women ascetics

that a nation commits react upon it physically I believe that all these sins of ours have accumulated together to reduce us to a state of slavery. You may get the finest Constitution that is conceivable dropping upon you from the House of Commons It will be worthless if there are not men and women fit enough to work that Constitution [Do you suppose that we can possibly call ourselves men, worthy of ruling ourselves or others, or shaping the destiny of a nation containing thirty crores¹, so long as there is one single widow who wishes to fulfil her fundamental wants, but is violently prevented from doing so?] It is not religion but irreligion I say that, saturated as I am with the spirit of Hinduism [Do not make the mistake that it is the Western spirit in me that is speaking.] I claim to be full-to overflowing with the spirit of India undefiled I have assimilated many things from the West, but not this. There is no warrant for this kind of widowhood in "Hinduism"]

¹ One crore = 100 lakhs = 10,000,000

CHAPTER XX

A MORNING WITH GANDHI

DURING THE FAST at Delhi one of my own students from Santiniketan, named Ramachandran, the son of a Finance Minister in Travancore State, came and stayed with me in order to fulfil any service which might be required at such a critical period. In Santiniketan he had studied under the poet Rabindranath Tagore, who is called usually "Gurudev", meaning Revered Teacher, just as Mr Gandhi is usually called "Bapuji", meaning Father, at Sabarmati. Some difficult questions about Art, Marriage, and the use of Machinery had been puzzling him for a long time, and he was extremely anxious to know Mahatma Gandhi's opinion about them. For, although he was personally devoted at heart to Mahatma Gandhi on account of his saintly life, he was in his own mind more drawn towards the less Puritan ideals of Santiniketan.

At first he was too modest to seek for a personal solution of his questions from Mr Gandhi himself, but after the fast was over I pressed him one morning to do so. A graphic and accurate account of the scene and the conversation that ensued was drawn up afterwards for *Young India* by Mahadev Desai, who was present at the interview and wrote as follows —

"Among those who visited Delhi during the weeks of fasting, penance, and prayer there was a young student from Santiniketan named Ramachandran. He is one of the pupils of Mr Andrews, and had no difficulty in

persuading his teacher to permit him to stay at Delhi for some time after the fast was over. In the end Mr. Andrews took Ramachandran upstairs and said to Gandhiji, 'I have not even introduced Ramachandran as yet to you. But he has been all the while with us, helping us devotedly with true love. He wants to ask you some questions, and I shall be so glad if you could have a talk with him before he leaves to-morrow to go back to Santiniketan.' Gandhiji at once gave his consent.

'How is it', asked Ramachandran, 'that many intelligent and eminent men, who love and admire you, hold that you have ruled out of the scheme of national regeneration all considerations of Art?'

'I am sorry', replied Gandhiji, 'that in this matter I have been generally misunderstood. Let me explain. There are two aspects, the outward and the inward. It is purely a matter of emphasis with me. The outward has no meaning to me at all except in so far as it helps the inward. All true Art is the expression of the soul. The outward forms have value only in so far as they are the expression of the inner spirit of man.'

Ramachandran hesitatingly suggested, 'The great artists themselves have declared that Art is the translation of the urge and unrest in the soul of the artist into words, colours, shapes'.

'Yes', said Gandhiji, 'Art of that nature has the greatest possible appeal for me. But I know that many call themselves artists in whose works there is absolutely no trace of the soul's upward urge and unrest.'

'Have you any instance in mind?'

'Yes', said Gandhiji; 'take Oscar Wilde. I can speak

of him because I was in England at the time that he was being discussed and talked about'

'I have been told', put in Ramachandran, 'that Oscar Wilde was one of the greatest literary artists of modern times'

'Yes, that is just my trouble. Wilde saw the highest Art only in outward forms, and therefore succeeded in beautifying immorality. All true Art must help the soul to realize its inner self. In my own case I find that I can do entirely without external forms in my soul's realization. I can claim, therefore, that there is truly sufficient Art in my life, though you might not see what you call works of Art about me. My room may have blank walls, and I may even dispense with the roof, so that I may gaze out upon the starry heavens overhead that stretch in an unending expanse of beauty. What conscious Art of man can give me the scene that opens before me when I look up to the sky above with all its shining stars? This, however, does not mean that I refuse to accept the value of human productions of Art, but only that I personally feel how inadequate these are compared with the eternal symbols of beauty in Nature.'

'But the artists claim to see and to find Truth through outward beauty', said Ramachandran. 'Is it possible to see and find Truth in that way?'

'I would reverse the order', Gandhiji immediately answered. 'I see and find beauty through Truth. All Truths, not merely true ideas, but truthful faces, truthful pictures, truthful songs, are highly beautiful. Whenever men begin to see Beauty in Truth, then Art will arise.'

'But cannot Beauty', Ramachandran asked, 'be separated from Truth and Truth from Beauty?'

'I should want to know exactly what is Beauty', Gandhiji replied. 'If it is what people generally understand by that word, then they are wide apart Is a woman with fair features necessarily beautiful?'

'Yes', replied Ramachandran without thinking.

'Even', asked Bapu, continuing his question, 'if she may be of an ugly character?'

Ramachandran hesitated 'But her face', he said, 'in that case cannot be beautiful.'

'You are begging the whole question', Gandhiji replied. 'You now admit that mere outward form may not make a thing beautiful To a true artist only that face is beautiful which, quite apart from its exterior, shines with the Truth within the soul There is then, as I have said, no Beauty apart from Truth On the other hand, Truth may manifest itself in forms which may not be outwardly beautiful at all Socrates, we are told, was the most truthful man of his time, and yet his features are said to have been the ugliest in Greece. To my mind he was beautiful because all his life was a striving after Truth; and you may remember that his outward form did not prevent Phidias from appreciating the beauty of Truth in him, though as an artist he was accustomed to see Beauty in outward forms also!'

'But, Bapuji,' said Ramachandran eagerly, 'the most beautiful things have often been created by men whose lives were not beautiful'

'That', said Gandhiji, 'only means that Truth and Untruth often co-exist; good and evil are often found together. In an artist also not seldom the right perception of things and the wrong co-exist Truly beautiful creations only come when right perception is at work.'

If these moments are rare in life they are also rare in Art'

'Is there Truth, Bapuji, in things that are neither moral nor immoral in themselves? For instance, is there Truth in a sunset, or a crescent moon that shines amid the stars at night?'

'Indeed', replied Gandhiji, 'these beauties are truthful inasmuch as they make me think of the Creator at the back of them. How could these be beautiful but for the Truth that is in the centre of creation? When I admire the wonder of a sunset or the beauty of the moon, my soul expands in worship of the Creator. I try to see Him and His mercies in all these creations. But even the sunsets and sunrises would be mere hindrances if they did not help me to think of Him. Anything which is a hindrance to the flight of the soul is a delusion and a snare, even like the body, which often does actually hinder you in the path of salvation.'

'Are you against all machinery, Bapuji?' asked Ramachandran

'How can I be', he answered, smiling at Ramachandran's naive question, 'when I know that even the body is a most delicate piece of machinery? The spinning-wheel itself is a machine. What I object to is the craze for machinery, not machinery as such. The craze is for what they call labour-saving machinery. Men go on "saving labour" till thousands are without work and thrown on the open streets to die of starvation. I want to save time and labour, not for a fraction of mankind, but for all. I want the concentration of wealth, not in the hands of a few, but in the hands of all. To-day machinery merely helps a few to ride on the backs of

millions. The impetus behind it all is not the philanthropy to save labour but greed. It is against this constitution of things that I am fighting with all my might'

'Then, Bapuji,' said Ramachandran, 'you are fighting not against machinery as such, but against its abuses, which are so much in evidence to-day?'

'I would unhesitatingly say "yes", but I would add that scientific truths and discoveries should first of all cease to be the mere instruments of greed. Then labourers will not be overworked, and machinery, instead of becoming a hindrance, will be a help. I am aiming, not at the eradication of all machinery, but its limitation.'

Ramachandran said. 'When logically argued out that would seem to imply that all complicated power-driven machinery should go.'

'It might have to go,' admitted Gandhiji, 'but I must make one thing clear. The supreme consideration is man. The machine should not tend to make atrophied the limbs of men. For instance, I would make intelligent exceptions. Take the case of the Singer Sewing Machine. It is one of the few useful things ever invented, and there is a romance about the device itself. Mr. Singer saw his wife labouring over the tedious process of sewing with her own hands, and simply out of his love for her he devised the sewing machine, in order to save her from unnecessary labour. He, however, saved not only her labour, but also the labour of everyone who could purchase a sewing machine.'

'But, in that case', said Ramachandran, 'there would have to be a factory for making these Singer Sewing Machines, and it would have to contain power-driven machinery of ordinary type'

'Yes', said Bapu, smiling at Ramachandran's eager opposition 'But I am Socialist enough to say that such factories should be nationalized They ought only to be working under the most attractive conditions, not for profit, but for the benefit of humanity, love taking the place of greed as the motive-power It is an alteration in the conditions of labour that I want This mad rush for wealth must cease, and the labourer must be assured, not only of a living wage, but of a daily task that is not a mere drudgery The machine will, under these conditions, be as much a help to the man working it as to the State The present mad rush will cease, and the labourers will work (as I have said) under attractive and ideal conditions This is but one of the exceptions I have in mind The sewing machine had love at its back. The individual is the one supreme consideration The saving of labour of the individual should be the object, and honest humanitarian considerations and not greed the motive-power Thus, for instance, I would welcome any day a machine to straighten crooked spindles Not that blacksmiths will cease to make supplies, they will continue to provide the spindles, but when the spindle gets wrong every spinner will have a machine of his own to get it straight Therefore replace greed by love and everything will come right'

'The third question', said Ramachandran, 'that I would like to ask you is whether you are against the institution of marriage'

'I shall have to answer this question at some length', said Bapu 'The aim of human life is deliverance As a Hindu, I believe that Moksha, or deliverance, is freedom from birth, by breaking the bonds of the flesh, by

becoming one with God Now marriage is a hindrance in the attainment of this supreme object, inasmuch as it only tightens the bonds of flesh Celibacy is a great help, inasmuch as it enables one to lead a life of full surrender to God What is the object generally understood of marriage except a repetition of one's own kind? And why need you advocate marriage? It propagates itself. It requires no agency to promote its growth.'

'But must you advocate celibacy and preach it to one and all?'

'Yes', said Gandhiji. Ramachandran looked perplexed. 'Then you fear there will be an end of creation? No The extreme logical result would be not extinction of the human species, but the transference of it to a higher plane '

'But may not an artist, or a poet, or a great genius leave a legacy of his genius to posterity through his own children?'

'Certainly not', said Bapu, with emphasis. 'He will have more disciples than he can ever have children, and through those disciples all his gifts to the world will be handed down in a way that nothing else can accomplish It will be the soul's marriage with the spirit, the progeny being the disciple, a sort of divine procreation No! You must leave marriage to take care of itself Repetition and not growth would be the result, for lust has come to play the most important part in marriage '

'Mr Andrews', said Ramachandran, 'does not like your emphasis on celibacy'

'Yes, I know', said Gandhiji 'That is the legacy of his Protestantism Protestantism did many good things, but one of its few evils was that it ridiculed celibacy.'

'That', rejoined Ramachandran, 'was because it had to fight the abuses in which the clergy of the Middle Ages had sunk'

'But all that was not due to any inherent evil of celibacy', said Bapu 'It is celibacy that has kept Catholicism green up to the present day'

Ramachandran's next question was about the much-discussed 'Spinning Franchise' which Gandhiji had enjoined, insisting that none should be a member of the National Congress who did not spin with his own hands. Ramachandran assured Gandhiji at the outset that he was a spinner, but had to confess that he, with three friends at Santiniketan, only began spinning after they had heard of the fast. He also affirmed that he believed in universal spinning. But he could not understand how the Congress should *compel* its members to spin. Persuasion and not compulsion should be the method.

'I see', said Gandhiji, 'you even go farther than Charlie Andrews. He would not have the Congress to compel its members, but he would fain become a member of a voluntary spinning association. You object to any such association whatsoever?'

Ramachandran sat silent.

'Well, then,' replied Gandhiji, enjoying the argument, 'I ask you, has the Congress any right to say that its members shall not drink? Will that be a restriction of the freedom of the individual, too? If the Congress exercised that right of enjoining abstinence from drinking there would be no objection. Why? Because the evils of drink are obvious. Well, I say that in India to-day, where millions are on the brink of starvation, it is perhaps a much worse evil to import foreign cloth.'

Think of the starving millions of Orissa. When I went there I saw the famine-stricken. Thanks to a kind superintendent who was in charge of an industrial home, I saw also their children, bright, healthy, and merry, working away at their carpets, baskets, etc. There was no spinning because these other things were much in vogue at the time. But on their faces there was the lustre of joyful work. But when I came to the famine-stricken, what did I see? They were merely skin and bone, only waiting to die. They were then in that condition because they would under no circumstances work. Even though you had threatened to shoot them if they refused to work, I am sure they would have preferred to be shot rather than do any honest work. This aversion from work is a greater evil than drink itself. You can take some work out of a drunkard. A drunkard retains something of a heart. He has intelligence. These starved men, refusing to work, were like mere animals. Now, how can we solve the problem of getting work out of people like this? I see no way except that of universalizing spinning. Every yard of foreign cloth brought into India is one bit of bread snatched out of the mouths of these starving poor. If you could visualize, as I can, the supreme need of the hour, which is to give India's starving millions a chance to earn their bread with joy and gladness, you would not object to the Spinning Franchise.'

Ramachandran still appeared doubtful, but changed the subject. 'So, Bapuji,' he said, resuming the first point in the conversation, 'Beauty and Truth are not merely separate aspects of the same thing?'

'Truth', repeated Gandhiji, 'is the first thing to be

sought for, and Beauty and Goodness will then be added unto you That is what Christ really taught in the Sermon on the Mount Jesus was to my mind a supreme artist because he saw and expressed Truth, and so was Muhammad Scholars say that the Quran is the most perfect composition in all Arabic literature Because both of them strove first for Truth, therefore the grace of expression naturally came in Yet neither Jesus nor Muhammad wrote on Art That is the Truth and Beauty I crave for, live for, and would die for'

Ramachandran then reverted to his difficulties as to Gandhiji's logical position with regard to machinery 'If you make an exception of the Singer Sewing Machine and your spindle,' he said, 'where would these exceptions end?'

Gandhiji replied. 'Just where they cease to help the individual and encroach upon his individuality The machine should not be allowed to cripple the limbs of man.'

'But I was not thinking just now of the practical side, Bapuji', said Ramachandran 'Ideally would you not rule out all machinery? When you except the sewing machine, will you not have to make an exception of the motor-car?'

'No,' said Bapu, 'because it does not satisfy any of the primary wants of man, for it is not the primary need of man to traverse distances with the rapidity of a motor-car The needle, on the contrary, happens to be an essential thing in life—a primary need Ideally, however, I would rule out all machinery, even as I would reject this very body, which is not helpful to salvation, and seek the absolute liberation of the soul From that point

of view I would reject all machinery, but machines will remain because, like the body, they are inevitable. The body itself, as I told you, is the purest piece of mechanism; but if it is a hindrance to the highest flights of the soul it has to be rejected!'

'Why is the body a necessary evil?' asked Ramachandran. 'There I don't understand you. But let me return to my earlier point. May not, after all, some artists be able to see Truth itself in and through Beauty, rather than Beauty in and through Truth?'

'Some may,' said Gandhiji, 'but here too, just as elsewhere, I must think in terms of the millions. And to the millions we cannot give that training to acquire a perception of Beauty in such a way as to see Truth in it. Show them Truth first and they will see Beauty afterwards. The famine-stricken skeletons of men and women in Orissa haunt me in my waking hours and in my dreams. Whatever can be useful to those starving millions is beautiful to my mind. Let us give to-day first the vital things of life, and all the graces and ornaments of life will follow.'

Here the long conversation ended, and early the next morning Ramachandran started on his way back to Santiniketan, rich with Bapu's blessings, wondering how far the teaching of his own Gurudev, Rabindranath Tagore, would harmonize with that which he had just heard, and how far there was a fundamental difference between them."

This last sentence of Mahadev Desai concerning the interview introduces us to one of the most interesting contrasts in Modern India. Indeed, it is so significant of spiritual values as to have something of a world impor-

tance What I refer to is the difference of temperament and outlook upon life between Gandhi and Tagore Let me explain as far as I can

Romain Rolland once in a letter, with the sudden insight of genius, stated, "Tagore is the Plato of our own times, Gandhi is the St Paul"

With regard to Tagore the analogy is an interesting one, for there is in Tagore all the catholicity and the passionate love of ideal, spiritual beauty which the name of Plato connotes There is much more also, and I have seen in Tagore that which his own wonderful countenance portrays, the serenity which is found in the Gospel picture of the Christ No one has taught me more of that divine character than Rabindranath Tagore has done by his own life and example

With regard to Mahatma Gandhi, I am not so satisfied with Rolland's analogy, although at the same time I can realize its force and meaning For Gandhi is, indeed, the man who has gone through a great upheaval of conscience, such as we imply by the word "Conversion" He has not grown simply and naturally towards the higher reaches of humanity as Tagore seems to have done With Gandhi the negative aspect of sin, as something which has to be rooted out by an almost violent self-discipline, is, like the shadow of the Cross, always apparent, brooding as it were over his thoughts In this sense he is like St Paul, who cries, "Unhappy man that I am, who shall deliver me from the body of this death?" For the human body, with its lusts and sins, is to Gandhi an evil, not a good. Only by complete severance from this human body can perfect deliverance be found Yet he has already felt, in gleams and visions, the joy beyond

all telling of that deliverance. But the word is true of him as of St. Paul, "Now we see through a glass darkly, but then face to face Now I know in part, but then shall I know even as also I am known "

For all this pathetically strained austerity, which leads him to incredible fasts and self-denials, there is an amazing sweetness and a childlike innocence This when seen in action makes St. Francis of Assisi the only illuminating parallel that I can think of when I have been privileged to witness it with my own eyes For I could easily imagine Gandhi preaching to the birds, embracing the leper, wearing the coarse dress of the half-naked poor, courting a rude beating in the snow by some churlish janitor as "perfect joy". Whenever I read the *Little Flowers of St Francis* with its mediæval setting I say to myself, "What a strange thing this is! Why, I have been witnessing this very life of love in Gandhi himself and in many of his followers also." And just here I have been brought up against inassimilable features also. For there is no question that Mahatma Gandhi is one with the mediæval saints in a passionate belief in celibacy as practically the only way to realize the beatific vision of God. Here more than anywhere else there seems to be a divergence from Tagore.

For there is a famous poem of Rabindranath Tagore which begins—

Deliverance is not for me in renunciation I feel the embrace
of freedom in a thousand bonds of delight

No, I will never shut the doors of my senses

The delights of sight and hearing and touch will bear Thy delight

Yes, all my illusions will burn into illumination of joy,

And all my desires ripen into fruits of love.

In this poem Tagore appears to go poles apart from Gandhi with his abnegation of the senses to the utmost limit. Nevertheless, in another equally famous poem of Tagore concerning "deliverance", or Moksha, he seems to draw to his side again —

"Leave this chanting", Tagore cries to the ritual worshipper, "and singing and telling of beads!"

Open thine eyes and see, thy God is not before thee!

He is there, where the tiller is tilling the hard ground and where the pathmaker is breaking stones He is with thee in sun and shower, and his garment is covered with dust Put off thy holy mantle, and even like him come down on the dusty soil!

Deliverance? Where is this deliverance to be found?

Our Master Himself has joyfully taken upon Him the bonds of creation He is bound with us all for ever"

Here in this remarkable poem the two saints of Modern India—Tagore in the extreme east of India, Gandhi in the extreme west of India—seem to draw near together in their idea of Moksha, or deliverance For Gandhi would hold as strongly as Tagore that God is to be found among the lowliest children of the soil

Here is Thy footstool and there rest Thy feet where live the poorest and lowliest and lost

When I try to bow to Thee, my obeisance cannot reach down to the depth where Thy feet rest among the poorest and lowliest and lost

Pride can never approach to where Thou walkest in the clothes of the humble among the poorest and lowliest and lost

My heart can never find its way to where Thou keepest company with the companionless among the poorest, the lowliest, and the lost

Even to this day I can remember the evening, at the sunset hour of prayer, when we were seated at our

devotions on the bank of the Sabarmati River at Mahatma Gandhi's Ashram, and he asked me to read some poem from Tagore. It was the last poem quoted here that I read, and it seemed to me that in that company of Mahatma Gandhi and his chosen band of followers the presence of God was almost visibly near at hand in the cool of the day there in that Ashram where the poor were so loved and revered.

Long years afterwards I heard Mahatma Gandhi in a deeply-moving way refer to that evening worship and that reading from Rabindranath Tagore, and I realized that he had felt, as I had on that occasion, the mysterious presence of the Eternal.

CHAPTER XXI

CONCLUSION

IT MAY BE ASKED at the conclusion of this book what is the outlook for the future, and into what shape and form does Mahatma Gandhi expect his Passive Resistance ideals to develop. It will be noticed that the peculiar quality that he has given to the movement has been this—that instead of merely single individuals taking up a definite stand against some moral evil and offering Passive Resistance in connection with it, he has worked out a whole programme of what might be called “corporate moral resistance”, whereby evil may be overcome. William James has explained in a famous essay that one of the great psychological needs of the world is to find the “moral equivalent for war”. The more I have seen Mahatma Gandhi’s programme of Soul-Force in action, the more I have been convinced that what he has so wonderfully effected on a comparatively small scale could be employed with a much larger programme and for the solution of far wider issues. In that case the special technique of the new movement would have to be worked out afresh in every detail. A thoroughly scientific treatment of the subject would be required in order to show both points of weakness and points of strength in its present development, thus making possible on a large scale the very same principles that he himself has used. In this sense as well as in many others his whole active life could be shown to be one great “Experiment with Truth”.

In his own actions hitherto Mahatma Gandhi has been

feeling his way towards this. His first attempts at Passive Resistance in South Africa were on a comparatively small scale, yet they became larger in extent before he left the country. Again, in India, which had become like a new country to him owing to his long absence from it, he began his great struggles to combat injustice on a small scale. He started at first, as we have seen, in such places as Champaran, Khaira, and Viramgam. But the last two movements which he initiated, called "Satyagraha" and "Non-Co-operation", were as wide in their extent as India itself. Yet it has been proved, by the foreclosure of both owing to the inrush of violence which took place, that the movements themselves were premature, and that the preparations which led up to them were incomplete. The fact is now made plain that no great movement of "corporate moral resistance" can be effectively developed, organized, and launched without exhaustive preparation. Merely to trust to a sudden wave of popular emotion is to court failure at the very outset. In the great Non-Co-operation storm which swept across India from end to end, in 1920-2, the very excitement that carried the movement forward was its greatest danger. The poet Rabindranath Tagore in this respect became the "Great Sentinel" on guard for the integrity of his country. He pointed out that the blind following of any personality, however devotedly noble, must in the long run lead to violence, and thus prove a failure when the cause was being based on a purely moral foundation.

When we consider, even for a moment, the vast and detailed preparations that are made for a struggle of violence such as war, and how military training occupies many years of a man's lifetime and with large numbers

C O N C L U S I O N

becomes a life-profession, it should be abundantly clear that the moral effect needed to supplant war cannot be made in an impromptu manner "Corporate moral resistance" needs all the care and forethought of an earnestness no less whole-hearted than that which is given to world-wide military endeavour In this respect Christ's words are still true "The children of this world are wiser in their generation than the children of light "

Throughout the Western world there are many voluntary organizations appealing to different sides of the population—some to the women, some to the youth, some to the men. These have often displayed great enthusiasm in the cause of world peace Europe is not lacking in the power to create and sustain such voluntary, organized effort But what has not yet been found in the West is a moral genius of such commanding spiritual personality as to be able to unite and combine these various organized efforts into one overwhelming movement of Non-Violence which should be strong enough to sweep away on a tide of world approval the opposing forces {

APPENDICES

APPENDIX I

WHAT I HAVE tried here to express has been admirably stated by Dr Pratt, Professor of Philosophy in Williams College, concerning his own approach to Buddhism He says —

It would be possible to write a learned book on Buddhism which should recite the various facts with scholarly exactness, yet leave the reader at the end wondering how intelligent and spiritual men and women of our day could really be Buddhists I have sought to avoid this effect and have tried to enable the reader to understand a little *how it feels to be a Buddhist* To give the feelings of an alien religion it is necessary to do more than expound its concepts and describe its history One must catch its emotional undertone, enter sympathetically into its sentiment, feel one's way into its symbols, its cult, its art, and then seek to impart these not merely by scientific exposition but in all sorts of indirect ways

APPENDIX II

M R . G A N D H I G I V E S his own definition of "varna" (a Sanskrit word meaning "colour") as follows.—

"Varna means pre-determination of the choice of man's profession. The law of varna is that a man shall follow the profession of his ancestors for earning his livelihood. Every child naturally follows the 'colour' of his father, or chooses his father's profession. Varna, therefore, is in a way the law of heredity. Varna is not a thing that is superimposed on Hindus, but men who were trustees for their welfare discovered the law for them. It is not a human invention but an immutable law of nature—the statement of a tendency that is ever present and at work, like Newton's law of gravitation. Just as the law of gravitation existed even before it was discovered, so did the law of varna. It was given to the Hindus to discover that law. By their discovery and application of certain laws of nature the peoples of the West have easily increased their material possessions. Similarly, Hindus, by their discovery of this irresistible social tendency, have been able to achieve in the spiritual field what no other nation in the world has achieved.

Varna has nothing to do with Caste. Caste is an excrescence, just like untouchability, upon Hinduism. All the excrescences that are emphasized to-day were never part of Hinduism. But don't you find similar ugly excrescences in Christianity and Islam also?

Fight them as much as you like. Down with the monster of Caste that masquerades in the guise of varna. It is this travesty of varna that has degraded Hinduism.

A P P E N D I X II

and India Our failure to follow the law of varna is largely responsible for both our economic and spiritual ruin It is one cause of unemployment and impoverishment, and it is responsible for untouchability and defections from our faith

But in quarrelling with the present monstrous form, and monstrous practices to which the original law has been reduced, do not fight the law itself."

APPENDIX III

THE FOLLOWING LETTER from Rabindranath Tagore to one who was intending to come out as a missionary to India endorses much that Mahatma Gandhi has also put in his own way. Tagore writes thus —

I have read your letter with pleasure I have only one thing to say, it is this Do not be always trying to preach your doctrine, but give yourself in love Your Western mind is too much obsessed with the idea of conquest and possession, your inveterate habit of proselytism is another form of it Christ never preached himself or any dogma or doctrine, he preached love of God The object of a Christian should be to be like Christ—never like a coolie recruiter trying to bring coolies to his master's tea garden Preaching your doctrine is no sacrifice at all—it is indulging in a luxury far more dangerous than all the luxuries of material living It breeds an illusion in your mind that you are doing your duty—that you are wiser and better than your fellow-beings But the real preaching is in being perfect, which is through meekness and love and self-dedication If you have strong in you your pride of race, pride of sect, and pride of personal superiority, then it is no use to try to do good to others They will reject your gift, or even if they do accept it they will not be morally benefited by it—instances of which can be seen in India every day On the spiritual plane you cannot *do* good until you *are* good You cannot preach the Christianity of the Christian sect until you be like Christ—and then you do not preach Christianity, but love of God, which Christ did

You have repeatedly said that your standard of living is not likely to be different from that of the “natives” But one thing I ask you Will you be able to make yourself one of those whom you call “natives”, not merely in habits but in love? For it is utterly degrading to accept any benefit but that which is offered in the spirit of love God is love—and all that we receive from His hands blesses us But when a man tries to usurp God's place and assumes the rôle of a giver of gifts, and does not come as a mere purveyor of God's love, then it is all vanity.

APPENDIX IV

A DELIGHTFUL ACCOUNT of Mahatma Gandhi's relations to the European missionaries in India is found in a recent number of *Young India* as follows —

Among the new missionary friends is a Danish couple, Mr and Mrs Bjerrum, full of sympathy and eagerness to establish an understanding between themselves and those whom they serve Gandhiji was at his wheel when the friends came

"This is a new wheel," said Mr Bjerrum, "different from the ones we saw at the Exhibition" "Yes," said Gandhiji, "it is a travelling Charkha When you fold it, it looks like a medicine chest, and a medicine chest it is for our poor people"

After giving their pleasant impressions of the Exhibition, Mr Bjerrum began to talk of the Indian Christian students of his College "The dress of most of our students is Europeanized", he informed Gandhiji, not without some sorrow "It is a great pity", said Gandhiji, "that Christianity should be mixed up with foreign dress and foreign ways of eating and drinking" "It is indeed", chimed in Mrs Bjerrum "But don't you think a change has already begun?" "Well", replied Gandhiji, "a change in thought is certainly coming over, but not a corresponding change in conduct", and with this he narrated some of his experiences with the friends of the Y M C A of Calcutta "May we know", asked Mr Bjerrum, "what form in your opinion missionary work should take if the missionaries are to stay in India?"

"Yes", said Mr Gandhi, "they have to alter their attitude To-day they tell people there is no salvation for them except through the Bible and through Christianity It is customary to decry other religions and to offer their own as the only one that can bring deliverance That attitude should be radically changed Let them appear before the people as they are, and try to rejoice in seeing Hindus become better Hindus, and Mussalmans better Mussalmans Let them start work at the bottom, let them enter into what is best in their life

and offer nothing inconsistent with it That will make their work far more efficacious, and what they will say and offer to the people will be appreciated without suspicion and hostility In a word, let them go to the people, not as patrons, but as one of them, not to oblige them, but to serve them and to work among them ”

There can be no doubt at all that in China, Japan, and India, in quite recent years, an awakening to new national responsibilities, which is of the utmost spiritual importance, has come to the Churches in those countries

The following passage concerning Mahatma Gandhi's recent tour in South India also reveals this new part which the Indian Christian Church is now taking in the national life.—

One of the special features of the present tour is the interest, genuine if cautious, shown by the Christian Indians in the Khaddar Movement At Tinnevelly they presented a special address, giving Gandhiji the assurance that, whilst formerly they might not have identified themselves with the national movement, now they had decided to do so Gandhiji valued the assurance and told them —

“Acceptance of Christianity, or any other faith, should not mean denationalization. Nationalism need never be narrow or inconsistent with one's religious faith That nationalism which is based on pure selfishness and exploitation of other nations is indeed an evil to be shunned, but I cannot conceive internationalism without a healthy, desirable national spirit ”

Our hosts at Madura and Tuticorin were Christians, and one of the purest gifts for the Khaddar Movement was a beautiful gold cross presented by a Swedish missionary lady, who had worn it for twenty-five years, and gave it for a sacred cause, just because she prized it most I may mention in this connection the gift of a beautiful cross in shell and gold by the Bishop of Tuticorin, which, though not for Khaddar, was certainly a token of the Bishop's sympathy for Gandhiji's work

APPENDIX V

THE FOLLOWING PASSAGE from Mahatma Gandhi may be studied in relation to his attitude towards Christianity and Hinduism —

"I have ventured at several missionary meetings to tell English and American missionaries that if they could have refrained from 'telling' India about Christ, and had merely lived the life enjoined upon them by the Sermon on the Mount, India, instead of suspecting them, would have appreciated their living in the midst of her children, and would have directly profited by their presence.

Holding this view, I can 'tell' American friends nothing about Hinduism I do not believe in people 'telling' others of their faith, especially with a view to conversion Faith does not admit of 'telling' It has to be lived, and then it becomes self-propagating

Nor do I consider myself fit to interpret Hinduism, except through my own life; and if I may not interpret Hinduism through my written word I may not compare it with Christianity The only thing it is possible for me, therefore, to do is to say as briefly as I can why I am a Hindu

Believing as I do in the influence of heredity and being born in a Hindu family, I have remained a Hindu I should reject Hinduism if I found it inconsistent with my moral sense or my spiritual growth But on examination I have found it to be the most tolerant of all religions known to me, because it gives the Hindu the largest scope for self-expression Not being an exclusive religion, it enables its followers not merely to respect all

the other religions, but also to admire and assimilate whatever may be good in them Ahimsa, or Non-Violence, is common to all religions, but it has found its highest expression and application in Hinduism In saying this I do not regard Jainism or Buddhism as separate from it, but an outgrowth. Hindus believe in the oneness not merely of all human life, but of all that lives

The worship of the cow is the Hindu's unique contribution to the evolution of humanitarianism It is a practical application of the belief in the oneness, and therefore the sacredness, of all life. The great doctrine of transmigration, or rebirth, is a direct consequence of that belief

Finally, the discovery of the law of 'Varnashrama' is a magnificent result of the ceaseless search for Truth."

APPENDIX VI

THE FOLLOWING INSTRUCTIONS were given by Mahatma Gandhi to the passive resisters at Vykom when he came down in person to see them and encourage them.—

"This is a struggle deeply religious for the Hindus We are endeavouring to rid Hinduism of its greatest blot The prejudice we have to fight against is an agelong prejudice The struggle for the opening of the roads round the temple (which we hold to be public) to the 'untouchables' is but a small skirmish in the big battle.

If our struggle was to end merely with the opening of the roads in Vykom, you may be sure I would not have bothered my head about it It is true the road must be opened It has got to be opened But that will be the beginning of the end. The end is to get all such roads throughout Travancore opened Not only that, but we expect that our efforts may result in amelioration of the general condition of the 'untouchables' in every direction

This will require tremendous sacrifice, for our aim is not to do things by violence to our opponents That would only be conversion by compulsion, and if we import compulsion in matters of religion we shall be committing suicide We have to carry on this struggle by suffering in our own persons This is the meaning of Soul-Force. The question is whether you are capable of every suffering that may be imposed upon you in the journey towards the goal

Even while you are suffering you must have no bitterness—no trace of it—against your opponents This

is not a mechanical act at all. On the contrary, I want you to feel like loving your opponents, and the way to do it is to give the same credit for honesty of purpose which you would claim for yourself. I know that it is a difficult task. I confess that it was a difficult task for me yesterday, whilst I was talking to those friends who insisted on their rights to exclude the 'untouchables' from the temple roads. I confess there was selfishness behind their talk. How then was I to credit them with honesty of purpose? I was thinking of this thing yesterday and also this morning, and this is what I did. I asked myself 'Wherein was their selfishness or self-interest?' It is true that they have their ends to serve. But so have we our ends to serve. Only we consider our ends to be pure and therefore selfless. But who is to determine where selflessness ends and selfishness begins? Selflessness may even sometimes be the purest form of selfishness.'

I do not say this for the sake of argument. But that is what I really feel. I am considering their condition of mind from their point of view and not my own. Immediately we begin to think of things as our opponents think of them we shall be able to do them full justice. Three-fourths of the miseries and misunderstandings in the world will disappear if we step into the shoes of our adversaries and understand their standpoint. We shall then either agree with our adversaries quickly or else think charitably of them. In our case there is no question of our agreeing with them quickly, as our ideals are radically different. But we may be charitable to them and believe that they actually mean what they say.

They do not want to open the roads to the 'untouch-

ables' Our business is to show them that they are in the wrong, and we should do so by our suffering I have found that mere appeal to reason does not answer where prejudices are agelong and based on supposed religious authority Reason has to be strengthened by suffering, and suffering opens the eyes of understanding Therefore there must be no trace of compulsion in our acts. We must not be impatient, and we must have an undying faith in the means we are adopting

If you believe in the efficacy of Soul-Force you will rejoice in this suffering, and you will not feel the discomfort of your position as you go and stand in the burning sun from day to day. If you have faith in the cause, and in the means, and in God, the hot sun will be cool to you You must not be tired and say, 'How long?' You must never get irritated That is only a small portion of your penance for the sin for which Hinduism is responsible.

I know that all this will sound hard and difficult for you My presentation may be hard, but it has not been possible for me to present the thing in any other way For it will be wrong on my part if I deceive you, or myself, into believing that this is an easy thing

Much corruption has crept into our religion We have become lazy as a nation Selfishness dominates our action There is mutual jealousy amongst the tallest of us We are uncharitable to one another Soul-Force is a relentless search for truth and a determination to reach truth I can only trust you will realize the import of what you are doing If you do, your path will be easy, because you will take delight in difficulties and will laugh in hope, even when everybody is in despair."

APPENDIX VII

THE FOLLOWING IS related by Mr Vere Stent, editor of the *Pretoria News*, concerning Mr. Gandhi's part in the "Indian Stretcher Bearer Corps" during the Boer War —

My first meeting with Gandhi was on the road to Spion Kop, after the fateful retirement of the British troops in January 1900. The previous afternoon I saw the Indian mule-train moved up the slopes of the Kop carrying water to the distressed soldiers, who had lain powerless on the plateau. The mules carried the water in immense bags, one on each side, led by Indians at their heads. The galling rifle-fire, which heralded their arrival on the top, did not deter the strange-looking cavalcade, which moved slowly forward, and as an Indian fell another quietly stepped forward to fill the vacant place. Afterwards the grim duty of the bearer corps, which Mr Gandhi organized in Natal, began.

It was on such occasions the Indians proved their fortitude, and the one with the greatest fortitude of all was Gandhi. After a night's work, which had shattered men with much bigger frames, I came across Gandhi in the early morning, sitting by the roadside — eating a regulation Army biscuit. Every man in Buller's force was dull and depressed, and damnation was heartily invoked on everything. But Gandhi was stoical in his bearing, cheerful and confident in his conversation, and had a kindly eye.

He did one good. It was an informal introduction, and it led to a friendship. I saw the man and his small undisciplined corps on many a field of battle during the Natal campaign. When succour was to be rendered they were there. Their unassuming dauntlessness cost them many lives, and eventually an order was published forbidding them to go into the firing-line. Gandhi simply did his duty then, and his comment the other evening in the moment of his triumph, at the dinner to the Europeans who had supported the Indian movement, when some hundreds of his countrymen and a large number of Europeans paid him a noble tribute, was this, that he had simply done his duty.

APPENDIX VIII

A PERSISTENT RUMOUR went around India in 1921, towards the close of the year, owing to some words which Mahatma Gandhi had let fall, that if he failed in obtaining Swaraj within the year he would refuse to take food, and thus abandon his earthly existence He was obliged at last to correct this rumour in the following words —

"Correspondents have written to me in pathetic language asking me not to commit suicide in January, should Swaraj be not obtained by then, and should I find myself outside the prison walls I find that language only inadequately expresses my thought, especially when the thought itself is confused or incomplete

One great reason for the misunderstanding lies in my being considered almost a perfect man Friends who know my partiality for the Gita have thrown relevant verses at me, and shown how my threat to commit suicide contradicts the teachings which I am attempting to live All these mentors of mine seem to forget that I am but a seeker after Truth I claim to be making a ceaseless effort to find it But I admit that I have not yet found it To find Truth completely is to realize oneself and one's destiny I am painfully conscious of my imperfections, and therein lies all the strength I possess, because it is a rare thing for a man to know his own limitations

If I was a perfect man, I own I should not feel the miseries of my neighbours as I do As a perfect man, I should take note of them, prescribe a remedy, and compel adoption by the force of unchallengeable Truth

in me But as yet I only see through a glass darkly, and therefore I have to carry conviction by slow and laborious processes, and then, too, not always with success That being so, I would be less than human if, with all my knowledge of avoidable misery pervading the land, and of the sight of mere skeletons under the very shadow of the Lord of the Universe, I did not feel with the dumb millions of India

The hope of a steady decline in that misery sustains me But suppose that with all my sensitiveness to sufferings, to pleasure and pain, cold and heat, and with all my endeavour to carry the healing message of the spinning-wheel to the heart, I have reached only the ear and never pierced the heart, suppose, further, that at the end of the year I find the people as sceptical as they are to-day about the present possibility of attainment of Swaraj by means of the peaceful revolution of the spinning-wheel, suppose, further, I find that the excitement during the past twelve months has been only an excitement without settled belief in the programme, suppose, lastly, that the message of peace has not penetrated the hearts of Englishmen, should I not doubt the virtue of my inner struggle and feel my own unworthiness for leading the movement any longer? As a true man, what should I do? Should I not kneel down in all humility before my Maker, and ask Him to take away this useless body and make me a fitter instrument of service?

Swaraj does not consist in the change of Government, that would be merely the form The substance that I am hankering after is a real change of heart on the part of the people I am certain that it does not require ages for Hindus to discard the error of untouchability; for

Hindus and Mussalmans to shed enmity and accept heart-friendship as an eternal factor of national life, for all to adopt the spinning-wheel as the only universal means of attaining India's economic salvation, and, finally, for all to believe that India's freedom lies only through Non-Violence. Definite, intelligent, and free adoption by the nation of this programme is the attainment of the substance of Swaraj. The symbol—the transfer of power—is sure to follow, even as the seed truly laid must develop into a tree.

The reader will thus perceive that my accidental statement to friends for the first time in Poona was but a confession of my imperfections and an expression of my feeling of unworthiness for the great cause which I seem to be leading. I have enunciated no doctrine of despair. On the contrary, I have felt never so sanguine that we shall gain the substance during this year. I have stated at the same time, as a practical idealist, that I should no more feel worthy to lead a cause which I might feel myself diffident of handling. The Gita doctrine of labouring 'without attachment' means a relentless pursuit of truth, a retracing of steps after discovery of unworthiness.

I have but shadowed forth my intense longing to lose myself in the Eternal, and become merely a lump of clay in the Potter's divine hands, so that my service may become more certain because uninterrupted by the baser self in me."

APPENDIX IX

THE FOLLOWING TYPICAL letter was sent to me from Sabarmati Jail by Mahatma Gandhi in the first days of his imprisonment —

“My dear Charlie,—

I have just got your letter You were quite right in not leaving your work You should certainly go to Gurudev (i.e Rabindranath Tagore), and be with him as long as he needs you. I would certainly like your going to the Ashram (i.e Sabarmati) and staying there awhile, when you are free But I would not expect you to come to see me in jail I am as happy as a bird! My ideal of a jail life—especially that of a civil resister—is to be cut off entirely from all connection with the outside world To be allowed a visitor is a privilege—a civil resister may neither seek nor receive a privilege. The religious value of jail discipline is enhanced by renouncing privilege The forthcoming imprisonment will be to me more than a political advantage If it is a sacrifice, I want it to be the purest.

With love, Yours,
MOHAN.”

APPENDIX X

THE FOLLOWING LETTER, slightly abbreviated, was written by Mahatma Gandhi to Hakim Ajmal Khan, Sahib, who was President of the National Congress at the time when he himself was imprisoned.—

"I write this to you in your capacity as Chairman of the Congress Working Committee, and therefore leader of both Hindus and Mussalmans, or, still better, of All-India.

I write to you also as one of the foremost leaders of Mussalmans, but above all I write this to you as an esteemed friend I have had the privilege of knowing you since 1915 Our daily growing association has enabled me to prize your friendship as a treasure A staunch Mussalman, you have shown in your own life what Hindu-Muslim unity means.

We all now realize, as we have never done before, that without that unity we cannot attain our freedom; and I make bold to say that without that unity the Mussalmans of India cannot render the Khilafat cause all the aid they wish Divided, we must ever remain slaves. This unity, therefore, cannot be a mere policy to be discarded when it does not suit us. We can discard it only when we are tired of Swaraj Hindu-Muslim unity must be our creed to last for all time and under all circumstances

Nor must that unity be a menace to the minorities—the Parsees, the Christians, the Jews, or the powerful Sikhs If we seek to crush any of them, we shall some day want to fight one another I have been drawn so

close to you, Hakim Sahib, chiefly because I know that you believe in Hindu-Muslim unity in the full sense of the term.

This unity is unattainable without our adopting Non-Violence as a firm policy. I call it a policy because it is limited to the preservation of that unity. But it follows that thirty crores of Hindus and Mussalmans, united not for a short time but for all time, can defy all the powers of the world, and should consider it a cowardly act to resort to violence in their dealings with the English administrators. We have hitherto feared them and their guns in our simplicity. The moment we realize our combined strength we shall consider it unmanly to fear them or even to think of striking them. Hence am I anxious and impatient to persuade my countrymen to feel Non-Violent, not out of weakness, but out of our strength. But you and I know that we have not yet evolved the Non-Violence of the strong. And we have not done so because Hindu-Muslim unity has not gone much beyond the stage of policy. There is still too much mutual distrust and consequent fear. I am not disappointed. The progress we have made in that direction is, indeed, phenomenal. We seem to have covered in eighteen months' time the work of a generation. But infinitely more is necessary. Neither the classes nor the masses feel instinctively that our union is as necessary as the breath of our own nostrils.

For this consummation we must rely more upon quality than quantity. Given a sufficient number of Hindus and Mussalmans with an almost fanatical faith in everlasting friendship between the Hindus and Mussalmans of India, we shall not be long before the same unity permeates

the masses. A few of us must first clearly understand that we can make no headway without accepting Non-Violence in thought, word, and deed for the full realization of our political ambition I would therefore beseech you to see that our ranks contain no workers who do not fully realize the essential truth I have endeavoured to place before you A living faith cannot be manufactured by the rule of the majority

To me the visible symbol of All-India unity and of the acceptance of Non-Violence is undoubtedly the spinning-wheel Only those who believe in cultivating a Non-Violent spirit and eternal friendship between Hindus and Mussalmans will daily and religiously spin Universal hand-spinning and the universal manufacture and use of hand-spun, hand-woven cloth will be a substantial, if not absolute, proof of real unity and Non-Violence And it will be a recognition of a living kinship with the dumb masses Nothing can possibly revivify India so much as the acceptance by All-India of the spinning-wheel as a daily sacrament, and the use of home-spun cloth as a privilege and a duty

I would not waste a single worker to-day on destructive work when we have such an enormous amount of constructive work to do But perhaps the most conclusive argument against devoting further time to destructive propaganda is the fact that the spirit of intolerance (which is a form of violence) has never been so rampant as now The Moderates are estranged from us; they fear us. They say that we are establishing a worse bureaucracy than the existing one We must remove every cause for such anxiety We must go out of our way to win them to our side I should not have to labour the point if it

MAHATMA GANDHI'S IDEAS

was clear to everyone, as it is to you and to me, that our pledge of Non-Violence implies utter humility and good will, even towards our bitterest opponent. This necessary spirit will be automatically realized if only India will devote her sole attention to the work of construction suggested by me."

APPENDIX XI

DURING THE TIME that Mahatma Gandhi was lying seriously ill after his operation for appendicitis at the Sassoon Hospital, Poona, he asked me to undertake the editorship of *Young India*. The following editorial gave the contrast that was in everyone's mind at that time —

It has been Mahatma Gandhi's supreme faith that there is a nobler element in man which may be won over by love. For this reason he began his Non-Co-operation Movement, not in bitterness, but in love. For this reason he spoke with the frankness of true love to the judge who condemned him to prison. For this reason he wrote in the columns of *Young India* again and again explaining to the rulers the object which he had in view, so that there might be no possible misunderstanding. But, in spite of it all, it was nothing less than a tragedy in England to find how he had been misunderstood.

If the question is asked, What is the sum and substance of the charge which Mahatma Gandhi laid against the British Government in India? it may be summed up in a single phrase. He charged them with the oppression of the poor. In the statement which he made at his trial, his condemnation of the British Raj was this. It had oppressed the poor. The hungry, skeleton-like figures which he had seen in Orissa and elsewhere had haunted his mind till he could never forget them, by night or by day. He went so far as to offer to co-operate again, if the British rulers would join with him in a campaign to destroy the drink and drug traffic and to build up the industrial village life by the encouragement of home-spinning and home-weaving, but such simple work of lowly service seemed beyond the ken of the present rulers. They must do their work in their own patronizing way or not at all. The gorgeous magnificence of an Imperial Delhi obsessed their minds. They neglected to take note of the plain fact that all its luxury would only be an added burden to the poor. They spent fabulous sums upon it, until the treasury was empty, and then

doubled the burden of the salt tax in order to prevent a deficit. The crores of rupees spent on building a new Delhi over the ruins of the old city could not be sacrificed. The one necessary of life to the many millions of half-starving people must be taxed instead.

There is a weakness due to long ages of subjection which has invaded, alas, the mind of India itself, and supports this vulgar craving for a display of pomp and power such as New Delhi affords. Mahatma Gandhi has called it a "slave-mentality". It may be seen in our own day in the gaping crowds that frequent the race-courses, whenever they are patronized by State officials in state procession. Extravagant durbars, Royal visits, imperial pageants, British Empire Exhibitions, all draining away the wealth of the country, have become more frequent of late in order to win back the waning attention of the common people.

But the spiritual mind of India is not captivated by things so tawdry as these. Rather it pays silent homage to this one tired sufferer in the Sassoon Hospital at Poona, who has looked into the face of death without fear. For here is a man whose sway is greater than all imperial power. His name will be remembered and sung by the village people long after New Delhi is forgotten. When all its buildings have crumbled into dust the name of Mahatma Gandhi will still be taught by mothers to their little children as one of the greatest of India's saviours.

For there is a spiritual city which he has been building up out of an imperishable fabric. Its foundations are deeply and truly laid in the Kingdom of God. No oppression of the poor has gone to create it. Love and devotion and service to the needy are its decorations. No military pomp reigns within its borders, but only the peaceful harmony of human souls. Race and colour distinctions have no place in it. Not a clash of religious controversy mars its harmony. Its empire is the heart.

APPENDIX XII

THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION was carried unanimously at the "Unity" Conference at Delhi, held during the fast. It was proposed from the chair by the Chairman and carried, while all the members of the Conference stood in recollection of Mahatma Gandhi in his fast —

This Conference places on record its deep grief and concern at the fast which Mahatma Gandhi has undertaken

We are emphatically of opinion that the utmost freedom of conscience and religion is essential, and we condemn any desecration of places of worship, to whatsoever faith they may belong, and any persecution or punishment of any person for adopting or reverting to any faith, and, further, we condemn any attempts by compulsion to convert people to one's own faith, or to secure or enforce one's own religious observances at the cost of the rights of others

We assure Mahatma Gandhi and pledge ourselves to use our utmost endeavours to promote these principles and to condemn any deviation from them, even under provocation

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY ON MAHATMA-GANDHI

Autobiography By M K Gandhi (To 1925 only) Pub by Phoenix Press

Mahatma Gandhi's Own Story By C F Andrews Pub by Allen & Unwin

Mahatma Gandhi at Work By C F Andrews Pub by Allen & Unwin

Mahatma Gandhi By Romain Rolland Pub by Allen & Unwin

Mr Gandhi The Man By M G Polak Pub by Allen & Unwin

Mahatma Gandhi Essays and Reflections Memorial edition Edited by Sir S Radhakrishnan Pub by Allen & Unwin

Gandhi By Carl Heath Pub by Allen & Unwin (Second edition)

Sword of Gold A Life of M K Gandhi By Roy Walker Pub by the Indian Independence Union [1945]

A Week with Gandhi By Louis Fischer Pub by Allen & Unwin

Songs from Prison By M K Gandhi Edited by J S Hoyland Pub by Allen & Unwin

The Wisdom of Gandhi By Roy Walker Pub by Andrew Dakers

The Moral Challenge of Gandhi By Dorothy Hogg Pub by the Friends' Peace Committee

India on the March By Dorothy Hogg Pub by the Friends' Peace Committee

India A Plea for Understanding By Dorothy Hogg Pub by James Clarke

The Cross Moves East By J S Hoyland Pub by Allen & Unwin

Indian Crisis The Background By J S Hoyland Pub by Allen & Unwin

Mahatma Gandhi An Interpretation By E Stanley Jones Pub by Hodder & Stoughton

INDEX

ABDULLA SHETH, 89
 Acknowledgments, author's, 8
 Agricultural holdings, 150
 Ahimsa, doctrine of, 103-5, 111,
 131-46, 183, 230, 320, 360
 Alcohol and drugs, 320, 339, 373
 All-India National Congress, 228-9,
 233, 287
 All-India Spinners' Association, the,
 156-7
 Amritsar, 18, 228, 230, 234, 236, 241,
 251, 294
 Andrews, C F, 99
 Anti-Indenture struggle, the, 223
 Art, 331-3
 Arya Samaj, the, 14
 Ashrams, 100, 111-17, 134, 202,
 301
 Asiatic Registration Act, 192
 Assam, 274, 278
 Atonement, 71, 85
 Aurangzeb, the Emperor, 51
 Banerjee, Kali Charan, 72-3, 86, 90-1
 Bardoli struggle, the, 228, 284
 Barisal, 327-8
 Beauty, 333-4, 340-2
 Benares, 51, 189
 Bhagavad Gita, the, 40, 66, 73, 121,
 147, 167, 192, 314, 365
 Bhai Motilal, 222-3
 Bibliography, 377
 Boer War, 218
 Bombay, 202, 223, 227, 258, 269,
 276, 280, 284, 321
 Bombay Riots, the, 276-302
 Booth, Dr, 231
 Bose, Sir J C, 43, 123
 Brahmin Caste, 28-9, 36
 British Empire, 144, 218-19, 239-40
 British rule in India, 115-16, 143-4,
 221-2, 233, 237, 245, 250, 279,
 295-7, 373
 Buddhism, 42, 112, 121, 353, 360
 Burning of foreign clothes, the, 146,
 269-70, 276
 Calcutta, 321
 Caliphate, the (*see also under Khilafat*),
 56, 62, 64
 Caste, 28-31, 36-7, 57, 119, 122-3,
 128-30, 147, 164, 172-3, 354
 Catholicism, 338
 Celibacy, 105, 338-9
 Census Reports, 150-1
 Champaran struggle, the, 224
 Charka, the, 152, 156-7, 357
 Chauri Chaura, 278, 284-8, 291
 Chelmsford, Lord, 223-4, 239, 294
 Child marriages, 322-3
 Christian Indians 86, 88-91
 Christianity, 27-8, 52, 65-98, 112, 163,
 175, 177-9, 196-7, 354, 356, 359, 369
 Christian Missionary work, 76-7, 85,
 95-6
 Civil disobedience, 286-7
 Coates, Mr, 68-71
 Co-education, 322
 Confession of Faith, Gandhi's, 183-9
 Congress Inquiry Report on the Punjab
 Disturbances, 228
 Conversion, 90, 281, 343
 Coloured races, treatment of, 164-5
 Cost of production of yarn, 158
 Cotton, 158
 Cow Protection, 31-5, 38-9, 40, 53-5,
 132, 169
 Cow Service Society, 134
 Customs barrier, 222-3
 Datta, Dr S K, 87
 Dayananda, Swami, 14-15
 Delhi, Gandhi's fast at, 19, 49, 55,
 82, 291, 303-19, 332, 375
 Denmark, 152
 Devadasis, 325-8
 Dharma, 129
 Divine unity, 52
 Doke, Rev J, 65, 77-83, 191
 Dyer, General, 228, 251
 Eating, 57-8, 105, 206, 273
 Employment, 161

M A H A T M A G A N D H I ' S I D E A S

England, 124, 152, 185-6, 188, 218
 Englishmen, 98-9
Experiments with Truth, Gandhi's,
 15
 Exports, 152, 242-3

Factories, Gandhi's views on, 337
 Famine areas, 159
 Fast at Delhi, Gandhi's, 19, 49, 55,
 82, 291, 303-19, 332, 375
 Fearlessness, vow of, 108
 Foreign cloth, 270-4
 Free Trade, 125

Gandhi literature, 7

Gandhi, Mahatma in South Africa,
 16-18, 178, 185, 190-201, 231, 293,
 301-2, 364, his family and early
 years, 13, 15-17, in England, 15,
 his imprisonments, 19, 88, 201, 213,
 217, 251, 291, 299, his Hindu
 belief and practice, 28-9, 34-42,
 60, 119, 167, 330, his conceptions
 of God, 43-9, on Hindu-Muslim
 unity, 57-60, influence of Islam,
 62-4, views about Christianity,
 65-98, religious ideals, 101, as a
 disciple of Soul-Force, 113-17, his
 belief in Swadeshi, 118-30, his
 patriotism, 127, his belief in Non-
 Violence, *passim*, his relation to the
 War, 133-4, the "Untouchables",
 163-80, his Madras speeches, 174-6,
 his attitude towards machinery and
 medical science, 184, 187, letter
 from Tolstoy, 195-8, in the Boer
 War and Great War, 218-21, 236,
 293, his loyalty to the British
 Empire, 219, 221, 229-30, 232-3,
 his estimate of the English, 241,
 244-6, 248-9, in the Bombay
 Riots, 276-302, his trial, 279,
 290-9, his fast at Delhi, 19, 49,
 55, 82, 291, 303-19, 332, 375, his
 views on factories, 337

Gandhi, Mrs., 97, 171-2, 217
 Germany, 185, 218
 Gita, the (*see under Bhagavad Gita*)

God, Hindu conception of, 34, 43-9,
 356
 Gokhale, Gopal Krishna, 100, 201,
 208-9
 Goreh, Nilakantha, 86
Gospel of Swadeshi, The, 119
 Government schools, 266
 Gujarati hymns, 309-11, 315
 Gurukula, the, 100

Hand-loom industry, 124-5
 Hand-spinning, 154-6
 Hanuman, 48
 Heber, Bishop, 74, 88
 Hebrew analogy to Hindu religious
 sanctions, 32
 Hinduism, 27-65, 73, 108, 112, 120-1,
 132, 163-5, 167-9, 329, 354, 359-61
 Hindu-Muslim contrasts, 50-64
 Hindu-Muslim unity, 57, 59, 173,
 307, 319-20, 367, 369-71
 Hindu Scriptures, 60-1, 102, 119
 Home-spinning movement, the, 147-
 62, 320
 Hunter Commission Report, 228

Idol-worship, 35, 40, 50, 52
 India and international commerce,
 242-3
 India of the British, 115-16
 Indian Christian Church, 358
 Indian Christian community, 86, 97
Indian Home Rule, 203
Indian Opinion, 213-14
 Indian Penal Code, 296
 Indian Reform Committee, 227
 Indian Women's Movement, 320-30
 Indian words, list of common, 21-3
 Initiation, 29
 Interdining, 37, 57, 59
 Intermarriage, 36-7, 57-9
 Ireland, 153
 Islam, 15, 50-7, 294, 324, 354
 Italy, 152

Jainism, 360
 "Jesus, the Place of," 92-5, 122, 193,
 241, 341
 Judaism, 52, 73, 369

INDEX

Kallenbach, Mr., 205, 209-13
 Kathiawar, 13-16, 87, 222
 Khaddar, vow of, 109-10, 112, 116,
 147-62, 184, 250, 256, 271, 358
 Khaira struggle, the, 225-6
 Khilafat Movement, the, 56, 59, 99,
 225, 235, 282, 294, 369
 Kohat, 307-8
 Kshatriyas, 28, 36, 168
 Lancashire, 124, 151, 242
 Land revenue, 226-7
 Lawley, 202, 204
Light of Asia, The, 66
 Lloyd George, Mr., 56, 239

Machine-made cloth, 160
 Machinery in human life, place of,
 184, 187, 331, 335-7, 341
 Maddock, Colonel, 279
 Madras, 284
 Madras speeches, Gandhi's, 174-6
 Mahabharata, the, 123, 131
 Mahmud of Ghazni, 51
 Marriage, 30-1, 37, 42, 128, 300, 322,
 331, 337-8
 Martial Law in the Punjab, 228, 251,
 296
 Meat, 38
 Medical science, 184, 187
 Mill labourers, 224
 Mills, 160
 Missionaries, 76-7, 85, 95-6, 357-8
 Moksha, 345
 Monkey nuisance and Ahimsa, the,
 137-9, 141
 Morvi, 14
Mother India, Miss Mayo's, 300
 Moton, Principal Robert Russa, 8
 Muhammadans, 50-64
 Mukti, 267-8
 Murray, Professor Gilbert, 191
 Murray, Rev Andrew, 71
 Mussalmans, 39, 53-9, 238-9, 294,
 307, 324, 369-71

Namashudras, 29
 Natal, Passive Resistance struggle in,
 197-217, 255

Nayadis, the, 175
 Nirvana, 267-8
 Non-Co-operation, 18, 55, 99, 142,
 173, 177, 185, 202, 221-2, 225,
 237-8, 247, 254-5, 257-8, 263-6,
 268-9, 278-9, 283, 293, 297, 306-7,
 328, 348, 373
 Non-Possession, vow of, 107
 Non-thieving, 106
 Non-Violence, 64, 106, 131-46, 183-4,
 198, 220, 225, 258, 263, 280,
 283-6, 292, 297, 306-7, 320, 349,
 360, 367, 370-2
 Non-Violent Non-Co-operation, 229,
 235, 268, 288, 306-7

O'Dwyer, Sir Michael, 235-7
 Orissa, Gandhi's visit to, 169-71,
 340, 342, 373

Palate, control of the, 105-6, 111
 Panchamas, 29, 176
Panchayats, village, 122, 124
 Pariahs, 29
 Passive Resistance, 17, 68, 77, 97,
 103-4, 134, 179-80, 188, 190-201,
 213, 220, 223, 227, 232-3, 235,
 252, 255, 347-8
 Paul, K T, 87
 Petit, Mrs Jaijee, 223
 Phoenix, 202, 213-17
 Plato, 343
 Plymouth Brethren, 70
 Polak, H S L, 8, 200-1, 213
 Politics and religion, 110, 122
 Porbunder, 14
 Poverty in India, causes of, 124, 154,
 261-2, 290, 295, 340, 373-4
 Prince of Wales, the, 247-8, 280
 Protective duties, 125, 162
 Protestantism, 338
 Punjab, the, 151, 228, 234, 236, 238, 294
 Puranaś, the, 35
 Purdah system, the, 322

Quran, the, 36, 73, 316-17

Racial equality, 233
 Rama, 48
 Ramachandran, 331-42

MAHATMA GANDHI'S IDEAS

<p>Ramayana, the, 40, 123, 166 Ray, P C, 123, 159 Reading, Lord, 247 Recruiting campaign in the War, Gandhi's, 221, 252 Red Cross work, 140 Reincarnation, 128 Religion, 101, 120, 127 Religious sanctions, 32-3 Ridge at Delhi, the, 312-13 Rolland, Romain, 7, 343 Rowlatt Act, 224-5, 251, 284, 294 Rudra, Piary Mohan, 86 Rudra, Principal Susil Kumar, 91, 97-9</p> <p>St Francis of Assisi, 344 St Paul, 343-4 Salisbury, Lord, 76 Sanatani, 34-5 Satyagraha, 203, 223-6, 249, 251, 348 Sermon on the Mount, the, 93-4, 96, 111, 121, 147, 192, 341, 359 Servants of India Society, 100, 201 Shudras, 28-9, 36-7, 168 Slaughter of animals, 27, 33 Society of Friends, 68 Socrates, 193 Soul-Force, 112, 361, 363 South Africa, 165, 172 South Africa, Gandhi in, 16-18, 178, 185, 190-201, 293, 301-2, 364 Spinning Franchise, 339-40 Spinning industry, the, 161, 262 Sub-Castes, 31 Swadeshi, 65, 107-8, 112, 118-30, 147, 263, 274 Swaraj, 243, 259, 262, 277, 281, 283, 323, 365-7, 369</p> <p>Tagore, Rabindranath, 9, 27, 42, 61, 68, 100, 127, 130, 213, 251-6, 259-60, 264-9, 278, 322, 331, 342-6, 348, 356, 368</p>	<p>Thompson, Edward, 85 Tilak, Bal Gangadhar, 299 Tolstoy Farm, 200, 202-3, 207-17 Tolstoy, influence of, 17, 67, 147, 183, 185, 192, 195-8 Transvaal, Indians in the, 194 Transvaal sisters, the, 214-17 Trappists, 76 Trial, Gandhi's, 279, 290-9 Truth, 102, 108, 131, 134, 138, 259, 284, 333-5, 340-2, 360, 365</p> <p>Unity Conference, 312 Untouchability, 40-1, 52, 65, 75, 108-9, 163-80, 273, 320, 323, 362 Upanishads, the, 35, 73, 316-17</p> <p>Vaishyas, 28, 36, 147-8, 168 Varnashrama Dharma, 35-7, 119, 129-30, 360</p> <p>Vedas, the, 35-6 Vegetarianism, 67, 206 Vernaculars, Indian, 109, 123 Viramgam question, the, 222-4 Vows, 102-12 Vykom struggle, the, 178-80, 361</p> <p>War, the European, 133-4, 140, 218, 221, 228, 252, 293 Watton, Mr Spencer, 71 <i>Wealth and Welfare of the Punjab</i>, Calvert's, 151 Westcott, Dr Foss, 312 Widows, 323, 329 Wilde, Oscar, 332-3 Women's Movement in India, 320-30</p> <p>Yarn and cloth, 157, 242-3, 262, 272-3 <i>Young India</i>, 85, 169, 270, 289, 305, 331, 357, 373</p> <p>Zend Avesta, the, 36</p> <p>Zoroastrianism, 74</p>
--	---

